Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 04/12/2019 09:10:08 Response:
2019/5388/P		04/12/2019 01:18:52	OBJ	This Willett house is somewhat overshadowed by those on either side and has been altered previously. The original house had only one bay window on the side nearest no. 7 and therefore no porch over the front door as it has now. The existing front door and pediment are original. The ugly additions at the rear are more recent and of no merit, quite the reverse. There is no objection to the rear extension. The Design and Access report gives the history of the area and its development and something of the Willett style. It omits the fact that these houses had no basements other than an occasional boiler room or wine cellar since Willett, the instigator of Daylight Saving was adamant that staff should live and work above ground with natural light. These ideals have now literally gone out of the window! There is no longer any point to object to basement development despite the fact that they are huge energy consumers and in no way conform to any "green" policies that Camden may aspire to. I didn't note any bicycle rack on the plan, only a front garden dedicated to hard standing for parking, as it is now. Would it be possible to reduce this hard surface and the parking area and request that planting might be introduced? I object strongly to the new boundary treatment that is proposed. It is extremely fussy with elements of brick, cedarwood panels and metal? railings all topped with flower pots. I would suggest simple wood palings above a brick base with hedge planted behind. Similar to that at 1 Wadham Gardens which is original and due to be reinstated when that house is redeveloped. No. 9 has a simple fa¿ade, despite the additional bay window and I object to the change to the roof dormer and the elaborate front door that is proposed. Neither of these changes are in keeping with the original Willett design and they should be refused.