

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 03 December 2019 21:03  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** 2019/2263/P Objection - 17 Frognal

Dear Ms Henry

I note the applicant has lodged a revised drawing, and I write to confirm my continued objection to these revised plans.

1. The plan is still silent on access for utilities and access for construction – as a reminder the right of way across the garden of 17 Frognal from the street is a narrow footpath only, less than 1 metre wide in places, and is relatively long. It is difficult to see how using this path for access during the construction phase is viable without impacting the safety of residents whose entrances are off the footpath and residents' quiet enjoyment of their properties, and further how damage to the main property itself (including gas meters on the side) will be prevented.
2. The plan more clearly confirms loss of 9 trees with retention of only 4. Clearly some new trees will be planted, but of course new trees are materially smaller than those lost and will not provide comparable screening and pollution filtering. Note one of the 9 has already been removed and the applicant has failed to plant a replacement as directed by Camden. The deadline for this passed in March this year. 2018/4091/T refers.
3. Other points as laid out by the letter from [REDACTED] June 2019 continue to apply.

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]