

Please upload to 2019/1682/P

Thanks

Patrick Marfleet Senior Planner

Telephone: 020 7974 1222



Thank you for emailing me.

The NNA has not commented on this submission. The original proposals were obviously very tight and did not in practice provide an operationally feasible service access and loading bay serving the school from College Crescent.

It is of concern as the school continues to use Maresfield Gardens as an access road for some deliveries to the front of the school buildings. The original approved application clearly intended College Crescent to be the service access and not the Residential road of Maresfield Gardens, this avoiding service Traffic interfering with residents and school children.

In an effort to maximise the site usage, the original architect squeezed the loading bay in College Crescent.

The School seems to be proposing to gain space by avoiding walls and using fences and bollards. In doing so, the frontage onto College Crescent has become much more utilitarian. Also unsightly vehicles, equipment and goods in the loading bay will be visible from the road and (Residential) buildings on the south side of College Crescent. The original walls were, we believe, designed to avoid this.

If the loading bay did not work with walls, it should not have been given planning permission.

South Hampstead High School should provide full screening of the loading bay from College Crescent using walling which is harmonious with its neighbours.

The current unsightly temporary works should not be permitted to continue any more. It has been several years since the school was opened.

Incidentally, the traditional Black tile street sign in the Maresfield Garden Street frontage wall which we believe was removed during the School works has not been returned. The recess in the wall where this sign was originally located is clearly visible near to Trinity Walk.

Netherhall Neighbourhood Association

Sent from my iPhone

Please see below and consider as you need.

Must check whether we had those details also although we commented on or

Must check whether we had those details also although we commented on one iteration of the west end of the scheme not mentioned here. Best wishes,

For Hampstead CAAC

Dear John,

I am the case officer currently dealing with the above application which seeks to make a number of minor amendments to the permission that was granted last year (ref 2017/6770/P) for the following works: Erection of brick wall, pillars and metal railings along College Crescent boundary to include 2x pedestrian gates, 1x vehicular gate and 1x gate for refuse/recycling facilities and associated alterations to adjacent kerb to secondary school.

The proposed amendments seek to replace the approved brick wall and piers along College Crescent with a combination of parking

bollards and railings, however; It has come to my attention that the Fitzjohns/Netherhall CAAC have not been consulted on the current application. Please could you therefore confirm whether or not the FNCAAC wish to make any comments on the proposal? If you could respond to this email within the next 5 working days it would be greatly appreciated.

Kind regards,

Patrick Marfleet Senior Planner Regeneration and Planning Supporting Communities London Borough of Camden

Telephone: 020 7974 1222 Web: camden.gov.uk

5 Pancras Square London N1C 4AG

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer. See our new Privacy Notice here which tells you how we store and process the data we hold about you and residents.