
From: McClue, Jonathan
Sent: 19 November 2019 13:33
To: Rea, Flick (Councillor); Parkinson, Andrew (Councillor); Johnson, Heather (Councillor)
Cc: Cullen, Bethany; McDonald, Neil; Farrant, Ben
Subject: RE: Members Briefing 18/11/2019

Dear Cllrs

Since the publishing of the agenda for the 100 Avenue Road Amended CMP on Friday afternoon, we have received 8 further consultation responses to the dedicated inbox (100avenuroadcmp@camden.gov.uk). These have now been uploaded onto our website under the reference 2017/6638/CMP, and can be found here -

<http://camdocs.camden.gov.uk/HPRMWebDrawer/PlanRec?q=recContainer:%222017/6638/CMP%22>

On the above link - putting the documents in date order to most recent first will allow you to find them. The additional representations are not considered to raise any materially new matters than the previous ones that have been received and summarised within the Officer's Report. I have summarised some of the main points made in the recent objections below:

- It will negatively impact the health of those using the public path and children's play area, as well as residents in the area and residents of the care home. A 425% increase from the originally planned (7) lorries to 25 is unacceptable
- The proposal includes 25 lorries per day along the route, when you account for the 54ft articulated lorries being almost twice the size of the original 33ft lorries, this gives the equivalent of more than four times the amount of lorries compared to the 7 that were agreed at Planning Committee last November and at least double that of the 14 lorry movements given in the Approved CMP version 11
- It is clear that this new proposal is a substantial material departure from what was originally agreed upon and subsequently approved and should therefore be given a full public committee hearing
- Camden and Essential Living must now consider the Imperial College statistics that show NO2 levels in Swiss Cottage Open Space are already 5.3 times above the EU limit. With all the serious health concerns associated with NO2 poisoning it is no longer enough to only consider and measure PM10 levels. The current ULEZ reduction of emissions only amounts to 29% according to the latest Mayoral press release. This does not ameliorate the problem here
- It is essential that an impartial independent body now be now engaged to assess this site in relation to pollution and give the parameters and ground rules as to what is acceptable and provide new monitoring systems in terms of Nitrogen Dioxide and other toxic emissions, as well as PMs. For the sake of health and safety and maximum transparency
- Request that first full agreement to this plan should be given by TfL and LUL - to avoid another faux pas - such as has just occurred with the tower crane which was only deemed this July to be unsafe by LUL, to avoid potentially wasting any more of everyone's time in the future

Cllr Simon has made a representation, which states: *'I welcome the change to the CMP that means no planned vehicle movements on Winchester Road and the Swiss Cottage Market. However, I have concerns about the number and nature of the vehicles that will be mandated by*

the revised CMP. As this is a complex matter I think it is important for it to be discussed by the full planning committee. I don't think it would be appropriate for it to be decided by officers'.

I will be uploading this email to the website for transparency, so that it is clear that these additional objections (not included within the Officer Report published on Friday morning) are now online and have been duly considered, by officers and now yourselves. I will continue to monitor the inbox and upload any future comments to our website.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

Best regards,

Jonathan McClue
Principal Planner

