From: sarah howard

Sent: 14 November 2019 00:03

To: Barry Coltrini

Cc: 100avenueroadCMP

Save Swiss Cottage

Subject: 2017/6638/CMP - Sarah

I wish to object to the latest new CMP that has been submitted for consideration for the following reasons:

 As a Mum of two children under three years old, I am appalled at the new proposal to route construction traffic directly behind the hoardings right next to the path on the Swiss Cottage Open Space. As you know, this space is one of the only parkland spaces in the Swiss Cottage/ Belsize wards. Like other local parents, I rely heavily on this space for my children to enjoy fresh air, peace and tranquility and to be safe to run around, particularly in the summer months.

You now propose to route 25 construction lorries including 54 ft articulated lorries for the duration of the construction right next to the hoardings. Moving the construction traffic so close to the hoardings will lead to unacceptable levels of noise pollution, vibration and rises in pollution levels. The relevant scientific studies show that even 20 metres closer to polluting traffic increases pollution levels significantly. This makes this area virtually unuseable by our children and other vulnerable groups.

Despite the closer proximity of the public paths and children's playground in this new CMP, it is surprising that at no point does this new CMP insist that site traffic be limited to electric vehicles. Ulez compliance is not an adequate test in such a sensitive area.

- 2. The proposed new CMP is materially different from the one which was agreed upon by the Planning committee in November 2018. A 415% increase in lorry numbers, the introduction of 54 foot articulated lorries and a completely new traffic route mean that this new CMP is to all intents and purposes, a completely new plan. This is far beyond what might reasonably be expected in a 'living document'. It creates a totally new animal. At the planning committee meeting, the committee members were never warned that the CMP under discussion could be altered so fundamentally in every area (ie. the routes used, the vehicles used, the number of vehicles used).
- 3. Even as a reasonably well-informed member of the local community, it has been made almost impossible to discover the changes to the CMP that we were consulted on last year. The Essential Living website is highly opaque and confusing with two different CMPs featured. This lack of clarity is adding to the sense of anger within our local community about the way that the developers have been treating this project. Most of the busy parents I

talk to, have no idea about the new CMP and are thoroughly confused when I refer them to the developer's website.

4. As a result of these concerns and the fact that a totally new CMP has been proposed, I would ask that this new CMP is referred for approval to a full planning committee.

Sarah Gottlieb