

From: Karin von Abrams [REDACTED]
Sent: 13 November 2019 13:04
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: 100avenueroadCMP [REDACTED]
Subject: 2017/6638/CMP - Karin

Dear Barry Coltrini,

Like many other residents of the area immediately surrounding the Swiss Cottage EL construction site, I am writing once again to express concerns about the latest plans for the CMP.

Like every preceding version of the CMP, this one takes no account of the needs and legitimate concerns of the people who live nearby or those who use the adjoining spaces as families, commuters, tourists, professionals, students or other visitors. From start to finish, EL has proposed plans which are disruptive, injurious to health and would constitute a blight on the entire neighbourhood for several years.

Specifically, as you will be well aware, the proposal to route between 25 and 53 lorries per day through the open space is disastrous. This traffic, with the accompanying noise, pollution and vibration, would persist for up to 2 years and include significant numbers of enormous articulated lorries. These should not be allowed in the Open Space at any time.

EL has intentionally confused the issue of lorry access and the number of lorries proposed by altering the plans re: pit lane access vs site access. Originally most lorries were said to be destined for the pit lane only, not the site. Now site access is proposed for many of these.

The lane already requisitioned by the project from the A41 along the site should be adequate for incoming and outgoing lorries to the site if that traffic is properly handled by construction staff. Those of us in the neighbourhood are already obliged to live with disruption, noise and extra pollution, but it won't help to spread those effects to all sides of the construction site.

The newest plans have not been sufficiently vetted by London Underground Limited and Transport for London, or other relevant bodies. It's clear that various EL proposals, such as the siting of a tower crane near the Tube entrance, were not explored in sufficient detail before entering the official plans. That crane had to be repositioned for perfectly obvious reasons, though those weren't taken into account during planning. Many other such problems may arise.

Under the circumstances there's absolutely no reason or justification for approving the latest CMP proposals; like all the others, they contain elements seriously detrimental to the area and the people who live here or pass through. And they do not take into account all aspects of potential complication or damage.

Please reject!

Yours sincerely,

Karin Dosaj

