| Delegated Re | port | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Officer | | Application Number(s) | | | | |
| Tom Little | | 2019/4483/T | | | | |
| Application Address | | | | | | |
| Basement Flat 27 Maresfield Gardens London NW3 5SD Proposal(s) | | | | | | |
| REAR GARDEN: 2 x Poplars (T2 & T3) - Fell to ground level. | | | | | | |
| Recommendation(s): | commendation(s): No Objection to Works to Tree(s) in CA | | | | | |
| Application Type: | Notification of Intended Works to Tree(s) in a Conservation Area | | | | | |

| Consultations | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|------------------|---|-------------------|---|--|
| Adjoining Occupiers: | No. notified | 3 | No. of responses | 5 | No. of objections | 5 | |
| Summary of consultation responses: | Lack of clear evidence - The monitoring and recommendations are inconclusive on the need to remove any trees, never mind up to 4. Air quality - these trees are close to a school for young children near the highly polluting Finchley Road. During this Climate Emergency we need all the trees we can get and many, many more; mature trees, like the two Poplars, are especially important. Neighbourhood beauty - the trees are situated in a Conservation Area and the greenery is what needs to be conserved. The trees provide a much needed barrier against noise from the schools behind. The trees act as a backdrop to the house and gardens. | | | | | | |
| CAAC/Local groups* comments: *Please Specify | | | | | | | |

Assessment

As the poplars are not covered by a TPO they were subject to a section 211 notification of intended works to trees in a conservation area, unlike a TPO application there is no requirement to give reasons for the proposed works. A section 211 notification gives the LPA six weeks to consider objecting to the proposed works. If the LPA wishes to object then it must serve a tree preservation order on the relevant trees. There are several criteria that must be considered when assessing the suitability of a tree for a TPO which can be broken down as follows (taken from the current planning practice guidance that LPAs use when assessing a tree):

Visibility

The extent to which the trees or woodlands can be seen by the public will inform the authority's assessment of whether the impact on the local environment is significant. The trees, or at least part of them, should normally be visible from a public place, such as a road or footpath, or accessible by the public.

In this case the poplars in question have very low visibility from the public realm in that a very small sliver is visible down the side of the buildings, they are not considered to provide significant visual amenity to the public.

Individual, collective and wider impact

Public visibility alone will not be sufficient to warrant an Order. The authority is advised to also assess the particular importance of an individual tree, of groups of trees or of woodlands by reference to its or their characteristics including:

- size and form;
- The poplars are not particularly large or noteworthy example of their species.
- *future potential as an amenity;* The height of the buildings combined with the requirement for regular pollarding will prevent these trees from ever having significant visibility within the public realm.
- rarity, cultural or historic value;
 The poplars are not of a rare species or of any known cultural or historic value.
- contribution to, and relationship with, the landscape; It is considered that the trees make a reasonable contribution to the landscape to the rear of the properties, however the lack of visibility from the public realm significantly reduces the weighting that this can be given when considering a TPO.
- contribution to the character or appearance of a conservation area. The trees are considered to make a reasonably positive contribution to the character of the conservation area.

Other factors

Where relevant to an assessment of the amenity value of trees or woodlands, authorities may consider taking into account other factors, such as importance to nature conservation or response to climate change. These factors alone would not warrant making an Order.

The trees offer some benefits in terms of reducing pollution and absorbing CO2 as well as providing habitat for local wildlife however the current legislation does not put sufficient weight on to these factors to justify serving a TPO.

On balance mainly due to the lack of visibility it would not be expedient to bring these trees under the protection of a TPO.