					Printed on:	06/11/2019	09:10:06
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:			
2019/5410/T	Richard Petit	03/11/2019 13:51:08	COMNOT	We support the proposed application but would like to make the additional comment			
				The reason exposed by the applicant to take the tree down is that the tree is completely shading the garden.			
				This is fair but largely incomplete: this tree should be felled because The tree has created significant subsidence issues causing substantial damage to property, more specifically to the shared bricked wall between 117 and 119 Canfield Gardens, as it was clearly evidenced by the report of a structural engineer (relevant section reproduced below):			
				"There are many trees and shrubs in the rear garden. Tree roots extract ground moisture and can cause volumetric changes in the clay and hence foundation subsidence. Removal of trees can cause ground heave as the clay regains strength previously depleted by the roots.			
				Some foundation movement can also be attributed to the physical growth of roots benewhere trees are very close to the wall.	eath the four	ndation	
				he wall is covered by shrubs and ivy. Ivy and similar climbers take root into the mortar joints thus causing eterioration and damage to the brickwork.¿			