Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 06/11/2019 09:10:06 Response:
2019/5262/T	William Miller	01/11/2019 11:35:56	ОВЈ	I live in Gloucester Crescent and my garden backs onto the one with these two trees in it - although for some reason my address seems to have been omitted from the list of neighbouring properties to these trees. I have lived in this street for 55 years and these trees are a vital and integral part of the street and garden's unique character. They are also an important part of the Grade 2 listed character of the two streets. So it would be a short sighted act of total vandalism to remove two incredibly important and ancient trees for the sakes of a tiny bit of movement in the rear extension of the house next door. The expert opinion is that the removal of these trees could potentially do more damage to the neighbouring houses than keeping them due to an excess of water in the soil and the eventual decay of the unremoved roots. This application for the trees removal seems to be driven entirely by an over cautious insurer and not their client, who seems to object as well, or the actually owners of the trees who live next door. We object in the strongest terms to this application. We have seen enough damage done by the removal of trees by HS2 which are already having a massive environmental impact on the area. The additional removal of these two trees would be the thin end of the wedge and we expect Camden to recognise the significance of any decision to remove them. With Camden's drive for cleaner air in the area, removing another two substantial trees in the area would be totally detrimental to this strategy.
2019/5262/T	M Gorchov Brearley	04/11/2019 20:59:26	OBJ	I striongly object to this application to fell to ground level the two London Plane trees in the garden of #10. These trees are essential to the character of the gardens that lie between Regents Park Terrace and Gloucester Crescent; they are an irreplaceable amenity and offer privacy and pleasure to the residents of surrounding houses not to mention the variety of flora and faunca that enjoy the garden too. It seems important to recognise that this application has been submitted by the Insurers of no.9 Regents Park Terrace for trees that are in the garden on #10, without consulting the owners of no.9 or the owners of no.10. The insurers have no experience of the gardens or sympathy for the neighbourhood and the role that these trees play in making the character of our garden space. Surely their concerns could be equally well served by consulting the residents first and then together trying to find a suitable solution. The residents of no.10 have shared a report that they commissioned from an arboricultural consultant in March 2018 in which the consultant confirms that "No structural defects were noted that might represent a foreseeable hazard." There are obviously ways of addressing the structural concerns of the insurers without felling the trees. Felling the trees may in fact have a significantly greater impact on the structural stability of the surrounding land than if the trees were managed in another way. The Plane trees in question play an essential role in making the character of this conservation area. They must be protected. I hope you will consider the points raised by me in this letter and by my fellow residents and refuse this application made by insurers who really have no concern for our neighbourhood.
2019/5262/T	William Miller	01/11/2019 10:40:10	COMMNT	I live in Gloucester Crescent and my garden backs onto the one with these two trees. I have lived in this street for 55 years and these trees are a vital and integral part of the street and garden's unique character. It would be an act of total vandalism to remove two incredibly important and ancient trees for the sake of a tiny bit of movement in the rear extension of the house next door. It seems to be driven entirely by the insurers and not the owners of the house or the owners of the trees.
				We object in the strongest terms to this application. We have seen enough damage done by the removal of trees for HS2. The removal of these trees would be the thin end of the wedge and expect Camden to recognise the significance of any decision to remove them.