

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/4700/HS2	Grafton Way TRA	18/10/2019 11:04:17	OBJ	We object to HS2s lorries being driven below our building of 134 flats. It appears the number of lorries is 56 (coming past Euston Square Station) but at House of Lords James Strachan QC for HS2 assured us that the very highest number would be 30 so it seems reasonable that 30 is the maximum that should be allowed or it would make a mockery of the Petitioning process.
2019/4700/HS2	Radmila Vlatkovic	18/10/2019 17:05:48	OBJ	I hope very much that Camden Council will reject the proposal on the very important grounds: people's health and their basic right to live their every day lives (which are already terribly affected by the HS2 works). I would like to remind you that we have two primary schools on the Regent's Park estate: Netley on Stanhope St and Christ Church nearby. Health of all of us, in particular the school age children and elderly, will be affected for 2 years if you allow this to go ahead. I strongly believe that it is the Council's responsibility to protect its people and their well being. Thanks.
2019/4700/HS2	Milan Grba	18/10/2019 13:40:38	COMMNT	Dear Sirs, I am writing to strongly object to the planning application HS2 made to the Council. The reason for this objection is the fact that haul access road should be built somewhere else away from community life and schools, certainly not on Stanhope Street which is right in the centre of Regent Park estate and everyday activities of all people of all ages. This community is already a long suffering one due to the construction zone around it and this application only adds insult to injury. Thank you for your consideration. Yours sincerely, Milan Grba 22 Harrington House Harrington Street London NW1 3RB milan.grba@btinternet.com

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/4700/HS2	Dorothea Hackman	18/10/2019 21:11:34	OBJNOT	<p>I can see that HS2 has a problem in getting spoil out, but this is a problem of their own making due to allowing their timescale to slip. Spoil should be taken directly onto the Hampstead Rd, but their problem is they haven't yet demolished Silverdale and the other buildings.</p> <p>However, it is not acceptable to inflict additional noise, pollution, vibration and danger on the local residents instead. The obvious solution is for them to reschedule, taking the burden on themselves, and use Granby Bridge terrace.</p> <p>The hybrid bill of February 2017 gave HS2 excessively wide reaching powers which in this instance they are abusing to save themselves money, to the detriment of the local community.</p> <p>We look to Camden Council to honour and defend the assurances they were given in the petitioning process in the commons. They must defend the vulnerable from the danger of a heavy goods vehicle every three minutes down the middle of a residential estate, with up to seven thousand at risk including a thousand children under the age of eleven walking daily to schools and nursery.</p> <p>Camden withdrew their petition in exchange for those assurances, and none of ours were granted using the excuse that the council has the assurances.</p>
2019/4700/HS2	Alexandra Grba	18/10/2019 15:15:09	OBJ	<p>I object to the application because this is against the interest of children and young people of Regents Park estate and I am one of them. Thanks.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/4700/HS2	David Auger	20/10/2019 22:02:50	OBJ	<p>I wish to object to the use of Mornington Terrace as a lorry route for the purposes set out as the use is different to the scheme approved by Parliament in the Hybrid Bill and as set out in the Environmental Statement and particularly AP3. The Parliamentary standing orders and the European directive and other planning rules setting out the contents of the Environmental Impact Assessment and Statement are for impacts for individuals such as myself on Mornington Terrace, and HS2's methodology of lumping all roads together for vehicle volumes is inappropriate and as I understand not in accordance with the rules. The original and revised vehicle numbers for Mornington Terrace under the application with a comparison has been requested from HS2 but not provided and therefore comments are not possible. The failure to consider the new environmental impacts which will undoubtedly be worse is a breach of the Environmental Minimum Requirements.</p> <p>The parliamentary scheme has HS2 being used as a construction route for the Construction Compound related to the demolition and rebuilding of Mornington Street Bridge. The environmental impacts are those related to those vehicles and the impacts are described as beneficial on air quality as a consequence of Mornington Terrace being partially or fully closed and their being reduced traffic and for the busiest periods closed to other traffic. This is not the case with the new proposed arrangement with Mornington Terrace as described in the application. It is therefore premature to apply before the new environmental impact assessment is considered and residents given an opportunity to consider and comment.</p> <p>I would note that HS2 have failed to introduce adequate control arrangements and Camden Council has allowed HS2 to breach its commitments given as well as failing to control activities including failure to adequately monitor noise levels with noise monitors too far away in the s61, granting planning permission for works and structures not described in the SES, closing Mornington Terrace in circumstances not described and not in accordance with the framework as set out to name a few examples. HS2 has also failed to adequately introduce a complaints process that deals with complaints and then also fails to act on the findings of the independent Complaints Commissioner.</p> <p>In these circumstances, Camden Council should delay any consideration of the application until HS2 has addressed the failings and carried out the necessary assessments to understand the impacts. Should Camden approve any scheme that has not appropriately complied with the legislation then the Council is potentially facilitating activities occurring outside the legislation and therefore illegal activities.</p>
2019/4700/HS2	harvey kutner	21/10/2019 10:12:38	OBJ	<p>I object to the use of Park Village east as being used as a lorry route. This is a residential road with listed properties and within the Regents Park Conservation area.</p>
2019/4700/HS2	harvey kutner	21/10/2019 10:12:56	OBJ	<p>I object to the use of Park Village east as being used as a lorry route. This is a residential road with listed properties and within the Regents Park Conservation area.</p>
2019/4700/HS2	harvey kutner	21/10/2019 10:12:58	OBJ	<p>I object to the use of Park Village east as being used as a lorry route. This is a residential road with listed properties and within the Regents Park Conservation area.</p>
2019/4700/HS2	harvey kutner	21/10/2019 10:13:00	OBJ	<p>I object to the use of Park Village east as being used as a lorry route. This is a residential road with listed properties and within the Regents Park Conservation area.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/4700/HS2	harvey kutner	21/10/2019 10:13:16	OBJ	I object to the use of Park Village east as being used as a lorry route. This is a residential road with listed properties and within the Regents Park Conservation area.
2019/4700/HS2	S Osborn	18/10/2019 19:00:54	OBJ	I object to this proposal as there will be too many HGVs in a quiet residential area which is already blighted by the number of HGVs already being used for HS2. This part of Camden is already exceeding the safe pollution levels, HS2 refuses to give the better filters as standard, with the increase numbers of HGV's the pollution will only increase. there has been limited if not no consultation with the residents by HS2 instead HS2 yet again ignores the residents who will be affected.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/4700/HS2	Steven Christofi	18/10/2019 19:38:34	OBJ	<p>I have already submitted an objection on behalf of the Regent's Park Estate Tenants and Residents Association (TRA), however I would like to submit a personal objection as a resident on the estate.</p> <p>I live in the middle of the estate and I have spent the last 3 years putting up with noise and pollution from HS2 works, including building replacement flats for those that are now being demolished.</p> <p>I am appalled that this proposal, originally suggested during the HS2 Act parliamentary process, has now actually been submitted. There is never a valid reason for running 150-200 tipper trucks and concrete lorries through the middle of a council estate, every day for months and years. At Parliament this was presented as a 'worst case scenario' and compensation was recommended for estate residents closest to the HS2 works. This has not materialised.</p> <p>These lorries will run straight past my home, clattering over road humps (speed cushions or no speed cushions), starting and stopping, changing gears, braking and making noisy announcements that 'this vehicle is turning left' , all day long.</p> <p>The sheer numbers will create a barrier to walking from one side of the road to the other splitting the estate in half and disrupting access to bus stops and shops.</p> <p>The proposed Haul Road, Hampstead Road and Granby Terrace Bridge are where these lorries belong.</p> <p>I am not in the best of health and I object to HS2 directly causing more health problems for me, in order to save time and money.</p> <p>Opening up Robert St junction to right turning traffic at Hampstead Rd will double the traffic on Robert St as commuter traffic realises they can now head into Central London through our estate and avoid the Grt Portland St Junction and Warren St junction on the Euston Road. Robert St is not the Euston Rd by-pass.</p> <p>So it's not just lorries running past my house but commuter traffic.</p> <p>I appreciate the Council has limited powers to reject this application but at some point it has to accept that HS2 is implementing the 'worst case scenario'. It has to start defending its residents, and its policies of reduced pollution, reduced traffic and better housing environments.</p> <p>At the recent meeting about this application organised by our TRA there was talk of passionate responses. I did not see passion, I did not see anger, I saw consternation.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/4700/HS2	Netley Primary School	18/10/2019 23:17:41	OBJNOT	<p>This objection is submitted on behalf of Netley School Governing Body</p> <p>The current proposal is for Stanhope street to be used as a haul Rd for three years without any regard for this massive impact on local residents. This impact has not been estimated, nor mitigated, and it is additional to the current massive impacts on residents on the estate.</p> <p>In particular regard must be given to the children on the estate, who will be put at risk from the HGVs, and further pollution which already impacts on their health and well being. Not only are they imprisoned in a construction site, there is this proposal to add to the cumulative effects.</p> <p>Already HS2 causes reducing enrolment in the area, particularly for Netley, and these proposals further reduce the likelihood that families will want their children in addition to be exposed to the pollution and danger proposed for residential streets.</p> <p>We understand that HS2 has a problem in getting spoil out, but this is a problem of their own making due to allowing their timescale to slip. Spoil should be taken directly onto the Hampstead Rd, but their problem is they haven't yet demolished Silverdale and the other buildings.</p> <p>However, it is not acceptable to inflict additional noise, pollution, vibration and danger on the local residents instead. The obvious solution is for them to reschedule, taking the burden on themselves, and use Granby Bridge terrace.</p> <p>The hybrid bill of February 2017 gave HS2 excessively wide reaching powers which in this instance they are abusing to save themselves money, to the detriment of the local community.</p> <p>We look to Camden Council to honour and defend the assurances they were given in the petitioning process in the commons. They must defend the vulnerable from the danger of a heavy goods vehicle every three minutes down the middle of a residential estate, with up to seven thousand at risk including a thousand children under the age of eleven walking daily to schools and nursery.</p> <p>Camden withdrew their petition in exchange for those assurances, and none of ours were granted using the excuse that the council has received the assurances that safeguard our families and children. We therefore look to Camden to refuse this planning application and require HS2 to think again and make a better plan, that safeguards the residents.</p>
2019/4700/HS2	Jo Hurford	18/10/2019 11:11:38	OBJ	<p>HS2 should not be using Harrington Square as a turning loop or driving large numbers of lorries through Regents Park Estate. They should not be using any residential roads including Grafton Way. They need to look again at moving things by rail as an alternative.</p>
2019/4700/HS2	harvey kutner	21/10/2019 10:12:21	OBJ	<p>I object to the use of Park Village east as being used as a lorry route. This is a residential road with listed properties and within the Regents Park Conservation area.</p>