Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 23/10/2019 09:10:06 Response:
2019/4420/P	heather allan	23/10/2019 09:03:54	COMMNT	I am concerned about this proposal because of the visual intrusion into Glenhurst Avenue houses, especially no 19, and increased volume of noise, especially at weekends, which a change from office to recreational use would involve. Any such short term recreational use would also increase the need for parking, and possible traffic in the street, where an increasing number of families with very young children have enjoyed a safe and peaceful road access; one of the reasons for choosing to live here.
2019/4420/P	Garry Williams	22/10/2019 12:26:37	COMNOT	I am a resident local to this change of use. These offices in a built up area of housing were previously acceptable in my view as they provided employment for local people. This building and the one next door above Kwick Fit fitters have been using the lax rules in changes of use to close offices and build either luxury housing (next door) or unnecessary recreational facilities for profiteering. There are already more than sufficient gym facilities in the locality this change is completely unnecessary. within yards there is a gym at the local pool. There is another private gym in Highgate Road East (yards away again). Then there is a Pure Gym by the Murphy's Yard and yards from that another gym in the buildings on Highgate road. (not counting the Council Gym and swimming pool within a half mile of this proposed location. Quite apart from the extension in user hours affecting local residents this gym is simply not needed nor wanted. There will be traffic in what is already a busy area - no parking restrictions after 10-12 in the local area just means greater stress on local residents. (the likely excuse that people will walk is "tosh" as is evident from the gym parking by the pool, at Pure Gym, and on Highgate Road.