Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 16/10/2019 09:10:05  Response:		
2019/4295/P	CW&DJKnight	13/10/2019 11:58:30	OBJNOT	Residential Amenity Policy A1 (managing the impact of development) DP25 The application does not enhance or preserve the Conservation Area		
				The light assessment is inadequate, misleading, and prepared by unspecified persons, reports of this nature should be made by those with appropriate qualifications to be acceptable to the Council.		
				Full details of objection have been forwarded in 3 emails to Case Officer David Peres da Costa		
				C W & D J Knight		
2019/4295/19	Jane Boardman	10/10/2019 08:32:04	OBJ	No 10 Belsize Park Mews is in a compact area of the mews and has a boundary with six properties, three of which have been divided into flats thus this proposal affects a large number of residents.		
				A point made in the application is that No10 BPM cannot be seen from Belsize Lane however BPM is still a public road and no 10 can be seen from that hence Direction 4should apply.		
				The historic Mews were planned as a low rise development subsidiary to the main buildings in Belsize Crescent to keep loss of sunlight and overlooking to a minimum. This application would disturb the balance; my flat and garden would be overlooked and have reduced sun particularly in winter, the residents of 9 and 7 Daleham Mews will have most of their sunlight blocked from their rear roof lights which presumably are in ¿Habitable Rooms¿. Further along Daleham mews this will enable overlooking of the various private roof terraces.		
				No 9 Belsize Park Mews was given as an example to add weight, this was rightly refused by Camden Planners and overturned on Appeal. It is unsightly and out of character and should not be used as exemplary in any way except to show how wrong that decision was.		
				This large extension seems only to add a small bedroom and a bathroom when there is significant loss of amenify to approximately 14 households directly. It is also out of character for the low rise Belsize Park Mews and the Belsize Conservation Area generally. Please refuse it. If it is allowed ¿obscure glass¿ at the new floor level must be stipulated.		
2019/4295/P	Michael Haas	09/10/2019 14:04:48	SUPPRT	I write to give full support to the above planning application.  The proposal would be a positive addition to the mews and would finish the roof line and front elevation in line with surrounding dwellings. In addition, the proposed alterations would bring an old dwelling up to present day standards which I believe is paramount in maintaining the area.		

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 16/1 Response:	/10/2019	09:10:05
2019/4295/P	Simon Tart	13/10/2019 22:09:47	OBJ	Response:  We would like to this object to this application, which proposes to add a storey to a flat-roofed mews building, in a congested location.		
				We are very concerned by the proposed 'barbecue flue' for which no detail is shown. This is effectively a fire with the end of the chimney directly outside our childrens' bedroom windows (7 Daleham Mews). There must be health and safety issues which prevent this. We do not object to anyone enjoying a barbecue, but a structure that channels smoke into a neighbour's amenity space is unreasonable. This is a very tight location with windows and rooflights for multiple properties within a couple of metres. We will separately send a photo from the roof terrace outside our children's bedroom.		
				Precedents should not apply as this is a very different location to other properties on Belsize Park Mews located at the junction of Belsize Park Mews, Daleham Mews and Belsize Crescent with several houses directly against it. For 9 and 9a Daleham Mews in particular the vertical gable end would take away light amentity, towering over the rooflights and windows (planning permission received, to be constructed) whithe only source of light into the rear of these properties. Given the concentrated location, the full new strough district of the properties of the proper	es built ht and rhich are torey	
				As an aside - we only heard about these plans by chance; unlike other applications, searching for the pr address in the planning register does not bring up any results; and it did not feature in the planning alert the Council sends out by email, perhaps for the same reason. So neighbours may not be aware of this.	rts that	