
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 October 2019 08:14
To: Planning; English, Rachel
Subject: planning application number 2019/4140/P

Dear Rachel English and Camden planners,

I strongly object to this planning application and the new changes in it.

The old plans had so many flaws and this new one only compounds them.

It was already badly designed ,making the opening on to West End Lane a hazard.

The densely packed flats, to be increased from 164 -180 , and the change from family to single occupancy ,is not useful to this area. Where are the council properties ,this is council land not private ,West Hampstead needs Council properties ,you have already allowed the building of other developments that have no social housing ,in the area.The remaining family housing is on the West End Lane part of the development , with the worse air quality -just what young lungs need. Also the lack of affordability ,we need council properties in this area . This is public land and should have council properties to rent .

Our infrastructure cant cope with another large development. We still have no new doctors on West End Lane ,our bus services have been cut , the pavements are too narrow and the Underground has no lift . Despite The Ballymore development , opposite the Underground station and not 5 minute walk from this one ,was meant to be Car free , it has never been . It is now a car park ,causing blockages in West End Lane ,with delivery lorries and people parking . Camden have done nothing to stop this , didn't plan for it,even when they were told it would happen and this new site will do the same . As there are less places to park on the site ,cars will use West End Lane and if they can use the access road and the Potteries path - as it is to be made wider.

Open space and local amenities .The only open space that is there at the moment is going to be made a dark and dangerous place ,with it being over shadowed by the new buildings . It seems the new plans will be land grabbing from it own green areas ,putting more expectation from the existing green space for the local area.

It seems its ok now for not only developers to breach policies but also the planners . We watched this with the Ballymore development , now it seems it happening again with 156. Camden you have robust policies as does the Mayor , yet you are turning a blind eye on them for the sake of the developers greed. You have turfed a viable business off the land ,changed its use to facilitate demands. West Hampstead was well on its way to meeting the number dwellings demanded by two London Mayors in a time frame . Why would you allow these changes to make A2 Dominion richer and the local area poorer.

Lack of notification of these changes .Badly done . Almost as though some one at the council didnt want the locals to see what was going on .