

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/1697P	Belinda Bruh	04/10/2019 12:05:19	OBJ	<p>Dear Mr Diver. I know I have previously written to you raising the OBJECTION I have together with many of my neighbours whom their peaceful living environment will be terribly affected by this development. I can't stress what my/our nightmare for being the resident of 27 Arkwright Road, we have understood all the heavy stuff will be located in the front gardens of 29/33 Arkwright Road next door, and a ramp will be built between 27 and 29 on which everything will be transported on a mechanical conveyor belt running down their entire side of the communal fence. May I please to bring to your kind attention that my second bedroom main wall and my kitchen which is adjacent to this proposed mechanical conveyor belt, and I cannot imagine what my/our life hell will be for over a year, with chaotic continual noise and disruption coming from both ends and not to mention the disruption and chaos in Arkwright Road and the frequent blocking of our driveway. I appreciate your careful consideration and your thoughtfulness for the residences well being. Many thanks</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/1697/P	Ben Shorten	04/10/2019 14:21:27	OBJ	Ben Shorten

2019/1697/P: 29-33 Arkwright Road Construction of 2 detached 2 storey houses and amendments

4 October 2019

Dear Mr. Diver,

I have already objected to the above Application on May 1st, and understand that the multitude of previous objections will now be brought forward and presented in opposition to the new hearing of this Application and its amendments.

Therefore I wish to add these further objections to the amendments.

- A) There seems to be very little significant changes in the amendments from the main Application.
- B) The density proposed is now twice that of the density of the nearby houses in the lane. Our garden and house will be overlooked and our amenities greatly affected.
- C) The new drawings show more trees removed than in the initial application, now more than a dozen. This is in addition to the trees already felled without permission on 24/07/17 when a Council official was called to photograph the evidence and report back. Nothing more was heard.
- D) Many of the remaining trees will be prone to damage by the proposed building works as detailed in our PBA Consulting Report, which has been submitted to you.
- E) These two adjoining gardens are not (backland; as stated or brownfield) but most obviously verdant greenfield garden sites. The 2016 High Court decision clearly reinforces the importance of private gardens in built-up areas. The Deputy Judge found that only residential gardens within the "built-up area" were exempt from the definition of previously developed land whereas, residential gardens outside "built up areas" were "brownfield".
- F) The Conservation Areas rear gardens leading down to the heavily congested and polluted Finchley Road are valuable amenities, and of vital importance to the character of the Redington Froggnal Conservation Area (a designated heritage asset). Any development at this site would be contrary to NPPF paras 118, point b) and 122 d). 118. Planning policies and decisions should b) recognise that some underdeveloped land can perform many functions, such as for wildlife, recreation, flood risk mitigation, cooling/shading, carbon storage or food production.122. Planning policies and decisions should support development that makes efficient use of land, taking into account: d) the desirability of maintaining an area's prevailing character and setting (including residential gardens), or of promoting regeneration and change.

Application No: Consultees Name: Received:

Comment: Response:

G) In the extremely hot weather of this summer we have seen a huge variety of wildlife taking refuge in the gardens - foxes, herons, squirrels, bats, owls, frogs and a multitude of birds nesting and bathing in our garden ponds and birdbaths. These will be driven out by any construction and the proposed paving over of the grass.

H) I, and other neighbours, never received the letter about the first draft CMP which promised meaningful consultation with local residents. I am shocked by the discovery that not only would access to the proposed building site be made from the tiny lane off Frognal, already objected to, but all heavy materials and equipment would be transferred from 29/33 Arkwright Road. This would involve a Ramp and mechanical conveyor belt through No 29 that would run the entire length of the fence between our adjoining garden, doubtless removing more trees. This would also entail the boarding and destruction of the two front gardens of 29/33, cause immense disruption to the gridlocked Arkwright Road (the most polluted in the Redington/Frognal area) and cause a year of unbearable noise and dust. Our garden would be unusable.

This is effectively the third set of proposals for this dreadful Application. At a time when the whole world attention and media are focused on the preservation of our vanishing greenery and natural resources in the fight against pollution, it is extraordinary that this Application, contrary to law and Camden's own policies and purely for developers profit, should even be put forward. I trust the Council will have no hesitation in summarily rejecting it.

Yours truly,

Ben Shorten

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/1697P	Peter Ibsen	04/10/2019 18:35:41	OBJ	Dear Sirs

I have tried to submit the below on the Camden website but unfortunately got error messages, so thought it would be relevant to also submit directly here instead.

I would like to express my deepest and sincerest objections to any additional construction at the suggested site for numerous reasons set out below.

Firstly, and again, I'd like to point out that new and slightly adjusted plans for the site, seem to be submitted very regularly now and as often as they get submitted, they also get rejected. The truth of the matter is, these applications in themselves are becoming a nuisance to all residents around the site and in the area. Continuously having to object with the same and very obvious and much further reaching reasons every time, seem to become a frequent occurrence.

More to the point would be that NONE of these applications really change any parameters nor (and more importantly) deal with the much further reaching damaging implications both short and long term for all other residence and the area itself. Nor do they adhere to Camden's own policy and for that matter, the much bigger political agenda for the area in any form. With that, I really think Camden should consider not just a further rejection to this application but also once and for all any rejection to any future development of this site should be made permanent.

Once again, my obvious and very clear objections to the above application would be:

The area in question is a conservation area. I refer to Camden's own Local Conservation Policy. A policy all other residents proudly adhere to and pride themselves in upholding at all times. Any build like this would violate all or most of Camden's Local Conservation Policy in itself. The fact that is even considered goes against Camden's own and very well respected policies in the area. As mentioned above, on this alone, these applications should be rejected without hesitation.

Allowing this or ANY build like this could very quickly and easily set a precedence for any other future applications to destroy the entire area which has been so lovingly conserved and rightfully protected by law for a very long time.

The above point also affecting the thriving and protected wildlife in the immediate area significantly. It would without doubt have a lasting and very damaging effect too. I include permanent destruction of greenery and mature trees.

The increased noise and disturbance in an already very busy and crowded area would simply be unbearable for the build period. Including traffic on both Frognaal and Arkwright Road which at times is beyond feverish because of the countless schools in the area and Arkwright Road already being a very busy through-road. The increase of pollution in the area during the build would simply be unacceptable as well. Arkwright Road already having the highest air pollution in the area.

To point 4-5. These implications would without doubt also be lasting implications after the build has been finished. For the area and current levels of noise, traffic, pollution and erosion being where they are at, any further would simply be unacceptable on any level. Especially, when every political campaign now centres around minimising all substantially. Lasting implications would also include invasion of privacy and space (including parking) even harder to come by.

Once again, the buildings themselves seem to very intruding and completely contrary to most other buildings

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
				<p>in the area, again, lovingly being kept within the boundaries of the strict local Conservation Policy.</p> <p>I hope the above sets out my points of view on this ongoing saga and truly hope this application, like all the previous ones, will be rejected again or all and very similar reasons to the last as well</p> <p>However, and again, I do also hope that the council will take a much longer-term view on the situation as a whole this time and shut down the ability for similar applications to simply keep appearing over and over again. Clearly, a much more permanent rejection once and for all, would be the only just and right solution to this, going forward.</p> <p>Thank you and yours sincerely Peter Ibsen Flat 2, 27 Arkwright Road, London, NW3 6BJ</p>
2019/1697/P	Belinda Bruh	04/10/2019 12:05:03	OBJ	<p>Dear Mr Diver. I know I have previously written to you raising the OBJECTION I have together with many of my neighbours whom their peaceful living environment will be terribly affected by this development. I can't stress what my/our nightmare for being the resident of 27 Arkwright Road; we have understood all the heavy stuff will be located in the front gardens of 29/33 Arkwright Road next door, and a ramp will be built between 27 and 29 on which everything will be transported on a mechanical conveyor belt running down their entire side of the communal fence. May I please to bring to your kind attention that my second bedroom main wall and my kitchen which is adjacent to this proposed mechanical conveyor belt; and I cannot imagine what my/our life hell will be for over a year, with chaotic continual noise and disruption coming from both ends and not to mention the disruption and chaos in Arkwright Road and the frequent blocking of our driveway. I appreciate your careful consideration and your thoughtfulness for the residences well being. Many thanks</p>
2019/1697/P	Belinda Bruh	04/10/2019 12:05:00	OBJ	<p>Dear Mr Diver. I know I have previously written to you raising the OBJECTION I have together with many of my neighbours whom their peaceful living environment will be terribly affected by this development. I can't stress what my/our nightmare for being the resident of 27 Arkwright Road; we have understood all the heavy stuff will be located in the front gardens of 29/33 Arkwright Road next door, and a ramp will be built between 27 and 29 on which everything will be transported on a mechanical conveyor belt running down their entire side of the communal fence. May I please to bring to your kind attention that my second bedroom main wall and my kitchen which is adjacent to this proposed mechanical conveyor belt; and I cannot imagine what my/our life hell will be for over a year, with chaotic continual noise and disruption coming from both ends and not to mention the disruption and chaos in Arkwright Road and the frequent blocking of our driveway. I appreciate your careful consideration and your thoughtfulness for the residences well being. Many thanks</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/1697P	Belinda Bruh	04/10/2019 12:05:30	OBJ	<p>Dear Mr Diver, I know I have previously written to you raising the OBJECTION I have together with many of my neighbours whom their peaceful living environment will be terribly affected by this development. I can't stress what my/our nightmare for being the resident of 27 Arkwright Road, we have understood all the heavy stuff will be located in the front gardens of 29/33 Arkwright Road next door, and a ramp will be built between 27 and 29 on which everything will be transported on a mechanical conveyor belt running down their entire side of the communal fence. May I please to bring to your kind attention that my second bedroom main wall and my kitchen which is adjacent to this proposed mechanical conveyor belt, and I cannot imagine what my/our life hell will be for over a year, with chaotic continual noise and disruption coming from both ends and not to mention the disruption and chaos in Arkwright Road and the frequent blocking of our driveway. I appreciate your careful consideration and your thoughtfulness for the residences well being. Many thanks</p>
