

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 01 October 2019 11:11  
**To:** Sild, Thomas  
**Subject:** Comment from Bloomsbury CAAC  
**Attachments:** Camden Security.docx

Dear Thomas,

[REDACTED]

Jo Hurford, BCAAC

2019/3274/P 171 Grays Inn Road

Object

This should be rejected on the basis they are trying to overdevelop the site. Just because a neighbouring property got permission for an upward extension is no reason to allow this one.

Any loss of light for neighbouring properties whatsoever is unacceptable, even though they say it will be within BRE guidelines.

It is unacceptable for neighbours to have 2 nearby developments going on at the same time, thinking of the Eastman Dental Hospital across the street.