
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 27 September 2019 20:17
To: Thuaire, Charles; Planning
Subject: Re: Planning Application response – Millfield Lane, Water House, 2019/2584/P
Attachments: Millfield lane main entrance.png

Dear Mr. Thuaire,

Thank you for your clarifications. As a member of public, I am a stakeholder of the Millfield lane part of the application only, and only follow those developments, especially as the Millfield Lane gate is indicated as the "Proposed Main Entrance" on the revised application dated 27/08/19. This wording also suggests that most of the private access is expected via Millfield lane.

Thank you for the contact of City of London. You are right, it is up to them to respond to this planning application. However, as this area lies within Camden, should Camden Council ensure that all parties involved (ie. the private houses facing the lane on one side and the City of London) understand their responsibilities for the signage and road maintenance that follows from using Millfield lane as the main entrance to private residential houses? This is about ensuring public/pedestrian safety in Camden once the banksmen directing Millfield lane traffic leave the site. It only seems reasonable, as the road is unadopted, that all that Camden Council can and has to do is to delegate responsibilities to the relevant parties that may otherwise not be explicitly aware of them. It would be great if the record of this correspondence is posted on the website.

Thank you for your help,

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]











