
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 19 September 2019 15:52
To: 'Planning; English, Rachel'
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: planning applications 2019/4304/a and 2019/3793/p SHOPFRONT/
LIGHTING/ CHANGE OF USE - OBJECTION

Dear sirs

My name is [REDACTED] I am the owner of and reside in of one of the flats above these premises and I wish to strongly object to this application and its implications

This actually is a conservation area – the proposed lighting levels and appropriate colours of the proposal are lacking in detailed information that should be carefully considered in a conservation area with lumen levels , sample products and colours provided .

The single door with panel to the left of the shopfront is a bespoke oak panelled door which was installed to match the other period doors in the street to an aluminium door set is bizarre. The door and panel is outside the domain / ownership of the shop . I received a plaudit at the time of installation from the local residents association for installing a new door set commensurate with the conservation area original door types so that must stay . But it is an indication of the amateurish approach in making the application , that the applicant would arbitrarily seek to change someone elses door in their proposals especially from a period door to a cheap aluminium door. The area above the door is also outside the shop lessees domain..

There has been zero consultation with the residents and neighbours about this application which is just a nuisance to deal with as it again raises bigger issues about fire safety and environmental matters beyond signage and shopfronts.

The application has no detail of proposed light levels or colours that again should be commensurate with a conservation area and in this case with residents windows within one metre of the proposal with the subsequent light pollution issues arising ,this is a matter that requires serious discussion and detailing for due subsequent consultation and consideration

The signage is for A3 use age that the premises do not have , refer to previous recent application that was withdrawn assumingly due to the level of objections .

I assume that the application is a poor attempt to open for A3 use age , the “choppaluna” franchise website clearly states it is a restaurant business

The attempted change of use is against Camden guidelines , the area already exceeds the level of A3 use as our planning consultant pointed out in the previous change of use application .

The application is lacking in detail and consultation .The intent is really for change of use and together with the erroneous elements in the application , in the opinion of neighbour and Camden guidelines be refused

Regards
[REDACTED]