

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/1701/P		26/04/2019 09:27:02	COMMNT	<p>A couple of points.</p> <p>First of all, this application should have been done before the works. If the owners really cared about keeping the look and feel of Belsize Park Gardens they would have done their homework like the rest of us?</p> <p>Second of all, it looks absolutely terrible. It completely ruins not only the specific property but the overall feel of the street. It is not compliant with overall standards. It clearly is a job done very cheaply, as we all know the proper tiles and look costs significantly more than putting down cheap bricks.</p> <p>Overall, we are not supportive of this 'rogue approach' to do works that are not compliant and they then get signed off because they have already been carried out. This is exactly how we ruin our street and area.</p>
2019/1701/P		26/04/2019 10:06:06	OBJ	<p>This is effectively the same application as application 2018/6369/P - which was already refused earlier in 2019.</p> <p>I therefore don't understand why a similar planning application is being allowed again.</p> <p>I had objected to application 2018/6369/P on the following grounds: "I would like to object to the brick make up of the stairs. This is totally out of keeping with anything that currently exists within Belsize Park Gardens. This new design also does not make in my view a positive contribution to the area." And I would therefore like to object to this new but similar application on the same grounds.</p> <p>I also note that the existing drawing is not accurate as there is currently no mosaic tiling near the door or anywhere else.</p> <p>I would not object if the aim was to instate mosaic tiling everywhere in a similar fashion to that found at 20, 27, 29 or 33 Belsize Park Gardens.</p>