Delegated Re	port	Analysis shee	t	Expiry Date:	05/09/2019
	١	N/A / attached		Consultation Expiry Date:	
Officer			Application No	ımber(s)	
Nick Bell			2019/3827/T		
Application Address Flat 1 28 Mornington Terrace London NW1 7RS			Drawing Numb	pers	
PO 3/4 Area Tea	m Signature	C&UD	Authorised Of	ficer Signature	
Proposal(s)					
REAR GARDEN: 1 x Lin	ne (T4) - Fell t	o ground level			
Recommendation(s):					
Application Type:	Notification of Intended Works to Tree(s) in a Conservation Area				

Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:	Refer to Draft Decision Notice							
Informatives:								
Consultations								
Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified	22	No. of responses	01	No. of objections	01		
			No. electronic	00				
Summary of consultation responses:	 The council received one objection which can be summerised as: The tree is over 200 years old An extension at 55 Albert Street is unlawful and is on wholly inadequate foundations The rainwater downpipe is not connecting to the sub-surface drainage system which may be causing problems Investigations were not carried out in a timely manner Removing the tree could cause heave Pruning the tree has not been considered as an option Removing the tree would lead to a significant loss of enjoyment The tree helps to reduce air pollution 							
CAAC/Local groups* comments: *Please Specify	None received							

Relevant policies				
LDF Core Strategy and Development Policies				
Accessment				
Assessment				
The s.211 notification is for the removal of a lime tree from the rear garden of a residential property				
that is situated within the Camden Town Conservation Area.				

The application has been submitted by a third party in response to alleged tree related property damage at another address.

The tree is of low visibility from the public realm and is not considered to significantly contribute to the character of this part of the conservation area. The tree does not contribute to the streetscape and provides a low level of amenity to the public

The tree is not considered to be a noteworthy example of it species and is not of a rare species. The tree is of no known cultural or historic value.

The proposed works are not considered to be detrimental to the character of this part of the conservation area.

For the reasons above it is not expedient for the council to serve a tree preservation order to protect the tree.

The documents submitted allege that the tree is contributing to damage at a different address. As the tree is not considered to be suitable for a tree preservation order, whether or not the tree is implicated in contributing to property damage has not formed part of the decision making process. The council does not object to the proposed works.