

A - PRIMARY TEST - TfL PEDESTRIAN COMFORT GUIDANCE, 2010	B - SECONDARY TEST - MINIMUM FOOTWAY CLEAR ZONE (TfL STREETSCAPE GUIDANCE, 2017)	D - REASONS FOR REFUSAL AND RESPONSE TO REFUSAL
<p>1) The total width of the footway at the site is 4.3m.</p> <p>2) Pedestrian surveys indicate that the site experiences high levels of pedestrian flows over 1200 pedestrians per hour (pph) according to the above TfL Pedestrian Comfort guidance.</p> <p>3) The level of pedestrian flow at the site (1704pph) indicates that the recommended overall footway width should be 5.3m (total width). The existing footway width falls below this level and therefore does not comply with the Primary Test relating to the TfL Pedestrian Comfort guidance.</p>	<p>1) There is a footway clear zone of 2.7m at the proposed location taking account of the proposed furniture zone (1.32m for the telephone kiosk with a 0.45m setback from the edge of the kerb).</p> <p>2) The preferred minimum unobstructed width of a footway is 2m. However the above guidance recommends this can be reduced to an absolute minimum of 1m where there is an obstacle along a length of not more than 6m. The site will provide a footway clear zone of at least 2.7m in accordance with this guidance.</p>	<p>Refusal Description:</p> <p>"1 The proposed telephone kiosk, by reason of its location, size and detailed design, would add to visual clutter and detract from the character and appearance of the street scene, contrary to policy D3 of the Kentish Town Neighbourhood Plan 2016 and policy D1 (Design) of the London Borough of Camden Local Plan 2017.</p> <p>2 The proposed telephone kiosk, by virtue of its location, size and detailed design, and adding unnecessary street clutter, would reduce the amount of useable, unobstructed footway, which would be detrimental to the quality of the public realm, cause harm to highway safety and hinder pedestrian movement and have a detrimental impact on the promotion of walking as an alternative to motorised transport, contrary to policies G1 (Delivery and location of growth), A1 (Managing the impact of development), C6 (Access for all) and T1 (Prioritising walking, cycling and public transport) of the London Borough of Camden Local Plan 2017.</p> <p>3 The proposed telephone kiosk, by virtue of its inappropriate siting, size and design, would fail to reduce opportunities for crime and antisocial behaviour to the detriment of community safety and security, and compromise the safety of those using and servicing the telephone kiosk contrary to policy C5 (Safety and Security) of the London Borough of Camden Local Plan 2017.</p> <p>4 The proposed telephone kiosk, by reason of its design, would not be accessible to wheelchair users, failing to promote fair access or meet sufficient standard of design contrary to policy C6 (Access for all) of the London Borough of Camden Local Plan 2017."</p>
		

