Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 09/09/2019 09:10:04  Response:
2019/3564/P	Alastair Ross	07/09/2019 22:21:47	OBJNOT	I live on Chenies Mews - anything that reduces the green corridor space and has potential to damage a mature tree is cause to object. Trees and greenery will become more important ways to reduce the urban heat island effects of climate change. it seems odd that this is being proposed whilst Camden is making such improvements to the area by adding to green space in Alfred Place and off Grafton Way. But at the same time considering applications like this  Whilst not impacting on the views from my flat on Chenies Mews, I¿m concerned that the proposed development will extend so close to the back of the houses on Chenies Mews.
2019/3564/P	Nailya Mansurova	06/09/2019 19:44:07	OBJ	Dear Sir/Madam  I would like to register my concerns related to this application and the impact it will have on my family and my surrounding neighbours. The proposed building at the rear of my property will significantly reduce daylight being able to reach my garden and prevent any natural light coming into my actual property. The proximity and size of the proposed structure will be extremely intrusive, as from the drawings appears to exceed the height of an already elevated rear garden wall and will enable those working in the new building to see into both my garden and home leading to loss of privacy. Blinds will have to be installed, leading to loss of natural light in the 1st floor and will increase the need to have a permanent lighting throughout the day increasing my need to use additional energy. I am even more concerned on the light loss on the lower floor in which my kitchen is located and my garden. The removal of natural light will drive the need to a permanent light solution to make the kitchen area safe in the day. While the garden is small, we like to attempt to keep a natural space with a limited number of plants and shrubs and without light I fear these will not survive, which I think is a shame in a densely populated and built up area to not make attempt to conserve the small pockets of natural areas.  The site itself does not lend itself to such a large extension and it feels like the area is being over developed which, once completed, will lead to increased noise, disturbance as well as having an adverse visual impact on the area in general.  I am also concerned about the usage of the outside area to the rear of my property. Cos the owners want to change the property from a residential to an office building would ,probably ,mean that the little area next to that building will become a designated smoking area , meaning that every time we go into our garden it will smell of smoke. This will also mean that I will have to keep my three children from going into their own garden in order to prevent

A	C	Danisa da	C	Printed on: 09/09/2019 09:10:04
Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/3564/P	Linus Rees	06/09/2019 23:34:33	OBJ	Our association objects to this application as it will have a very negative impact on the amenity of residents backing on to the application site in Chenies Mews. The application proposes to demolish a small 1950s out house at the rear of the site. In its place is proposed to fill the entire length of the rear of the premises with a single story extension. This would have the effect of enclosing the rear area facing Chenies Mews. The extension will also incorporate a rear amenity area on the application site facing towards Chenies Mews. This rear extension will be within several metres of rear of the occupants of Chenies Mews. In addition floor to ceiling high windows will face towards Chenies Mews which would cause significant light pollution during the winter months to neighbouring residents. The amenity space due to its proximity would also cause a disturbance to neighbours. The applicant states that a rear extension two doors away is similar to that proposed. However on that site there is no neighbour to the rear of the site in Chenies Mews. We also have concerns about damage to the tree as described in the accompanying report. Likewise we are concerned the building to the rear of the premises reduces the outside space to the rear and would prevent any future green infrastructure from being installed. We also consider the application to be an over development in a conservation area and would obscure the rear elevation of the listed building and harm its setting. We do not think the benefit of increased office space outweighs the harm of the development. For the above reasons the

application should be refused.