# GROUND MOVEMENT ASSESSMENT REPORT

# 26 Netherhall Gardens London NW3 5TL

Client: Atlas Property Company Limited

J15344

August 2019



# **Document Control**

Project title		26 Neth	26 Netherhall Gardens, London, NW3 5TL Project ref J15344								
Report prep	ared by	M bey	M Cy								
		Martin Coo Technical I	oper BEng CEng MICE FGS Director								
Report checl approved fo	ked and r issue by	Mon									
		Steve Bran Managing	Steve Branch BSc MSc CGeol FGS FRGS Managing Director								
Issue No	lo Status		Amendment Details	Date	Approve	d for Issue					
1	Final			14 August 2019							
2 Final			Basement reduced in size and depth 22 August 2019 &								

This report has been issued by the GEA office indicated below. Any enquiries regarding the report should be directed to the office indicated or to Steve Branch in our Herts office.

	Hertfordshire	tel 01727 824666
	Nottinghamshire	tel 01509 674888
~	Manchester	tel 0161 209 3032

Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited (GEA) disclaims any responsibility to the Client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of this work. This report has been prepared with reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the contract with the Client and taking account of the manpower, resources, investigation and testing devoted to it in agreement with the Client. This report is confidential to the Client and GEA accepts no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report or any part thereof is made known, unless formally agreed beforehand. Any such party relies upon the report at their own risk. This report may provide advice based on an interpretation of legislation, guidance notes and codes of practice. GEA does not however provide legal advice and if specific legal advice is required a lawyer should be consulted.

This report is intended as a Ground Investigation Report (GIR) as defined in BS EN1997-2, unless specifically noted otherwise. The report is not a Geotechnical Design Report (GDR) as defined in EN1997-2 and recommendations made within this report are for guidance only.

© Geotechnical & Environmental Associates Limited 2019



# CONTENTS

1.0	INT	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Proposed Development	1
	1.2	Limitations	2
2.0	THE	ESITE	2
	2.1	Site Description	2
3.0	GRC	OUND MOVEMENT ANALYSIS	2
	3.1	Construction Methodology	2
4.0	GRO	OUND MOVEMENTS	3
	4.1	Model Used	3
		4.1.1 Piling and Excavation Related Movements	3
		4.1.2 Basement Heave Considerations	3
	4.2	Results	4
		4.2.1 Piling and Excavation Related Movements	4
5.0	DAN	MAGE ASSESSMENT	5
	5.1	Piling and Excavation Related Damage	5
	5.2	Comment	6
	5.3	Monitoring of Ground Movements	6
6.0	CON	NCLUSIONS	6

APPENDICES

# 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Geotechnical and Environmental Associates (GEA) has been commissioned by Byrne Looby and Partners, formerly Sinclair Johnston and Partners (SJ&P), on behalf of Atlas Property Company Limited, to revise a previous ground movement assessment for the proposed redevelopment of this site at 26 Netherhall Gardens, London, NW3 5TL. The redevelopment is to include a single-storey basement following demolition of the existing building.

The previous ground movement assessment was undertaken in 2015 and was based on a partly single-storey and partly two-storey basement. Information on the ground conditions was obtained from a site investigation by Site Analytical Services Limited (SAS) referenced 14/22068 and dated June 2014. SJ&P structural drawings and Design and Construction Statement were used in the preparation of the previous assessment, which was referenced J15344 Rep Issue 1 and dated December 2015.

The drawings have subsequently been updated and this assessment is based on the SJ&P Structural Design and Construction Statement, ref 8240, dated October 2018.

### 1.1 **Proposed Development**

It is understood that it is proposed to demolish part of the existing building and replace it with a new structure which will include a single-storey basement and cutting into part of an existing slope at the rear of the property. A section through the proposed building indicating the extent of the proposed basement is shown below.



The temporary and permanent excavation support will be provided by a perimeter secant bored pile wall on three sides and underpinning of the existing building on the fourth. The structural loadings will ultimately be transferred to a raft slab and any net uplift forces will be resisted by the embedded portions of the bored pile wall.

This report is specific to the proposed development and the advice herein should be reviewed if the development proposals are amended.



# 1.2 Limitations

The conclusions and recommendations made in this report are limited to those that can be made on the basis of the investigation. The results of the work should be viewed in the context of the range of data sources consulted, the number of locations where the ground was sampled and the number of soil, gas or groundwater samples tested; no liability can be accepted for information in other data sources or conditions not revealed by the sampling or testing. Any comments made on the basis of information obtained from the client or other third parties are given in good faith on the assumption that the information is accurate; no independent validation of such information has been made by GEA.

# 2.0 THE SITE

# 2.1 Site Description

The site is located in the London Borough of Camden, northwest London, and in the ward of Frognal and Fitzjohns, approximately 300 m to the north of Finchley Road London Underground station. It fronts onto Netherhall Gardens to the west and is bordered by detached houses to the north and south and by the gardens of the houses on Maresfield Gardens to the east. The site may be additionally located by National Grid Reference 550453, 178498.

A full description of the site and its geographical and environmental settings are included in the site investigation report and within the Basement Impact Assessment (BIA); it is important to note that the site slopes up by some 5 m from front to rear (west to east).

# 3.0 GROUND MOVEMENT ANALYSIS

This analysis has been limited to the assessment of movements arising from the installation of the bored pile walls and the subsequent excavation.

# 3.1 Construction Methodology

It is proposed that the basement box will be formed on three sides by secant bored pile walls with the fourth side, beneath the existing southern wall of 26 Netherhall Gardens, being formed by underpinning. The walls will be used to temporarily support the excavation during construction with long term retention provided by composite action of the pile walls and their internal lining walls. Whilst a construction sequence has been outlined by Sinclair Johnston, further consideration of the piling levels and sequencing has been undertaken by GEA to allow the analysis of ground movements to be undertaken.

Initially, piling will be undertaken from the upper rear garden level and this piling level has been assumed to be 75.0 m OD. Piles surrounding the main basement will then be installed from roughly the existing ground level of 72.6 m OD.

Following completion of the piling, the single-level basement will be excavated to 69.0 m OD with the bored pile walls supported temporarily by steel props. The new lower ground floor slab will provide the permanent support at basement level and the new ground floor slab will prop the wall at its head level.



# 4.0 GROUND MOVEMENTS

An assessment of ground movements surrounding the excavation has been undertaken using the X-Disp computer program licensed from the OASYS suite of geotechnical modelling software from Arup. This program is commonly used within the ground engineering industry and is considered to be an appropriate tool for this analysis. The X-Disp program has been used to predict ground movements likely to arise from the installation of the bored piled walls and then from the subsequent excavation of the basement. For the X-Disp analysis, the soil movement relationships used for the embedded retaining walls are those taken from CIRIA report C760<sup>1</sup>.

For the purpose of these analyses, the corners have been defined by x and y coordinates, with the x-direction having been taken as being perpendicular to Netherhall Gardens whilst the y-direction is parallel to it. Vertical movement is in the z-direction.

The full outputs of all of the stages of the analyses can be provided on request but the output movement contour plots are included within the appendix along with the input parameters and the building damage assessment.

### 4.1 Models Used

The construction sequence and details of the proposed foundations together with details of the adjacent buildings have all been provided by SJ&P.

### 4.1.1 **Piling and Excavation Related Movements**

The bored pile walls will be installed from the ground levels noted previously and will support the excavation in the temporary case with the permanent excavation support provided by the piled walls acting compositely with the walls and floors to form a rigid basement box. A formal pile design will be undertaken by the piling contractor in due course, along with the finalization of the excavation sequence and propping design. However, at this stage there is considered to be sufficient detail in the Sinclair Johnston documents to make certain assumptions upon which the ground movements can be based.

The wall is proposed to be a secant bored pile wall. The SJ&P drawings indicate that the piled wall will be propped at pile head level as well as at basement level in both temporary and permanent conditions and on this basis a piled wall of high stiffness in clay is considered an appropriate wall model for the analysis. Pile lengths of 7.6 m have been adopted for the basement wall, representing a ratio of embedded length to exposed length of 1:1 which is considered reasonable for a multi propped walls such as this. The rear wall piles have been taken as bearing at the same level as the basement piles (65.4 m OD) which results in these piles being 9.6 m long.

The shape of the basement means that some simplification is required to model it within the X-Disp program. Accordingly the re-entrant corner of the piled wall has been simplified in the northwestern corner to a diagonal line.

# 4.1.2 Basement Heave Considerations

At this site the loads imposed by the proposed building are to be supported upon a raft that bears within the London Clay. The excavation does, however cause unloading of the London Clay which in turn leads to heave and swelling due to elastic 'rebound' following stress relief in the short term and long term swelling due to the recovery of pore water pressures.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Gaba, A, Hardy, S, Powrie, W, Doughty, L and Selemetas, D (2017) *Embedded retaining walls – guidance for economic design* CIRIA Report C760

It is estimated that the total unloading will be in the order of 70 kN/m<sup>2</sup> but the loading from the new structure will be of a similar order. Whilst there may be some short term heave, after construction the reloading will effectively balance the unloading such that ground movements should be negligible.

# 4.2 Results

# 4.2.1 Piling and Excavation Related Movements

The X-Disp analysis has been used to estimate the movements behind the walls resulting from pile installation and basement excavation. This includes the settlement of the ground (vertical movement) and the lateral movement of soil behind the wall (horizontal movement). The contour graphs of these movement predictions are appended for the piling phase together with the total movement prediction which has combined the effects of piling and excavation. It is noted that the wall installation movements for a contiguous bored pile wall have been adopted rather than a secant wall. This is based on information from Ball, Langdon and Creighton<sup>2</sup> which reports on a contiguous bored pile wall in central London and represents, in scale and currency of data, a close representation to this site. Ball et al report that measured wall installation movements could be normalised to between 0.006% and 0.012% of pile length. The paper then suggests that a normalised relationship of 0.02%, ie, half of the C760 movements, could be appropriate for a contiguous wall whilst remaining relatively conservative; subject to careful control of installation. On the understanding that equally tight controls of pile installation are maintained it follows that there is no reason why a similar relationship for a secant wall should not exist, particularly given modern piling techniques. It is considered that it is reasonable for the C760 relationship for a secant wall to also be halved and hence the value of 0.04% can be adopted, rather than 0.08%. This equates to the movements predicted by the curves for the installation of contiguous bored pile wall in stiff clay provided within C760.

The predicted movements are summarised in the table below; the results are presented to the degree of accuracy required to allow predicted variations in ground movements around the structure to be illustrated, but may not reflect the anticipated accuracy of the predictions.

Dhace of Works	Maximum Movement at 71.6 m OD – sensibly average foundation level					
	Vertical Settlement (mm)	Horizontal Movement (mm)				
Piling	5 to 6	<5				
Combined Piling and Basement Excavation	5 to 10	5 to 10				

The movements set out in the table above are the maximum movements and generally occur immediately or just outside the line of the piled walls; the effects of the excavation reduce with distance away from the piled wall.

<sup>2</sup> Ball, R, Langdon, N, and Creighton, M (2014) Prediction of party wall movements using Ciria report C580. Ground Engineering, September 2014





# 5.0 DAMAGE ASSESSMENT

In addition to the above assessment of the likely movements that will result from the proposed development, some of the neighbouring structures are considered to be sensitive structures and have been subject to Building Damage Assessments, on the basis of the classification given in Table 2.5 of CIRIA report C760, referred to hereafter as 'Burland' damage categories. For this assessment the adjacent properties at Nos 24 / 24A and 28 Netherhall Gardens and the single storey structures beyond the eastern site boundary have been adopted as sensitive structures for prediction of the building damage category.

### 5.1 **Piling and Excavation Related Damage**

The predicted movements have been further analysed in respect of the key sensitive structures in the front, side and rear walls of Nos 24 / 24A and 28 Netherhall Gardens and the single storey buildings to the rear of the site.

The sensitive structures have been modelled as lines in the analysis and are the lines along which the damage assessment has been undertaken. These critical lines are assumed to be sensitive at their foundation levels which, from the drawings supplied by SJ&P, have been taken as 71.0 m OD for Nos 24 / 24A, 71.6 m OD for No 28 and 77.0 m OD for the single-storey structures to the rear of the property.

The movement predictions above have been assessed against the length and height of the structures from foundation to eaves and the calculated strains compared against the limits defined within the Burland categories of damage. On the basis of these results, the following damage categories have been predicted; calculation sheets and movement contour plots are attached.

Building Damage Assessment						
Sensitive Structure	Elevation	Category of Damage*				
24 / 24A Netherhall Gardens	Front (Line 1)	Category 1 (Very Slight)				
24 / 24A Netherhall Gardens	Side (Line 2)	Category 0 (Negligible)				
24 / 24A Netherhall Gardens	Rear Side (Line 3)	Category 0 (Negligible)				
24 / 24A Netherhall Gardens	Rear (Line 4)	Category 0 (Negligible)				
28 Netherhall Gardens	Front North (Line 5)	Category 0 (Negligible)				
28 Netherhall Gardens	Front South (Line 6)	Category 0 (Negligible)				
28 Netherhall Gardens	Side (Line 7)	Category 0 (Negligible)				
28 Netherhall Gardens	Rear (Line 8)	Category 0 (Negligible)				
Rear Structures	West (Line 9)	Category 0 (Negligible)				
Rear Structures	North (Line 10)	Category 0 (Negligible)				
Rear Structures	East North (Line11)	Category 0 (Negligible)				
Rear Structures	East Mid (Line 12)	Category 0 (Negligible)				



Building Damage Assessment								
Sensitive Structure	Elevation	Category of Damage*						
Rear Structures	East South (Line 13)	Category 0 (Negligible)						
Rear Structures	South (Line 14)	Category 0 (Negligible)						

\*Refer to Table 2.5 of C580: Classification of visible damage to walls.

The building damage reports for sensitive structures highlighted in the above table predict that the damage to the adjoining and nearby structures would vary from Category 0 (negligible) to Category 1 (very slight). A site plan annotated with the above categories is appended for clarity.

### 5.2 **Comment**

The damage assessments above reflect the critical cases in the phases of construction and to a degree represent a simplification of the construction process and offer worst-case scenarios.

The damage assessments are therefore considered to represent a reasonable estimate of movements at this stage and offer a simple 'global' view of the movement contours around the site. The ground movement analysis will be refined in due course when the pile design has been completed, propping levels and stiffnesses have been defined and the construction finalised. However, it is the experience of GEA that the refinement through detailed design will reduce rather than increase predictions of wall movements and it follows that the final damage categories will be no worse than those above.

### 5.3 Monitoring of Ground Movements

The predictions of ground movement based on the ground movement analysis will be checked by monitoring of adjacent buildings.

Condition surveys of the above existing structures will be carried out before and after the proposed works will be set out by Sinclair Johnston in a monitoring strategy. This will be subject to discussions and agreements with the owners of the adjacent properties and structures. Contingency measures will also be agreed and will be implemented if movements of the adjacent structures exceed predefined trigger levels.

# 6.0 CONCLUSIONS

The analysis has concluded that the predicted damage to the neighbouring properties would very between Category 0'negligible' and Category 1 'very slight'. On this basis, the damage that would inevitably occur as a result of such an excavation would fall within the acceptable limits of London Borough of Camden.

The detailed retaining wall design will provide a site specific view of the likely ground movements and will allow refinement of the ground movement analysis. The detailed design will be used to ensure that the predictions of ground movements are within acceptable limits.

The piling and subsequent excavation will in practice be separated by a number of weeks during which time the piles will cure, capping beams will be cast and the upper propping installed. This will provide an opportunity for the ground movements to be measured and the data acquired can be fed back into the design and compared with the predicted values. Such a



comparison will allow the ground model to be reviewed and the wall movement predictions to be reassessed prior to the main excavation taking place so that propping arrangements can be adjusted if required.

This pre-emptive approach to mitigation is considered to provide a robust methodology to minimising predicted ground movements in advance of construction and then monitoring and responding to movements during construction.



# APPENDICES

### SOIL DISPLACEMENT MODEL RESULTS

### **X-DISP ANALYSIS**

Movements following underpinning and piling

Movements following underpinning, piling and excavation



$\bigcirc$	GEOTECHNICAL AND	Job No.	Sheet No.	Rev.
Oasys	ENVIRONMENTALASSOCIATES	LJ15344		
26 Netherhall Gardens		Drg. Ref.		
Pile Installation		Made by MC	Date 20-Aug-2019	Checked

#### Specific Building Damage Results - Critical Values for All Segments within Each Sub-Building

Stage: Stage: Name	Specific	Specific Building:	Sub-building	Vertical	Deflection	Average	Max Slope	Max	Max	Max Gradient of	Max Gradient of	Min Radius	Min Radius	Damage
Ref.	Building: Ref.	Name	Name	Offset from Line for Vertical Movement	Ratio	Horizontal Strain		Settlement	Tensile Strain	Horizontal Displacement Curve	Vertical Displacement Curve	of Curvature (Hogging)	of Curvature (Sagging)	
				[m]	[%]	[%]		[mm]	[%]			[m]	[m]	
0 Base Model	1	24 Netherhall Gardens	Front	0.0	0.0010296	0.019327	-236.65E-6	2.3032	0.020459	-307.98E-6	-236.65E-6	-	100940.	0
(	2	24 Netherhall Gardens	Side	0.0	964.68E-6	-0.037104	-40.116E-6	2.5756	0.0074413	648.92E-6	-40.116E-6	78124.	18016.	0
(Negligible)	3	24 netherhall Gardens	Rear Side	0.0	0.010276	-994.41E-6	-755.86E-6	4.1634	0.0097614	223.02E-6	-755.86E-6	2923.5	-	0
	4	24 Netherhall Gardens	Rear	0.0	0.0031450	0.023023	365.87E-6	4.3267	0.026605	-294.92E-6	365.87E-6	-	8171.7	0
(Negligible)	7	28 Netherhall Gardens	Side	0.0	233.74E-6	0.0	-65.806E-6	0.35013	223.70E-6	0.0	-65.806E-6	-	151510.	0
(	8	28 Netherhall Gardens	Rear	0.0	0.0	0.0	179.66E-6	0.35687	35.763E-9	0.0	179.66E-6	-	-	0
(Negligible)	9	Rear Structures	West	0.0	0.0024797	-224.10E-6	147.99E-6	2.0600	0.0028181	64.894E-6	147.99E-6	-	49962.	0
(Negligible)	10	Rear Structures	North	0.0	374.36E-6	841.20E-6	164.18E-6	1.0726	0.0013809	-49.599E-6	164.18E-6	-	141640.	0
(Negligible)	11	Rear Structures	East - North	0.0	0.0013756	0.0	-109.21E-6	0.81893	0.0020329	0.0	-109.21E-6	-	71357.	0
(27 2	12	Rear Structures	East - Mid	0.0	2.7940E-6	0.0	171.50E-6	0.80993	2.7537E-6	0.0	171.50E-6	-	4.8587E+6	0
(Negligible)	13	Rear Structures	East - South	0.0	0.0	0.0	-11.303E-6	0.65934	35.763E-9	0.0	-11.303E-6	1.1009E+18	1.4084E+18	0
(Negligible)	14	Rear Structures	South	0.0	565.95E-9	0.015099	199.66E-6	2.0500	0.015100	-209.23E-6	199.66E-6	-	48.355E+6	0







$\frown$	Job No.	Sheet No.	Rev.
Oasys	J15344		
26 Netherhall Gardens	Drg. Ref.		
Pile Installation and Excavation	Made by MC	Date 20-Aug-2019	Checked

#### Specific Building Damage Results - Critical Values for All Segments within Each Sub-Building

Stage: Stage: Name	Specific	Specific Building:	Sub-building	Vertical	Deflection	Average	Max Slope	Max	Max	Max Gradient of	Max Gradient of	Min Radius	Min Radius Damage
Ref.	Building: Ref.	Name	Name	Offset from Line for Vertical Movement	Ratio	Horizontal Strain		Settlement	Tensile Strain	Horizontal Displacement Curve	Vertical Displacement Curve	of Curvature (Hogging)	of Curvature (Sagging)
				[m]	[%]	[%]		[mm]	[%]			[m]	[m]
0 Base Model	1	24 Netherhall Gardens	Front	0.0	0.0047024	0.064279	-590.90E-6	4.9077	0.066678	-682.60E-6	-590.90E-6	15952.	6141.0 1 (Very
	2	24 Netherhall Gardens	Side	0.0	0.012770	-0.092243	790.54E-6	5.0570	0.019863	0.0013748	790.54E-6	1128.3	4072.4 0
(Negligible)	3	24 netherhall Gardens	Rear Side	0.0	0.0091134	-0.014403	-561.99E-6	4.9552	0.0067119	538.63E-6	-561.99E-6	3141.0	- 0
	4	24 Netherhall Gardens	Rear	0.0	0.0027240	0.030459	400.55E-6	5.0793	0.030524	-337.73E-6	400.55E-6	153840.	7896.1 0
(Negligible)	7	28 Netherhall Gardens	Side	0.0	233.74E-6	0.0	-65.806E-6	0.35013	223.70E-6	0.0	-65.806E-6	-	151510. 0
(	8	28 Netherhall Gardens	Rear	0.0	0.0	0.0	179.66E-6	0.35687	35.763E-9	0.0	179.66E-6	-	- 0
(Negligible)	9	Rear Structures	West	0.0	0.0020253	-49.035E-6	147.99E-6	2.2718	0.0027183	98.289E-6	147.99E-6	17.109E+6	38813. 0
(11-12-12-1-)	10	Rear Structures	North	0.0	374.36E-6	841.20E-6	164.18E-6	1.0726	0.0013809	-49.599E-6	164.18E-6	-	141640. 0
(Negligible)	11	Rear Structures	East - North	0.0	0.0013756	0.0	-109.21E-6	0.81893	0.0020329	0.0	-109.21E-6	-	71357. 0
	12	Rear Structures	East - Mid	0.0	2.7940E-6	0.0	171.50E-6	0.80993	2.7537E-6	0.0	171.50E-6	-	4.8587E+6 0
(Negligible)	13	Rear Structures	East - South	0.0	0.0	0.0	-11.303E-6	0.65934	35.763E-9	0.0	-11.303E-6	1.1009E+18	1.4084E+18 0
(Negligible)	14	Rear Structures	South	0.0	0.0015553	0.036768	301.40E-6	2.2546	0.038320	-582.68E-6	301.40E-6	14683.	48.355E+6 0

$\bigcirc$	Job No.	Sheet No.	Rev.
Oasys	J15344		
26 Netherhall Gardens	Drg. Ref.		
Pile Installation and Excavation	Made by MC	Date 20-Aug-2019	Checked
Titles         Job No.:       J15344         Job Title:       26 Netherhall Gardens         sub-title:       Issue 2         Calculation Heading:       Pile Installation and Excavation         Initials:       MC         Checker:       Date Saved:         Date Saved:       20-Aug-2019         Date Checked:       Notes         File Name:       Xdispl Issue 2 Piling and Excavation.xdd         File Path:       C:\Users\MartinCooper\Desktop\Jobs\J15\Netherhall Gardens			
History			
Date         Time         By         Notes           Date         16:54         MartinCooper         Notes           11-Dec-2015         06:58         MartinCooper         Notes           14-Dec-2015         11:55         MartinCooper         Notes           15-Dec-2015         12:45         MartinCooper         Notes           15-Dec-2015         12:45         MartinCooper         Notes           15-Dec-2015         12:43         MartinCooper         Notes           15-Dec-2015         14:46         MartinCooper         Notes           15-Dec-2015         14:45         MartinCooper         Notes           10-Aug-2019         17:03         MartinCooper         Notes           20-Aug-2019         17:03         MartinCooper         Notes           20-Aug-2019         18:19         MartinCooper         Notes           20-Aug-2019         18:19         MartinCooper         Notes           20-Aug-2019         23:31         MartinCooper         Notes           20-Aug-2019         23:31         MartinCooper         Notes           20-Aug-2019         23:31         MartinCooper         Notes			
Displacement Lines			
Kei. Name zi yi zi zi yz zz intervais catoliace surface type for tunnels			
1       Line 1       30.00000       4.70000       71.00000       14.10000       71.00000       7       Surface       Yes         2       Line 2       32.0000       15.10000       71.00000       51.0000       71.00000       7       Surface       Yes         3       Line 3       39.4000       14.20000       71.00000       45.0000       14.2000       71.00000       6       Surface       Yes         4       Line 4       44.60000       14.2000       71.00000       4.3000       71.00000       6       Surface       Yes         5       Line 5       29.0000       51.10000       71.60000       4.30000       71.00000       6       Surface       Yes         6       Line 6       30.70000       51.10000       71.60000       41.90000       71.60000       5       Surface       Yes         7       Line 6       30.70000       51.0000       71.60000       16.6000       16       Surface       Yes         8       Line 8       34.60001       14.90000       71.60000       16       Surface       Yes         9       Line 9       54.70001       14.0000       77.00000       16       Surface       Yes			
Ref.       Name       Extrusion:       Base	Calculate		
Polygonal Excavations			
Ref.     1       Excavation Name:     Piling Basement       Surface level [m]:     72.600       Contribution:     Positive       Surface movement curves which are splied between surface and [m]:     65.400			
$ \begin{array}{cccc} \mbox{Corner} & \mbox{x} & \mbox{Base} & \mbox{Arc} & \mbox{Stiffened Prev. Prev. Prev. Next} & \mbox{Next} & \mbox{Next} & \mbox{Next} & \mbox{Level Enabled} & Stide: Stide:$			
[m]     [m]     [m]     [m]     [%]     [m]     [%]     [%]       1     28.000     16.900     65.400     Yes     No     -     -     -     -       2     38.100     16.900     65.400     Yes     No     -     -     -     -       3     38.100     24.500     65.400     Yes     No     -     -     -     -       4     29.800     24.500     65.400     Yes     No     -     -     -     -       5     28.000     20.700     65.400     Yes     No     -     -     -			
Side x1 y1 x2 y2 G.M. Curve: Vertical G.M. Curve: Horizontal [m] [m] [m] [m]			
1       28.000       16.900       38.100       16.900       Inst. of contiguous bored pile Inst. of contiguous bored pile Pile VIIA CS80 wall in stiff clay (CIRIA CS80 vall			
Ref.     2       Excavation Name:     Piling half at upper level       Surface level [m]:     75.000       Contribution:     Positive       Surface movement curves which are surface are applied between surface and [m]:     65.400			
Corner x y Base Arc Stiffened Prev. Prev. Next Next Next       Level Enabled     Side: Sid			
1 45.100 16.400 65.400 Yes       No       -			

$\bigcap$		Job No.	Sheet No.	Rev.
Oasy	<i>VS</i>	J15344		
26 Netherhall Ga	rdens	Drg. Ref.		
Issue 2 Pile Installation a	nd Excavation	Made by	Date	Checked
Side x1 y1	x2 y2 G.M. Curve: Vertical G.M. Curve: Horizontal	MC	20-Aug-2019	
[m] [m]	[m] (m]			
2 49.800 16.400	Fig. 2.8(b)) Fig. 2.8(a)) 49.800 24.800 Inst. of contiguous bored pile wall in stiff clay (CIRIA C580 wall in stiff clay (CIRIA C580			
3 49.800 24.800	Fig. 2.8(b)) 43.800 Inst. of contiguous bored pile Inst. of contiguous bored pile wall in stiff clay (CIRIA C580 wall in stiff clay (CIRIA C580			
4 43.800 24.800	Fig. 2.8(b))     Fig. 2.8(a))       45.100     16.400 No vertical ground movement     No horizontal ground movement			
Ref. Excavation Name: Surface level [m]: Contribution: Surface movement curves u selected are applied betu surface and [m]:	3 Excavation Basement 72.600 Positive which are 69.100 ween			
Corner x y Baa Leve	se Arc Stiffened Prev. Prev. Next Next Next el Enabled Side: Side: Side: Side: Side: d pl p2* d p1 p2*			
[m] [m] [m] 1 28.000 16.900 69.0	] [m] [%] [%] [m] [%] [%] 000 Yes Yes 0.0 67.000 25.000 0.0 67.000 25.000			
2 38.100 16.900 69.0 3 38.100 24.500 69.0 4 29.800 24.500 69.0 5 28.000 20.700 69.0	000         Yes         0.6         67,000         25,000         0.0         67,000         25,000           000         Yes         Yes         0.6         67,000         25,000         0.6         67,000         25,000           000         Yes         Yes         0.6         67,000         25,000         0.0         67,000         25,000           000         Yes         Yes         0.0         67,000         25,000         0.0         67,000         25,000           000         Yes         Yes         0.0         67,000         25,000         0.0         67,000         25,000			
Side x1 y1 [m] [m]	x2 y2 G.M. Curve: Vertical G.M. Curve: Horizontal			
1 28.000 16.900	38.100 16.900 Exc. in front of high Exc. in front of high stiffness wall in stiff clay stiffness wall in stiff clay			
2 38.100 16.900	(CIRIA C580 Fig. 2.11(b)) (CIRIA C580 Fig. 2.11(a)) 38.100 24.500 Exc. in front of high stiffness wall in stiff clay stiffness wall in stiff clay			
3 38.100 24.500	29.800 24.500 Exc. in front of high stiffness wall in stiff clay (CTEN C500 Fig. 2.11(a)) (CTEN C500 Fig. 2.11(b)) (CTEN C500 Fig. 2.11(b))			
4 29.800 24.500	28.000 20.700 Exc. in front of high stiffness wall in stiff clay stiffness wall in stiff clay (CIRIA C580 Fig. 2.11(b)) (CIRIA C580 Fig. 2.11(a))			
5 28.000 20.700	28.000 16.900 Exc. in front of high Exc. in front of high stiffness wall in stiff clay (CIRIA C580 Fig. 2.11(b)) (CIRIA C580 Fig. 2.11(a))			
Ref. Excavation Name: Surface level [m]: Contribution: Surface movement curves v selected are applied betw curface and [m]:	4 Excavation of half at upper level 75.000 Positive which are 72.000 ween			
Corner x y Bas	se Arc Stiffened Prev. Prev. Next Next a) Enabled Side Side Side Side Side Side			
[m] [m] [m]	d pl p2* d pl p2* ] [m] [%] [%] [m] [%] [%]			
1 45.100 16.400 72.0 2 49.800 16.400 72.0 3 49.800 24.800 72.0 4 43.800 24.800 72.0	000         Yes         No         - <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
Side xl yl [m] [m]	x2 y2 G.M. Curve: Vertical G.M. Curve: Horizontal [m] [m]			
1 45.100 16.400	49.800 16.400 Exc. in front of high Exc. in front of high stiffness wall in stiff clay stiffness wall in stiff clay (CIRIA C580 Fig. 2.11(b)) (CIRIA C580 Fig. 2.11(a))			
2 49.800 16.400	49.800 Z4.800 Exc. in front of high Exc. in front of high stiffness wall in stiff clay stiffness wall in stiff clay (CIRIA C580 Fig. 2.11(b)) (CIRIA C580 Fig. 2.11(a))			
3 49.800 24.800	43.800 Exc. in front of high Exc. in front of high stiffness wall in stiff clay (CIRIA C580 Fig. 2.11(b)) (CIRIA C580 Fig. 2.11(a))			
Circular Excavations	TILOU IN THE NO VELLERI GIUNN MOVEMENT NO NOITONERI GIUNN MOVEMENT			
Vertical Ground Movement	Curves			
Curve Name: Coordinates:	No vertical ground movement [Distance from wall / wall depth or max. excavation depth (x), Depth / wall			
	<pre>depth or max. excavation depth (y), Settlement / wall depth or max. excavation depth (z)(%)]</pre>			
Curve Fitting Method: x Order:	Polynomial			
y Order: Polynomial: z = Coeff. of Determination:	0 0.0x + 0.0			
Curve Name: Coordinates:	Inst. of contiguous bored pile wall in stiff clay (CIRIA C580 Fig. 2.8(b)) [Distance from wall / wall depth or max. excavation depth (x), Depth / wall depth or max. excavation depth (z)( $\$$ )]			
Curve Fitting Method:	[0.000,0.000,0.040][2.000,0.000,0.000] Polynomial			
x Order: y Order: Polynomial: z =	1 0 -2.0E-2x + 4.0E-2			
Curve Name: Coordinates:	Inst. of planar diaphragm wall in stiff clay (CIRIA C580 Fig. 2.9(b)) [Distance from wall / wall depth or max. excavation depth (x), Depth / wall depth or max. excavation depth (y), Settlement / wall depth or max. excavation depth (x)(k)]			
	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & .006 & .0 & .006 & .0 & .0060 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & .056 & .0 & .006 & .0 & .047 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & .106 & .0 & .006 & .0 & .043 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & .156 & .0 & .006 & .0 & .040 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & .206 & .0 & .006 & .0 & .0371 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & .256 & .0 & .006 & .0 & .0311 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & .256 & .0 & .006 & .0 & .0311 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & .406 & .0 & .006 & .0 & .021 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & .506 & .0 & .006 & .0 & .006 & .0 & .0011 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & .406 & .0 & .006 & .0 & .0210 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & .506 & .0 & .006 & .0 & .006 & .0 & .006 & .0 & .0$			
Curve Fitting Method: x Order: v Order:	Polynomial 4 0			
Polynomial: z = Coeff. of Determination:	- -1.2355E-2x <sup>4</sup> + 3.4814E-2x <sup>3</sup> - 2.8885E-3x <sup>2</sup> - 6.5618E-2x + 4.9987E-2 1.0000			
Curve Name: Coordinates:	Exc. in front of high stiffness wall in stiff clay (CTRIA C580 Fig. 2.11(b)) [Distance from wall / wall depth or max. excavation depth (x), Depth / wall depth or max. excavation depth (y), Settlement / wall depth or max. excavation depth $(z)($ [  ]			
	[0.000,0.000,0.039][0.100,0.000,0.049][0.200,0.000,0.056][0.300,0.000,0.062]			

#### Job No. Sheet No. Rev. asys J15344 26 Netherhall Gardens Dra. Ref. Issue 2 Made by Date Checked Pile Installation and Excavation 20-Aug-2019 MC Side G.M. Curve: Vertical G.M. Curve: Horizontal y1 [m] $\begin{bmatrix} 0.400, 0.000, 0.0671 \\ [0.500, 0.000, 0.071 \\ [0.500, 0.000, 0.071 \\ [0.500, 0.000, 0.071 \\ [0.500, 0.000, 0.071 \\ [0.500, 0.000, 0.071 \\ [0.500, 0.000, 0.071 \\ [0.500, 0.000, 0.051 \\ [0.500, 0.000, 0.001 \\ [0.500, 0.000, 0.001 \\ [0.500, 0.000, 0.001 \\ [0.500, 0.000, 0.001 \\ [0.500, 0.000, 0.001 \\ [0.500, 0.000, 0.001 \\ [0.500, 0.000, 0.001 \\ [0.500, 0.000, 0.001 \\ [0.500, 0.000, 0.001 \\ [0.500, 0.000, 0.001 \\ [0.500, 0.000, 0.001 \\ [0.500, 0.000] \\ [0.500, 0.000$ Curve Fitting Method: Polynomial x Order: y Order: ... Polynomial: z = $-2.6455E-3x^4 + 2.8495E-2x^3 - 1.0051E-1x^2 + 1.0569E-1x + 3.8990E-2$ Coeff. of Determination: 9.9991E-1 Horizontal Ground Movement Curves No horizontal ground movement [Distance from wall / wall depth or max. excavation depth (x), Depth / wall depth or max. excavation depth (y), Horizontal movement / wall depth or max. excavation depth (s)(%)] [0.000,0.000,0.000](1.000,1.000,0.000][0.000,1.000,0.000][1.000,1.000,0.000] Polynomial Curve Name: Curve Fitting Method: x Order: y Order: Polynomial: z = Coeff. of Determination: 0 0 Inst. of contiguous bored pile wall in stiff clay (CIRIA C580 Fig. 2.8(a)) [Distance from wall / wall depth or max. excavation depth (x), Depth / wall depth or max. excavation depth (y), Horizontal movement / wall depth or max. excavation depth (z)(%)] [0.000,.0000,.0041](0.050,0.000,0.0381](0.100,0.000,0.036][0.150,0.000,0.034] [0.200,0.000,0.025](0.450,0.000,0.023][0.300,0.000,0.025][0.350,0.000,0.029] [0.400,0.000,0.025](0.450,0.000,0.023][0.500,0.000,0.023] [0.400,0.000,0.025][0.450,0.000,0.013][0.700,0.000,0.021][0.550,0.000,0.020] [0.600,0.000,0.014][0.450,0.000,0.013][0.900,0.000,0.101][1.50,0.000,0.013] [1.200,0.000,0.014][1.250,0.000,0.004][1.300,0.000,0.001] [1.200,0.000,0.025][1.450,0.000,0.001][1.500,0.000,0.000] Polynomial Curve Name: Coordinates: Curve Fitting Method: x Order: y Order: Polynomial: z = $-4.2486E-3x^3 + 1.9096E-2x^2 - 4.6221E-2x + 4.0729E-2$ Coeff. of Determination: 1.0000 Inst. of planar diaphragm wall in stiff clay (CIRIA C580 Fig. 2.9(a)) Curve Name: Coordinates: Inst. of plana lighting wall depth or max. excavation depth (x), Depth / wall depth or max. excavation depth (y), Horizontal movement / wall depth or max excavation depth (x)(%) [0.000,0.000,0.050][1.500,0.000,0.000] Polynomial Curve Fitting Method: x Order: 1 y Order: 0 Polynomial: z = -3.33E-2x + 5.00E-2 Coeff. of Determination: 1.00 Exc. in front of high stiffness wall in stiff clay (CIRIA C580 Fig. 2.11(a)) [Distance from wall / wall depth or max. excavation depth (x), Depth / wall depth or max. excavation depth (y), Horizontal movement / wall depth or max. excavation depth (z) (%)] [0.000, 0.000, 0.150][4.000, 0.000, 0.000] Polynomial Curve Name: Coordinates: Curve Fitting Method: x Order: 1 y Order: 0 Polynomial: z = -3.75E-2x + 1.50E-1 Coeff. of Determination: 1.00 Damage Category Strains Ref. Name 0 (Negligible) 1 (Very Slight) 2 (Slight) 3 (Moderate) to to to to 1 (Very Slight) 2 (Slight) 3 (Moderate) 4 (Severe) 1 Burland Strain Limits 0.0 500.00E-6 750.00E-6 0.0015000 Specific Buildings - Geometry Sub-Building Displacement Distance Distance Name Line Along Along Line: Line: Vertical Vertical Offsets from Displacemen Line for Ref. Building Name Damage Category Strains Poisson's E/G Ratio nt Vertical Limit Sensitivity Start End Movement Calculations [m] [m] [m] [mm] 1 24 Netherhall Gardens Front 2 24 Netherhall Gardens Side 3 24 netherhall Gardens Rear Side 4 24 Netherhall Gardens Rear 5 28 Netherhall Gardens Front North 6 28 Netherhall Gardens Side 8 28 Netherhall Gardens Side 8 28 Netherhall Gardens Side 9 Rear Structures West 10 Rear Structures Korth 11 Rear Structures East - North Line 1 Line 2 Line 3 Line 4 Line 5 Line 6 Line 7 Line 8 Line 9 Line 10 Line 11 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 9.30000 7.10000 5.00000 0.20000 2.6000 0.20000 2.6000 0.20000 2.6000 0.20000 2.6000 0.20000 2.6000 0.20000 2.6000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 9.80000 6.00000 9.15000 .00000 .15000 .20000 .30000 .55000 .70000 0.20000 2.6000 0.20000 2.6000 0.20000 2.6000 0.20000 2.6000 0.20000 2.6000 15 15 5 10 Rear Structures North 11 Rear Structures East 12 Rear Structures East 13 Rear Structures East 14 Rear Structures South 0.0 0.10000 Burland Strain Limits East - North East - Mid East - South Line 10 Line 11 Line 12 Line 13 Line 14 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0.20000 0.00000 1.10000 0.00000 5.25000 0.00000 6.85000 0.20000 2.6000 0.20000 2.6000 Specific Buildings - Bending Parameters Ref. Building Name Sub-Building Height Default Hogging: Hogging: Hogging: Sagging: Sagging: Sagging: Dist. of N.A. from Edge of Beam in Dist. of Dist. of Bending N.A. from Strain Edge of from N.A. Beam in 2nd Mom. of Area (per unit Dist. of Bending Strain from N.A. 2nd Mom. of Area (per unit width) width) Tension [m] Tension [m] [m] [m<sup>3</sup>] [m] [m<sup>3</sup>] [m] 11.000 Yes 11.000 Yes 11.000 Yes 11.000 Yes 9.0000 Yes 9.0000 Yes 4.0000 Yes 4.0000 Yes 4.0000 Yes 4.0000 Yes 4.0000 Yes 1 24 Netherhall Gardens Front 2 24 Netherhall Gardens Side 3 24 netherhall Gardens Rear Side 4 24 Netherhall Gardens Rear 5 28 Netherhall Gardens Front North 6 28 Netherhall Gardens Side 8 28 Netherhall Gardens Side 8 28 Netherhall Gardens Side 443.67 443.67 443.67 443.67 443.67 243.00 243.00 11.000 11.000 11.000 11.000 11.000 5.5000 5.5000 5.5000 5.5000 5.5000 11.000 11.000 11.000 11.000 11.000 110.92 110.92 5.5000 110.92 110.92 110.92 5.5000 5.5000 5.5000 11.000 9.0000 9.0000 4.0000 4.0000 4.0000 4.0000 4.5000 4.5000 4.5000 2.0000 2.0000 2.0000 2.0000 2.0000 60.750 60.750 60.750 4.5000 4.5000 4.5000 9.0000 9.0000 9.0000 4.0000 4.0000 4.0000 4.0000 ardens Side ardens Rear West North East - North East - Mid Fast - South 9.0000 9.0000 4.0000 4.0000 4.0000 4.0000 243.00 5.3333 5.3333 5.3333 5.3333 5.3333 4.5000 2.0000 2.0000 2.0000 2.0000 9 Rear Structures 10 Rear Structures 11 Rear Structures 12 Rear Structures 21.333 21.333 21.333 21.333 21.333

Yes

21.333 21.333

4.0000

5.3333

4.0000

2.0000

2.0000

South

East -

13 Rear Structures 14 Rear Structures



#### Geotechnical & Environmental Associates

(GEA) is an engineer-led and clientfocused independent specialist providing a complete range of geotechnical and contaminated land investigation, analytical and consultancy services to the property and construction industries.

#### We have offices at

Widbury Barn Widbury Hill Ware Hertfordshire SG12 7QE tel 01727 824666

Church Farm Gotham Road Kingston on Soar Notts NG11 0DE tel 01509 674888

Peter House Oxford Street Manchester M1 5AN tel 0161 209 3032

and each office can also be contacted at mail@gea-ltd.co.uk

Enquiries can also be made online at

#### www.gea-ltd.co.uk

where information can be found on all of the services that we offer.

G GEA