

Email: planning@camden.gov.uk

Phone: 020 7974 4444 Fax: 020 7974 1680 Development Management Camden Town Hall Extension Argyle Street London WC1H 8EQ

Application for Planning Permission and listed building consent for alterations, extension or demolition of a listed building.

Town and Country Planning Act 1990

Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990

### Publication of applications on planning authority websites.

99

South End Road

1. Site Address

Property name

Address line 1

Number

Suffix

Please note that the information provided on this application form and in supporting documents may be published on the Authority's website. If you require any further clarification, please contact the Authority's planning department.

Address line 2		
Address line 3		
Town/city	London	
Postcode	NW3 2RJ	
Description of site loca	ation must be completed if postcode is not known:	
Easting (x)	527102	
Northing (y)	185803	
Description		
0.4.11		
2. Applicant Deta		
Title	Ms	
First name	Elizabeth	
Surname		
0	Birch	
Company name	Birch	
Address line 1	Birch  102a Chepstow Road	
Address line 1		
Address line 1 Address line 2		
Address line 1 Address line 2 Address line 3	102a Chepstow Road	

2. Applicant Detai	Is	
Country		
Postcode	W2 5QS	
Primary number		
Secondary number		
Fax number		
Email address		
Are you an agent acting	g on behalf of the applicant?	⊚ Yes □ No
3. Agent Details		
Title	Mr	
First name	David	
Surname	Long	
Company name	David Long Architects	
Address line 1	Fordhams	
Address line 2	Littley Green	
Address line 3		
Town/city	Chelmsford	
Country	United Kingdom	
Postcode	CM3 1BU	
Primary number	02085335555	
Secondary number	07478722554	
Fax number		
Email	dlong@dlaltd.com	
4. Description of t	he Proposal	
Please describe details	of the proposed development or works including details	of proposals to alter, extend or demolish the listed building(s).
If you are applying for libelow.	Fechnical Details Consent on a site that has been grante	d Permission In Principle, please include the relevant details in the description
The proposal concerns extension to the proper and the return of groun	general internal works to the listed cottage, amendment ty, the replacement of the inappropriate existing rear cord floor windows to doors in the annex.	of floor levels and replacement of a failed roof construction on a previous side a servatory and construction of a glazed pergola to the rear annex to the cottage,
A fully detailed descript	ion of the proposal is contained within the accompanying	Design and Access Statement, and the associated Heritage Statement
Has the development of	r work already been started without consent?	© Yes ● No
5. Listed Building	Grading	
What is the grading of t	the listed building (as stated in the list of Buildings of Spe	ecial Architectural or Historical Interest)?

6. Demolition of Listed Building  Does the proposal include the partal or total demolition of a listed building?  If Yes, which of the following does the proposal involve?  a) Total demolition of the listed building  b) Demolition of a building within the curtilage of the listed building  c) Demolition of a building within the curtilage of the listed building  c) Demolition of a part of the listed building  c) Demolition of a part of the listed building  d) Yes No  c) Demolition of a part of the listed building  d) Yes No  d) Wat is the total volume of the listed building?  S90  Cubic metres  What is the volume of the part to be  demolitied of the part to be removed?  What was the date (approximately) of the erection of the part to be removed?  Month  1  Year  1890  Cubic metres  (Cubic metres  What was the date (approximately) of the erection of the part to be removed?  Month  The sesting upper floors within the historic side extension are to be lowered to match the original floor levels within the cottage with the existing timber floor opicists re-used.  The rear infill wall dating from post WW2 to the lower ground even of the historic side extension is to be removed.  The existing glizard rear conservatory to the listed property dating from the 1980s is to be removed.  The existing ground bearing blocy error on the cottage a lower ground and replaced.  A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage giving access from the new entrance hall to the lower ground floor kitchendrining crom.  A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage giving access from the new entrance hall to the lower ground floor kitchendrining crom.  The existing ground bearing lower ground floor slab to the listed cottage giving access from the new entrance hall to the lower ground floor kitchendrining crom.  A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage giving access from the new entrance hall to the lower ground floor kitchendrining crom.  The existing	5. Listed Building Grading				
B. Demolition of Listed Building  Does the proposal include the partial or total demolition of a listed building?  If Yes, which of the following does the proposal involve?  a) Total demolition of the listed building  Demolition of a building within the cutilage of the listed building  Demolition of a building within the cutilage of the listed building  Demolition of a part of the Sted building  Demolition of a part of the Sted building  We so No  If What is the botal volume of the Isted building?  What is the botal volume of the part to be demolition of the part to be removed?  What is the volume of the part to be demolition of the part to be removed?  What is the botal volume of the part to be removed?  What was the date (approximately) of the erection of the part to be removed?  Mornth  I 1	<ul><li>○ Grade I</li><li>○ Grade II*</li></ul>				
Does the proposal include the partial of total demolition of a listed building?  If Yes, which of the following does the proposal involve?  a) Total demolition of the listed building  D) Demolition of a building within the cuttiage of the listed building  C) Demolition of a part of the listed building  D) Demolition of a part of the listed building  C) Demolition of a part of the listed building  D) Demolition of a part of the listed building  D) Demolition of a part of the listed building?  D) Demolition of a part of the listed building?  D) Demolition of a part of the listed building?  D) Demolition of a part of the listed building?  D) Demolition of the building or part of the part to be removed?  What is the volume of the part to be execution of the part to be removed?  What was the date (approximately) of the execution of the part to be removed?  What was the date (approximately) of the execution of the part to be removed?  What was the date (approximately) of the building or part of the building you are proposing to demolish  We propose to remove the existing defective pitched roof construction over a historic side extension. This will be replaced with a new simpler flat roof construction. The cesting upper floors within the historic side extension are to be lowered to match the original floor levels within the cottage with the existing timber floor picks to reveal.  The cesting upper floors within the historic side extension are to be lowered to match the original floor levels within the cottage with the existing timber floor picks to reveal.  The existing glazed rear conservatory to the listed property dailing from the 1980s is to be removed and replaced.  The existing glazed rear conservatory to the listed property dailing from the 1980s is to be removed and replaced.  The existing glazed bearing lower ground floor where the part was will be glazed with new risk rule attached.  The existing glazed was the strink attached on the party was lively 5 south her floors, and the existing stell beams in these	Is it an ecclesiastical building? □ Don't know □ Yes ■ No				
Does the proposal include the partial of total demolition of a listed building?  If Yes, which of the following does the proposal involve?  a) Total demolition of the listed building  D) Demolition of a building within the cuttiage of the listed building  C) Demolition of a part of the listed building  D) Demolition of a part of the listed building  C) Demolition of a part of the listed building  D) Demolition of a part of the listed building  D) Demolition of a part of the listed building?  D) Demolition of a part of the listed building?  D) Demolition of a part of the listed building?  D) Demolition of a part of the listed building?  D) Demolition of the building or part of the part to be removed?  What is the volume of the part to be execution of the part to be removed?  What was the date (approximately) of the execution of the part to be removed?  What was the date (approximately) of the execution of the part to be removed?  What was the date (approximately) of the building or part of the building you are proposing to demolish  We propose to remove the existing defective pitched roof construction over a historic side extension. This will be replaced with a new simpler flat roof construction. The cesting upper floors within the historic side extension are to be lowered to match the original floor levels within the cottage with the existing timber floor picks to reveal.  The cesting upper floors within the historic side extension are to be lowered to match the original floor levels within the cottage with the existing timber floor picks to reveal.  The existing glazed rear conservatory to the listed property dailing from the 1980s is to be removed and replaced.  The existing glazed rear conservatory to the listed property dailing from the 1980s is to be removed and replaced.  The existing glazed bearing lower ground floor where the part was will be glazed with new risk rule attached.  The existing glazed was the strink attached on the party was lively 5 south her floors, and the existing stell beams in these					
If Yes, which of the following does the proposal involve?  a) Total demolition of the listed building  b) Demolition of a building within the curtilage of the listed building  c) Demolition of a part of the listed building  c) Demolition of a part of the listed building  c) Demolition of a part of the listed building  c) Demolition of a part of the listed building  d) Yes  No  If the answer to c) is Yes  What is the volume of the listed building?  SSO  Cubic metres  What is the volume of the part to be  demolithated?  What is the volume of the part to be  demolithated?  What is the volume of the part to be removed?  Month  1  Year  1890  (Date must be pre-application submission)  Please provide a brief description of the building or part of the building you are proposing to demolish  We propose to remove the existing defective pitched roof construction. This will be replaced with a new simpler flat roof construction. The existing upper floors within the historic side extension are to be lowered to match the original floor levels within the cottage with the existing timber floor joints re-used.  The existing upper floors within the historic side extension are to be lowered to match the original floor levels within the cottage with the existing timber floor joints re-used.  The existing upper floors within the historic side extension is to be removed.  The existing glazed rear conservatory to the listed property dating from the 1980s is to be removed and replaced.  The existing glazed rear conservatory to the listed property dating from the 1980s is to be removed and replaced.  The existing ground bearing lower ground floor sible to the party will with 57 South End Road, and the existing stell beams in these locations amended.  The existing ground bearing lower ground floor sible to the listed cottage is to be removed and a new floor construction formed.  A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage six for the new entrance hall to the lower ground floor sible to the building approac	6. Demolition of L	isted Building			
a) Total demolition of a building within the curtilage of the listed building  b) Demolition of a part of the listed building  c) Demolition of a part of the listed building  if the answer to c) is Yes  What is the total volume of the listed building?  Solo  Cubic metres  What is the total volume of the listed building?  Solo  Cubic metres  What is the volume of the part to be demolished?  Cubic metres  What was the date (approximately) of the erection of the part to be removed?  Month  1  1880  (Date must be pre-application submission)  Please provide a brief description of the building or part of the building you are proposing to demolish  We propose to remove the existing defective prinched roof construction over a historic side extension. This will be replaced with a new simpler flat roof construction.  The existing upper floors within the historic side extension are to be lowered to match the original floor levels within the cottage with the existing timber floor joints resued.  The existing upper floors within the historic side extension are to be lowered to match the original floor levels within the cottage with the existing timber floor joints resued.  The existing upper floors within the historic side extension from the 1890 is to be removed.  The existing upper floors within the historic side extension is to be removed.  The existing upper floors within the historic side extension is to be removed.  The existing upper floors within the historic side extension is to be removed.  The existing upper floors within the historic bulk of the listed property dating from the 1890 is to be removed and replaced.  The existing upper floors within the historic bulk of the listed property dating from the 1890 is to be removed and replaced.  A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage is to be removed and a new floor construction formed.  A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage is to be removed and in each floor construction formed.  Why is it necessa	Does the proposal inclu	ude the partial or total der	molition of a listed building?		
b) Demolition of a building within the curtilage of the listed building  c) Demolition of a part of the listed building  fit the answer to c) is Yes  What is the total volume of the listed building?  Cubic metres  What is the total volume of the part to be  Genomicished?  What is the total volume of the part to be  Guidencished?  Cubic metres  What is the total volume of the part to be  Guidencished?  Cubic metres  What was the date (approximately) of the erection of the part to be removed?  Month  1  Year  1890  Cubic must be pre-application submission)  Please provide a brief description of the building or part of the building you are proposing to demolish  We propose to remove the existing defective piched roof construction over a historic side extension. This will be replaced with a new simpler flat roof construction.  The carisfill wall dating from post WW2 to the lower ground level of the historic side extension is to be removed.  The oxisting glazed rear conservatory to the listed property dating from the 1980s is to be removed and replaced.  The internal loadbearing brick piers to the cottage at lower ground and ground floor where the cantre spine wall has previously been removed are to be demoished and replaced with the vick nibs attached to the party wall with 97 South Erd Road, and the existing steel beams in these locations amended.  The existing ground bearing lower ground floor slab to the listed cottage is to be removed and a new floor construction formed.  A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage giving access from the new entrance hall to the lower ground floor kitchen/diring from.  The existing post war stairs to the listed cottage are to be removed and a new floor construction formed.  A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage giving access from the new entrance hall to the lower ground floor kitchen/diring from.  The existing post war stairs to the listed cottage are to be removed and a new floor construction formed.  A new d	If Yes, which of the fol	llowing does the propos	sal involve?		
If the answer to c) is Yes  What is the total volume of the listed building?  Subtice metres  What is the volume of the part to be demolished?  Cubic metres  What is the volume of the part to be demolished?  Cubic metres  What is the volume of the part to be demolished?  Cubic metres  What is the volume of the part to be demolished?  Cubic metres  Manual to the part to be removed?  Month  I  Year  1890  (Date must be pre-application submission)  Please provide a brief description of the building or part of the building you are proposing to demolish  We propose to remove the existing defective pitched roof construction over a historic side extension. This will be replaced with a new simpler flat roof construction.  The existing yuper floors within the historic side extension are to be lowered to match the original floor levels within the cottage with the existing timber floor joists re-used.  The existing yuper floors within the historic side extension are to be lowered to match the original floor levels within the cottage with the existing timber floor joists re-used.  The existing yazed rac conservatory to the listed property dating from the 1990s is to be removed and replaced.  The internal loadbearing brick piers to the cottage at lower ground and ground floor where the centre spine wall has previously been removed are to be demolished and replaced with new brick has attached to the party wall with 97 South End Road, and the existing stell beams in these locations amended.  The existing ground bearing lower ground floor siab to the listed cottage is to be removed and a new floor construction formed.  A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage giving access from the new entrance hall to the lower ground floor kitchen/dining room.  The existing post war stairs to the listed cottage are to be removed and a new stair provided.  Why is it necessary to demolish or extend (as applicable) all or part of the building(s) and or structure(s)?  Per the conclusion to the Design and Access	a) Total demolition of the	ne listed building			⊋Yes ® No
What is the total volume of the listed building?  Cubic metres  What is the volume of the part to be decimal to be decimal to be demolished?  Cubic metres  What was the date (approximately) of the erection of the part to be removed?  Month  1880  (Date must be pre-application submission)  Please provide a brief description of the building or part of the building you are proposing to demolish  We propose to remove the existing defective pitched roof construction over a historic side extension. This will be replaced with a new simpler flat roof construction.  The existing upper floors within the historic side extension are to be lowered to match the original floor levels within the cottage with the existing timber floor pictist re-used.  The rear infill wall dating from post WW2 to the lower ground level of the historic side extension is to be removed.  The existing glazed rear conservatory to the listed property dating from the 1980s is to be removed and replaced.  The internal loadbearing brick piers to the cottage at lower ground floor where the contre spine wall has previously been removed are to be demolished and replaced with new brick nibs strached to the party wall with 97 South End Road, and the existing ground bearing lower ground floor slab to the listed cottage is to be removed and a new floor construction formed.  A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage giving access from the new entrance hall to the lower ground floor kitchen/dining room.  The existing post war stairs to the listed cottage are to be removed and a new floor construction formed.  A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage giving access from the new entrance hall to the lower ground floor kitchen/dining room.  The existing post war stairs to the listed cottage are to be removed and a new stair provided.  Why is it necessary to demolish or extend (as applicable) all or part of the building(s) and or structure(s)?  Per the conclusion to the Design and Access statement:  W	b) Demolition of a build	ing within the curtilage of	f the listed building		⊋Yes   No
What is the total volume of the listed building?  Cubic metres  What is the volume of the part to be demolished?  Cubic metres  What was the date (approximately) of the erection of the part to be removed?  Month  Tere with the description of the building or part of the building you are proposing to demolish  We propose to remove the existing defective pitched roof construction.  The existing upper floors within the historic side extension are to be lowered to match the original floor levels within the cottage with the existing timber floor construction.  The existing glazed rear conservatory to the listed property dating from the 1980s is to be removed.  The existing glazed rear conservatory to the listed property dating from the 1980s is to be removed.  The existing ground bearing bower ground floor slab to the listed cottage is and ground floor where the centre spine wall has previously been removed are to be demolished and replaced with new brick hibs attached to the party wall with 97 South End Road, and the existing steel beams in these locations amended.  The existing ground bearing bower ground floor slab to the listed cottage is not be removed and a new floor construction formed.  A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage is not be removed and an enw floor construction formed.  A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage giving access from the new entrance hall to the lower ground floor kitchen/dining room.  The existing post war stairs to the listed cottage are to be removed and a new floor construction formed.  Why is it necessary to demolish or extend (as applicable) all or part of the building(s) and or structure(s)?  Per the conclusion to the Design and Access statement:  We believe that this amended proposal safeguards and preserves the listed property, it's site and it's setting. We have worked strenuously to incorporate the legitimate concerns of the local authority, and these are reflected in the submitted proposal. The original re	c) Demolition of a part of	of the listed building			⊚ Yes □ No
What is the volume of the part to be demonisher?  Cubic metres  What was the date (approximately) of the erection of the part to be removed?  Month  1  Year  1890  (Date must be pre-application submission)  Please provide a brief description of the building or part of the building you are proposing to demolish  We propose to remove the existing defective pitched roof construction over a historic side extension. This will be replaced with a new simpler flat roof construction.  The existing upper floors within the historic side extension are to be lowered to match the original floor levels within the cottage with the existing timber floor joists revised.  The rear infill wall dating from post WW2 to the lower ground level of the historic side extension is to be removed.  The existing algorithm of the property dating from the 1980s is to be removed and replaced.  The internal loadbearing blick piecs to the octtage at lower ground and ground floor where the centre spine wall has previously been removed are to be demolished and replaced with new brick nibs attached to the party wall with 97 South End Road, and the existing slate previously in these locations amended.  The existing ground bearing lower ground floor slat to the listed cottage is to be removed and a new floor construction formed.  A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage giving access from the new entrance hall to the lower ground floor kitchen/drining roon.  The existing posts war stairs to the listed cottage are to be removed and a new stair provided.  Why is it necessary to demolish or extend (as applicable) all or part of the building(s) and or structure(s)?  Per the conclusion to the Design and Access statement:  We believe that this amended proposeal safeguards and preserves the listed property. It's site and it's setting. We have worked strenously to incorporate the legitimate concerns of the local authority, and these are reflected in the submitted proposal. The original regency plan of the cottage is preserved	If the answer to c) is Y	es			
What is the volume of the part to be demolished?  What was the date (approximately) of the erection of the part to be removed?  Month  I  1980  (Date must be pre-application submission)  Please provide a brief description of the building or part of the building you are proposing to demolish  We propose to remove the existing defective pitched roof construction over a historic side extension. This will be replaced with a new simpler flat roof construction.  The existing upper floors within the historic side extension are to be lowered to match the original floor levels within the cottage with the existing timber floor joists re-used.  The rear infill wall dating from post WW2 to the lower ground level of the historic side extension is to be removed.  The rear infill wall dating from post WW2 to the lower ground level of the historic side extension is to be removed.  The internal loadbearing brick piers to the cottage at lower ground and ground floor where the centre spine wall has previously been removed are to be demolished and replaced with new brick into satisched to the party wall with 97 South End Road, and the existing size beams in these locations amended.  The internal loadbearing brick piers to the cottage at lower ground and ground floor where the centre spine wall has previously been removed are to be demolished and replaced with new brick into satisched to the party wall with 97 South End Road, and the existing size beams in these locations amended.  A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage giving access from the new entrance hall to the lower ground floor kitchen/dining trom.  The existing ground bearing lower ground floor slab to the listed cottage is to be removed and an even whore data may be a stairs to the listed cottage are to be removed and an even stairs to the lower ground floor kitchen/dining trom.  The existing ground bearing lower ground floor kitchen/dining trom.  The existing post war stairs to the listed cottage are to be removed and an even stair pro	What is the total volume	e of the listed building?	590		
Cubic metres  What was the date (approximately) of the erection of the part to be removed?  Month  1  Year  1890  (Date must be pre-application submission)  Please provide a brief description of the building or part of the building you are proposing to demolish  We propose to remove the existing defective pitched roof construction over a historic side extension. This will be replaced with a new simpler flat roof construction.  The existing upper floors within the historic side extension are to be lowered to match the original floor levels within the cottage with the existing timber floor joists re-used.  The rear infill wall dating from post WW2 to the lower ground level of the historic side extension is to be removed.  The existing glazed rear conservatory to the listed property dating from the 1980s is to be removed and replaced.  The internal loadbearing brick piers to the cottage at lower ground and ground floor where the centre spine wall has previously been removed are to be demolished and replaced with new brick ribs attached to the party wall with 97 South End Road, and the existing steel beams in these locations amended.  The existing ground bearing lower ground floor slab to the listed cottage giving access from the new entrance hall to the lower ground floor kitchen/dining room.  The existing post war stairs to the listed cottage are to be removed and a new stair provided.  Why is it necessary to demolish or extend (as applicable) all or part of the building(s) and or structure(s)?  Per the conclusion to the Design and Access statement:  We believe that this amended proposal safeguards and preserves the listed property, it's site and it's setting. We have worked strenuously to incorporate the legitimate concerns of the local authority, and these are reflected in the submitted proposal. The original regency plan of the cottage is preserved and enhanced, whilst the amendments proposed internally will remove as much as possible of the more modern and inappropriate defails such as comicing, interplaces e		he nart to he	14 5		
What was the date (approximately) of the erection of the part to be removed?  Month  [1]  Year  [1890]  (Date must be pre-application submission)  Please provide a brief description of the building or part of the building you are proposing to demolish  We propose to remove the existing defective pitched roof construction over a historic side extension. This will be replaced with a new simpler flat roof construction.  The existing upper floors within the historic side extension are to be lowered to match the original floor levels within the cottage with the existing timber floor joists re-used.  The rear infill wall dating from post WW2 to the lower ground level of the historic side extension is to be removed.  The rear infill wall dating from post the post of the cottage at lower ground and ground floor where the contraction and provides and replaced.  The existing glazed rear conservatory to the listed property dating from the 1980s is to be removed and replaced.  The internal loadbearing brick piers to the cottage at lower ground and ground floor where the contract spine wall has previously been removed are to be demolished and replaced with new brick nibs attached to the party wall with 97 South End Road, and the existing steel beams in these locations amended.  The existing ground bearing lower ground floor slab to the listed cottage is to be removed and a new floor construction formed.  A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage giving access from the new entrance hall to the lower ground floor kitchen/dining room.  The existing gosts war stairs to the listed cottage are to be removed and a new stair provided.  Why is it necessary to demolish or extend (as applicable) all or part of the building(s) and or structure(s)?  Per the conclusion to the Design and Access statement:  We believe that this amended proposal safequards and preserves the listed property, it's site and it's setting. We have worked strenuously to incorporate the legitimate concerns of the local authority, a		ne part to be	14.0		
Month  Year  1890  (Date must be pre-application submission)  Please provide a brief description of the building or part of the building you are proposing to demolish  We propose to remove the existing defective pitched roof construction over a historic side extension. This will be replaced with a new simpler flat roof construction.  The existing upper floors within the historic side extension are to be towered to match the original floor levels within the cottage with the existing timber floor joists re-used.  The existing upper floors within the historic side extension are to be towered to match the original floor levels within the cottage with the existing timber floor joists re-used.  The rear infill wall dating from post WW2 to the lower ground level of the historic side extension is to be removed.  The existing glazed rear conservatory to the listed property dating from the 1980s is to be removed and replaced.  The internal loadbearing brick piers to the cottage at lower ground and ground floor where the centre spine wall has previously been removed are to be demolished and replaced with new brick nibs attached to the party wall with 97 South End Road, and the existing steel beams in these locations amended.  The existing ground bearing lower ground floor slate to the tested cottage is to be removed and a new floor construction formed.  A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage giving access from the new entrance hall to the lower ground floor kitchen/dining from.  The existing post war stairs to the listed cottage are to be removed and a new stair provided.  Why is it necessary to demolish or extend (as applicable) all or part of the building(s) and or structure(s)?  Per the conclusion to the Design and Access statement:  We believe that this amended proposal safeguards and preserves the listed property, it's site and it's setting. We have worked strenuously to incorporate the legitimate concerns of the local authority, and these are reflected in the submitted proposal. T		proximately) of the erec	ction of the part to be remove	42 	
(Date must be pre-application submission)  Please provide a brief description of the building or part of the building you are proposing to demolish  We propose to remove the existing defective pitched roof construction over a historic side extension. This will be replaced with a new simpler flat roof construction.  The existing upper floors within the historic side extension are to be lowered to match the original floor levels within the cottage with the existing timber floor joists re-used.  The rear infill wall dating from post WW2 to the lower ground level of the historic side extension is to be removed.  The rear infill wall dating from post WW2 to the lower ground level of the historic side extension is to be removed.  The existing glazed rear conservatory to the listed property dating from the 1980s is to be removed and replaced.  The internal loadbearing brick piers to the cottage at lower ground and ground floor where the centre spine wall has previously been removed are to be demolished and replaced with new brick nibs attached to the party wall with 97 South End Road, and the existing steel beams in these locations amended.  The existing ground bearing lower ground floor slab to the listed cottage is to be removed and a new floor construction formed.  A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage giving access from the new entrance hall to the lower ground floor kitchen/dining room.  The existing post war stairs to the listed cottage are to be removed and a new stair provided.  Why is it necessary to demolish or extend (as applicable) all or part of the building(s) and or structure(s)?  Per the conclusion to the Design and Access statement:  We believe that this amended proposal safeguards and preserves the listed property, it's site and it's setting. We have worked strenuously to incorporate the legitimate concerns of the local authority, and these are reflected in the submitted proposal. The original regency plan of the cottage is preserved and enhanced, whilst the amend			onon or the part to be remove	u.	
(Date must be pre-application submission)  Please provide a brief description of the building or part of the building you are proposing to demolish  We propose to remove the existing defective pitched roof construction over a historic side extension. This will be replaced with a new simpler flat roof construction.  The existing upper floors within the historic side extension are to be lowered to match the original floor levels within the cottage with the existing timber floor joists re-used.  The rear infill wall dating from post WW2 to the lower ground level of the historic side extension is to be removed.  The existing glazed rear conservatory to the listed property dating from the 1980s is to be removed and replaced.  The internal loadbearing brick piers to the cottage at lower ground and ground floor where the centre spine wall has previously been removed are to be demolished and replaced with new brick nibs attached to the party wall with 97 South End Road, and the existing steel beams in these locations amended.  The existing ground bearing lower ground floor slab to the listed cottage is to be removed and a new floor construction formed.  A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage giving access from the new entrance hall to the lower ground floor kitchen/dining room.  The existing post war stairs to the listed cottage are to be removed and a new stair provided.  Why is it necessary to demolish or extend (as applicable) all or part of the building(s) and or structure(s)?  Per the conclusion to the Design and Access statement:  We believe that this amended proposal safeguards and preserves the listed property, it's site and it's setting. We have worked strenuously to incorporate the legitimate concerns of the local authority, and these are reflected in the submitted proposal. The original regency plan of the cottage is preserved and riseplacement of the low quality 1980's rear conservatory with a simply designed modern conservatory with fully glazed walls, sympathetically pr					
Please provide a brief description of the building or part of the building you are proposing to demolish  We propose to remove the existing defective pitched roof construction over a historic side extension. This will be replaced with a new simpler flat roof construction.  The existing upper floors within the historic side extension are to be lowered to match the original floor levels within the cottage with the existing timber floor joists re-used.  The rear infill wall dating from post WW2 to the lower ground level of the historic side extension is to be removed.  The existing glazed rear conservatory to the listed property dating from the 1980s is to be removed and replaced.  The internal loadbearing brick piers to the cottage at lower ground and ground floor where the centre spine wall has previously been removed are to be demolished and replaced with new brick nibs attached to the party wall with 97 South End Road, and the existing steel beams in these locations amended.  The existing ground bearing lower ground floor slab to the listed cottage is to be removed and a new floor construction formed.  A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage giving access from the new entrance hall to the lower ground floor kitchen/dining room.  The existing post war stairs to the listed cottage are to be removed and a new stair provided.  Why is it necessary to demolish or extend (as applicable) all or part of the building(s) and or structure(s)?  Per the conclusion to the Design and Access statement:  We believe that this amended proposal safeguards and preserves the listed property, it's site and it's setting. We have worked strenuously to incorporate the legitimate concerns of the local authority, and these are reflected in the submitted proposal. The original regency plan of the cottage is preserved and enhanced, whilst the amendments proposed internally will remove as much as possible of the more modern and inappropriate details such as cornicing, fireplaces et can dreplace them with de	Year	1890			
We propose to remove the existing defective pitched roof construction over a historic side extension. This will be replaced with a new simpler flat roof construction.  The existing upper floors within the historic side extension are to be lowered to match the original floor levels within the cottage with the existing timber floor joists re-used.  The rear infill wall dating from post WW2 to the lower ground level of the historic side extension is to be removed.  The existing glazed rear conservatory to the listed property dating from the 1980s is to be removed and replaced.  The internal loadbearing brick piers to the cottage at lower ground and ground floor where the centre spine wall has previously been removed are to be demolished and replaced with new brick nibs attached to the party wall with 97 South End Road, and the existing steel beams in these locations amended.  The existing ground bearing lower ground floor slab to the listed cottage is to be removed and a new floor construction formed.  A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage giving access from the new entrance hall to the lower ground floor kitchen/dining room.  The existing post war stairs to the listed cottage are to be removed and a new stair provided.  Why is it necessary to demolish or extend (as applicable) all or part of the building(s) and or structure(s)?  Per the conclusion to the Design and Access statement:  We believe that this amended proposal safeguards and preserves the listed property, it's site and it's setting. We have worked strenuously to incorporate the legitimate concerns of the local authority, and these are reflected in the submitted proposal. The original regency plan of the cottage is preserved and enhanced, whilst the amendments proposed internally will emory as much as possible of the more modern and inappropriate details such as cornicing, fireplaces et can dreplace them with detailing appropriate for the age and status of the more modern and inappropriate details such as cornicing,					
The existing upper floors within the historic side extension are to be lowered to match the original floor levels within the cottage with the existing timber floor joists re-used.  The rear infill wall dating from post WW2 to the lower ground level of the historic side extension is to be removed.  The existing glazed rear conservatory to the listed property dating from the 1980s is to be removed and replaced.  The internal loadbearing brick piers to the cottage at lower ground and ground floor where the centre spine wall has previously been removed are to be demolished and replaced with new brick nibs attached to the party wall with 97 South End Road, and the existing steel beams in these locations amended.  The existing ground bearing lower ground floor slab to the listed cottage is to be removed and a new floor construction formed.  A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage giving access from the new entrance hall to the lower ground floor kitchen/dining room.  The existing post war stairs to the listed cottage are to be removed and a new stair provided.  Why is it necessary to demolish or extend (as applicable) all or part of the building(s) and or structure(s)?  Per the conclusion to the Design and Access statement:  We believe that this amended proposal safeguards and preserves the listed property, it's site and it's setting. We have worked strenuously to incorporate the legitimate concerns of the local authority, and these are reflected in the submitted proposal. The original regency plan of the cottage is preserved and enhanced, whilst the amendments proposed internally will remove as much as possible of the more modern and inappropriate details such as cornicing, fireplaces etc and replace them with detailing appropriate for the age and status of the cottage.  The replacement of the low quality 1980's rear conservatory with a simply designed modern conservatory with fully glazed walls, sympathetically proportioned and subservient to the cottage will improve the appearanc					
The rear infill wall dating from post WW2 to the lower ground level of the historic side extension is to be removed.  The existing glazed rear conservatory to the listed property dating from the 1980s is to be removed and replaced.  The internal loadbearing brick piers to the cottage at lower ground and ground floor where the centre spine wall has previously been removed are to be demolished and replaced with new brick nibs attached to the party wall with 97 South End Road, and the existing steel beams in these locations amended.  The existing ground bearing lower ground floor slab to the listed cottage is to be removed and a new floor construction formed.  A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage giving access from the new entrance hall to the lower ground floor kitchen/dining room.  The existing post war stairs to the listed cottage are to be removed and a new stair provided.  Why is it necessary to demolish or extend (as applicable) all or part of the building(s) and or structure(s)?  Per the conclusion to the Design and Access statement:  We believe that this amended proposal safeguards and preserves the listed property, it's site and it's setting. We have worked strenuously to incorporate the legitimate concerns of the local authority, and these are reflected in the submitted proposal. The original regency plan of the cottage is preserved and enhanced, whilst the amendments proposed internally will remove as much as possible of the nore modern and inappropriate details such as cornicing, fireplaces etc and replace them with detailing appropriate for the age and status of the cottage.  The replacement of the low quality 1980's rear conservatory with a simply designed modern conservatory with fully glazed walls, sympathetically proportioned and subservient to the cottage will improve the appearance, functioning, and legibility of the listed heritage asset whilst having no detrimental impact upon it. The removal of the front entrance feature to the annex and its replacement w		the existing defective pite	ched roof construction over a hi	storic side extension. This will be replaced	with a new simpler flat roof
The existing glazed rear conservatory to the listed property dating from the 1980s is to be removed and replaced.  The internal loadbearing brick piers to the cottage at lower ground and ground floor where the centre spine wall has previously been removed are to be demolished and replaced with new brick nibs attached to the party wall with 97 South End Road, and the existing steel beams in these locations amended.  The existing ground bearing lower ground floor slab to the listed cottage is to be removed and a new floor construction formed.  A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage giving access from the new entrance hall to the lower ground floor kitchen/dining room.  The existing post war stairs to the listed cottage are to be removed and a new stair provided.  Why is it necessary to demolish or extend (as applicable) all or part of the building(s) and or structure(s)?  Per the conclusion to the Design and Access statement:  We believe that this amended proposal safeguards and preserves the listed property, it's site and it's setting. We have worked strenuously to incorporate the legitimate concerns of the local authority, and these are reflected in the submitted proposal. The original regency plan of the cottage is preserved and enhanced, whilst the amendments proposed internally will remove as much as possible of the more modern and inappropriate details such as cornicing, fireplaces etc and replace them with detailing appropriate for the age and status of the cottage.  The replacement of the low quality 1980's rear conservatory with a simply designed modern conservatory with fully glazed walls, sympathetically proportioned and subservient to the cottage will improve the appearance, functioning, and legibility of the listed heritage asset whilst having no detrimental impact upon it.  The removal of the front entrance feature to the annex and its replacement with the fully glazed pergola from the cottage both reinstates the original footprint of the annex, and allows the sen		rs within the historic side	extension are to be lowered to	match the original floor levels within the co	ottage with the existing timber floor
The internal loadbearing brick piers to the cottage at lower ground and ground floor where the centre spine wall has previously been removed are to be demolished and replaced with new brick nibs attached to the party wall with 97 South End Road, and the existing steel beams in these locations amended.  The existing ground bearing lower ground floor slab to the listed cottage is to be removed and a new floor construction formed.  A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage giving access from the new entrance hall to the lower ground floor kitchen/dining room.  The existing post war stairs to the listed cottage are to be removed and a new stair provided.  Why is it necessary to demolish or extend (as applicable) all or part of the building(s) and or structure(s)?  Per the conclusion to the Design and Access statement:  We believe that this amended proposal safeguards and preserves the listed property, it's site and it's setting. We have worked strenuously to incorporate the legitimate concerns of the local authority, and these are reflected in the submitted proposal. The original regency plan of the cottage is preserved and enhanced, whilst the amendments proposed internally will remove as much as possible of the more modern and inappropriate details such as cornicing, fireplaces etc and replace them with detailling appropriate for the age and status of the cottage.  The replacement of the low quality 1980's rear conservatory with a simply designed modern conservatory with fully glazed walls, sympathetically proportioned and subservient to the cottage will improve the appearance, functioning, and legibility of the listed heritage asset whilst having no detrimental impact upon it.  The removal of the front entrance feature to the annex and its replacement with the fully glazed pergola from the cottage both reinstates the original footprint of the annex, and allows the sensible functioning of the annex as part of the cottage accommodation, which it most clearly is.  We have re-establi	The rear infill wall datin	g from post WW2 to the I	lower ground level of the historic	side extension is to be removed.	
demolished and replaced with new brick nibs attached to the party wall with 97 South End Road, and the existing steel beams in these locations amended. The existing ground bearing lower ground floor slab to the listed cottage is to be removed and a new floor construction formed.  A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage giving access from the new entrance hall to the lower ground floor kitchen/dining room.  The existing post war stairs to the listed cottage are to be removed and a new stair provided.  Why is it necessary to demolish or extend (as applicable) all or part of the building(s) and or structure(s)?  Per the conclusion to the Design and Access statement:  We believe that this amended proposal safeguards and preserves the listed property, it's site and it's setting. We have worked strenuously to incorporate the legitimate concerns of the local authority, and these are reflected in the submitted proposal. The original regency plan of the cottage is preserved and enhanced, whilst the amendments proposed internally will remove as much as possible of the more modern and inappropriate details such as cornicing, fireplaces etc and replace them with detailing appropriate for the age and status of the cottage.  The replacement of the low quality 1980's rear conservatory with a simply designed modern conservatory with fully glazed walls, sympathetically proportioned and subservient to the cottage will improve the appearance, functioning, and legibility of the listed heritage asset whilst having no detrimental impact upon it.  The removal of the front entrance feature to the annex and its replacement with the fully glazed pergola from the cottage both reinstates the original footprint of the annex, and allows the sensible functioning of the annex as part of the cottage accommodation, which it most clearly is.  We have re-established a sensible relationship between the cottage and the rear annex which enhances heritage value and legibility of the listed cottage, and allows the an		,	1 1 7 3	•	
A new doorway is to be formed through the flank wall of the listed cottage giving access from the new entrance hall to the lower ground floor kitchen/dining room.  The existing post war stairs to the listed cottage are to be removed and a new stair provided.  Why is it necessary to demolish or extend (as applicable) all or part of the building(s) and or structure(s)?  Per the conclusion to the Design and Access statement:  We believe that this amended proposal safeguards and preserves the listed property, it's site and it's setting. We have worked strenuously to incorporate the legitimate concerns of the local authority, and these are reflected in the submitted proposal. The original regency plan of the cottage is preserved and enhanced, whilst the amendments proposed internally will remove as much as possible of the more modern and inappropriate details such as cornicing, fireplaces etc and replace them with detailing appropriate for the age and status of the cottage.  The replacement of the low quality 1980's rear conservatory with a simply designed modern conservatory with fully glazed walls, sympathetically proportioned and subservient to the cottage will improve the appearance, functioning, and legibility of the listed heritage asset whilst having no detrimental impact upon it.  The removal of the front entrance feature to the annex and its replacement with the fully glazed pergola from the cottage both reinstates the original footprint of the annex, and allows the sensible functioning of the annex as part of the cottage accommodation, which it most clearly is.  We have re-established a sensible relationship between the cottage and the rear annex which enhances heritage value and legibility of the listed cottage, and allows the annex to be used to its full potential.  The improvement of the front garden hard and soft landscaping and boundary fence to South End Road will enhance the setting of the cottage.  We believe the proposal represents an appropriate re-modelling to preserve and enhance this listed st	The internal loadbearin demolished and replace	g brick piers to the cottaged with new brick nibs att	ge at lower ground and ground fit ached to the party wall with 97	loor where the centre spine wall has previc South End Road, and the existing steel be	ously been removed are to be arms in these locations amended.
The existing post war stairs to the listed cottage are to be removed and a new stair provided.  Why is it necessary to demolish or extend (as applicable) all or part of the building(s) and or structure(s)?  Per the conclusion to the Design and Access statement:  We believe that this amended proposal safeguards and preserves the listed property, it's site and it's setting. We have worked strenuously to incorporate the legitimate concerns of the local authority, and these are reflected in the submitted proposal. The original regency plan of the cottage is preserved and enhanced, whilst the amendments proposed internally will remove as much as possible of the more modern and inappropriate details such as cornicing, fireplaces etc and replace them with detailing appropriate for the age and status of the cottage.  The replacement of the low quality 1980's rear conservatory with a simply designed modern conservatory with fully glazed walls, sympathetically proportioned and subservient to the cottage will improve the appearance, functioning, and legibility of the listed heritage asset whilst having no detrimental impact upon it.  The removal of the front entrance feature to the annex and its replacement with the fully glazed pergola from the cottage both reinstates the original footprint of the annex, and allows the sensible functioning of the annex as part of the cottage accommodation, which it most clearly is.  We have re-established a sensible relationship between the cottage and the rear annex which enhances heritage value and legibility of the listed cottage, and allows the annex to be used to its full potential.  The improvement of the front garden hard and soft landscaping and boundary fence to South End Road will enhance the setting of the cottage.  We believe the proposal represents an appropriate re-modelling to preserve and enhance this listed structure and its setting whilst allowing it to evolve in					
Why is it necessary to demolish or extend (as applicable) all or part of the building(s) and or structure(s)?  Per the conclusion to the Design and Access statement:  We believe that this amended proposal safeguards and preserves the listed property, it's site and it's setting. We have worked strenuously to incorporate the legitimate concerns of the local authority, and these are reflected in the submitted proposal. The original regency plan of the cottage is preserved and enhanced, whilst the amendments proposed internally will remove as much as possible of the more modern and inappropriate details such as cornicing, fireplaces etc and replace them with detailing appropriate for the age and status of the cottage.  The replacement of the low quality 1980's rear conservatory with a simply designed modern conservatory with fully glazed walls, sympathetically proportioned and subservient to the cottage will improve the appearance, functioning, and legibility of the listed heritage asset whilst having no detrimental impact upon it.  The removal of the front entrance feature to the annex and its replacement with the fully glazed pergola from the cottage both reinstates the original footprint of the annex, and allows the sensible functioning of the annex as part of the cottage accommodation, which it most clearly is.  We have re-established a sensible relationship between the cottage and the rear annex which enhances heritage value and legibility of the listed cottage, and allows the annex to be used to its full potential.  The improvement of the front garden hard and soft landscaping and boundary fence to South End Road will enhance the setting of the cottage.  We believe the proposal represents an appropriate re-modelling to preserve and enhance this listed structure and its setting whilst allowing it to evolve in					
Per the conclusion to the Design and Access statement:  We believe that this amended proposal safeguards and preserves the listed property, it's site and it's setting. We have worked strenuously to incorporate the legitimate concerns of the local authority, and these are reflected in the submitted proposal. The original regency plan of the cottage is preserved and enhanced, whilst the amendments proposed internally will remove as much as possible of the more modern and inappropriate details such as cornicing, fireplaces etc and replace them with detailing appropriate for the age and status of the cottage.  The replacement of the low quality 1980's rear conservatory with a simply designed modern conservatory with fully glazed walls, sympathetically proportioned and subservient to the cottage will improve the appearance, functioning, and legibility of the listed heritage asset whilst having no detrimental impact upon it.  The removal of the front entrance feature to the annex and its replacement with the fully glazed pergola from the cottage both reinstates the original footprint of the annex, and allows the sensible functioning of the annex as part of the cottage accommodation, which it most clearly is.  We have re-established a sensible relationship between the cottage and the rear annex which enhances heritage value and legibility of the listed cottage, and allows the annex to be used to its full potential.  The improvement of the front garden hard and soft landscaping and boundary fence to South End Road will enhance the setting of the cottage.  We believe the proposal represents an appropriate re-modelling to preserve and enhance this listed structure and its setting whilst allowing it to evolve in					
We believe that this amended proposal safeguards and preserves the listed property, it's site and it's setting. We have worked strenuously to incorporate the legitimate concerns of the local authority, and these are reflected in the submitted proposal. The original regency plan of the cottage is preserved and enhanced, whilst the amendments proposed internally will remove as much as possible of the more modern and inappropriate details such as cornicing, fireplaces etc and replace them with detailing appropriate for the age and status of the cottage.  The replacement of the low quality 1980's rear conservatory with a simply designed modern conservatory with fully glazed walls, sympathetically proportioned and subservient to the cottage will improve the appearance, functioning, and legibility of the listed heritage asset whilst having no detrimental impact upon it.  The removal of the front entrance feature to the annex and its replacement with the fully glazed pergola from the cottage both reinstates the original footprint of the annex, and allows the sensible functioning of the annex as part of the cottage accommodation, which it most clearly is.  We have re-established a sensible relationship between the cottage and the rear annex which enhances heritage value and legibility of the listed cottage, and allows the annex to be used to its full potential.  The improvement of the front garden hard and soft landscaping and boundary fence to South End Road will enhance the setting of the cottage.  We believe the proposal represents an appropriate re-modelling to preserve and enhance this listed structure and its setting whilst allowing it to evolve in	Why is it necessary to o	demolish or extend (as ap	oplicable) all or part of the buildi	ng(s) and or structure(s)?	
legitimate concerns of the local authority, and these are reflected in the submitted proposal. The original regency plan of the cottage is preserved and enhanced, whilst the amendments proposed internally will remove as much as possible of the more modern and inappropriate details such as cornicing, fireplaces etc and replace them with detailing appropriate for the age and status of the cottage.  The replacement of the low quality 1980's rear conservatory with a simply designed modern conservatory with fully glazed walls, sympathetically proportioned and subservient to the cottage will improve the appearance, functioning, and legibility of the listed heritage asset whilst having no detrimental impact upon it.  The removal of the front entrance feature to the annex and its replacement with the fully glazed pergola from the cottage both reinstates the original footprint of the annex, and allows the sensible functioning of the annex as part of the cottage accommodation, which it most clearly is.  We have re-established a sensible relationship between the cottage and the rear annex which enhances heritage value and legibility of the listed cottage, and allows the annex to be used to its full potential.  The improvement of the front garden hard and soft landscaping and boundary fence to South End Road will enhance the setting of the cottage.  We believe the proposal represents an appropriate re-modelling to preserve and enhance this listed structure and its setting whilst allowing it to evolve in	Per the conclusion to the	ne Design and Access sta	atement:		
and subservient to the cottage will improve the appearance, functioning, and legibility of the listed heritage asset whilst having no detrimental impact upon it.  The removal of the front entrance feature to the annex and its replacement with the fully glazed pergola from the cottage both reinstates the original footprint of the annex, and allows the sensible functioning of the annex as part of the cottage accommodation, which it most clearly is.  We have re-established a sensible relationship between the cottage and the rear annex which enhances heritage value and legibility of the listed cottage, and allows the annex to be used to its full potential.  The improvement of the front garden hard and soft landscaping and boundary fence to South End Road will enhance the setting of the cottage.  We believe the proposal represents an appropriate re-modelling to preserve and enhance this listed structure and its setting whilst allowing it to evolve in	legitimate concerns of the local authority, and these are reflected in the submitted proposal. The original regency plan of the cottage is preserved and				ne cottage is préserved and
the annex, and allows the sensible functioning of the annex as part of the cottage accommodation, which it most clearly is.  We have re-established a sensible relationship between the cottage and the rear annex which enhances heritage value and legibility of the listed cottage, and allows the annex to be used to its full potential.  The improvement of the front garden hard and soft landscaping and boundary fence to South End Road will enhance the setting of the cottage.  We believe the proposal represents an appropriate re-modelling to preserve and enhance this listed structure and its setting whilst allowing it to evolve in	The replacement of the and subservient to the	low quality 1980's rear of cottage will improve the a	conservatory with a simply desig appearance, functioning, and leg	ned modern conservatory with fully glazed pibility of the listed heritage asset whilst ha	walls, sympathetically proportioned ving no detrimental impact upon it.
allows the annex to be used to its full potential.  The improvement of the front garden hard and soft landscaping and boundary fence to South End Road will enhance the setting of the cottage.  We believe the proposal represents an appropriate re-modelling to preserve and enhance this listed structure and its setting whilst allowing it to evolve in	The removal of the from the annex, and allows t	at entrance feature to the he sensible functioning o	annex and its replacement with f the annex as part of the cottag	the fully glazed pergola from the cottage be accommodation, which it most clearly is	ooth reinstates the original footprint of .
We believe the proposal represents an appropriate re-modelling to preserve and enhance this listed structure and its setting whilst allowing it to evolve in					
	The improvement of the	·			
				d enhance this listed structure and its settin	ng whilst allowing it to evolve in

Yes	No No No No No No extent and character of the
<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Otographs sufficient to identify the location, even means of structural support, and state references.</li> </ul>	No No No No No extent and character of the
<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Otographs sufficient to identify the location, even means of structural support, and state references.</li> </ul>	No No No No No extent and character of the
<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Otographs sufficient to identify the location, even means of structural support, and state references.</li> </ul>	No No No No No extent and character of the
<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>internally or externally?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>otographs sufficient to identify the location, eew means of structural support, and state references.</li> </ul>	No No No extent and character of the
<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>internally or externally?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>otographs sufficient to identify the location, eew means of structural support, and state references.</li> </ul>	No No No extent and character of the
e) internally or externally?  • Yes  • Yes  otographs sufficient to identify the location, end we will be a state reference of the state of the state reference of the state of	○ No ○ No extent and character of the
• Yes otographs sufficient to identify the location, e ew means of structural support, and state refe	○ No extent and character of the
otographs sufficient to identify the location, e ew means of structural support, and state refe	extent and character of the
ew means of structural support, and state refe	extent and character of the erences for the
wings:	
Yes be used (including type, colour and name	○ No e for each material) demolition
the nonun hoy	
I fields are completed.	
sting conservatory walls clear glazed in paint	ted timber frames
sting rendered blockwork walls to entrance fe noved entirely.	eature of rear annex to be
placement conservatory walls clear high performels without frames, moving panels with minir	
nzed walls to new pergola from cottage to and formance glazing - fixed panels without frame imal metal frames.	
n I s	the popup box. fields are completed.  sting conservatory walls clear glazed in paintsting rendered blockwork walls to entrance followed entirely.  blacement conservatory walls clear high perfels without frames, moving panels with minimized walls to new pergola from cottage to anaformance glazing - fixed panels without frames.

# 9. Materials Roof covering Please provide a description of existing materials and finishes: Natural slate roof covering to main roofs of listed cottage, with lead lined valley gutter between. Slate roof covering to pitched roof over historic side extension laid at inadequate 16 degree pitch on inadequate timber structure - ref architects drawing ER-001 Entrance feature to rear annex - flat asphalt roof - to be removed existing conservatory - clear glazing in painted timber frames - to be removed Please provide a description of proposed materials and finishes: Natural slate roof covering to main roofs of listed cottage with lead lined valley gutter between - all to be refurbished. Slates and ridge tiles to be carefully removed, existing timber structure to be checked whilst insulation installed at ceiling level to top floor (cold roof void), new breathable membrane and battens to be installed, and original slates and ridge tiles reinstalled. Replacement roof to historic side extension to be flat roof laid to nominal falls, including purpose made clear glazed flat rooflight, concealed behind lead clad parapet with external drip detail - ref architects drawing ER-002, PP-002 REV. B, PP-003 REV. B, PP-013 REV. A Replacement conservatory - flat green planted roof to 75% of area, clear glazed slot between green roof and rear of listed cottage Pergola between cottage and rear annex - clear glazing suspended beneath structure of pergola. Timber brise soleil above glazing. Chimney Brick with cement flaunching and clay pots Please provide a description of existing materials and finishes: Please provide a description of proposed materials and finishes: As existing - refurbished as necessary using matching materials Windows Please provide a description of existing materials and finishes: Listed cottage - existing sash windows and glazed french doors are painted timber with single glazing. All appear to date from post WW2. Rear annex - existing casement windows painted timber with single glazing. All appear to date from post WW2. Please provide a description of proposed materials and finishes: Listed cottage - all sash windows to be replaced with new purpose made painted hardwood double hung sash windows, single glazed, draft stripped, to correct period detail, by heritage window specialist. Glazed french door to front elevation to be similarly replaced by purpose made item by same specialist with details to match. Rear annex - existing windows to be replaced at ground floor level. New enlarged opening to be created with timber sectional doors externally, and high performance clear glazed minimally framed sliding doors internally.

External Doors		
Please provide a description of existing materials and finishes:	Listed cottage - Front door - panelled painted timber. Lower ground floor door	

All painted finishes white.

## 9. Materials

External Doors	
	into front pantry - panelled painted timber. Front elevation garage doors - painted timber. Rear conservatory - glazed timber framed double doors. Rear of garage - painted timber single door.  Rear annex - glazed painted timber door
Please provide a description of proposed materials and finishes:	Listed cottage - Front door - panelled painted timber. No works Lower ground floor door into front pantry - replace with new painted timber door to correct period detail. Front elevation garage doors - replace with new painted timber double doors to similar design, with additional fixed over-panel. Rear conservatory - doors replaced as part of replacement of conservatory - new minimally framed clear glazed sliding doors. Rear of garage - wall and door removed.  Rear annex - door and entrance structure removed and replaced by new pergola.  All painted finishes - colour TBC

Ceilings	
Please provide a description of existing materials and finishes:	Listed cottage - main reception and bedrooms to all levels - plasterboard with skim coat fixed through original plaster ceilings on timber lathes. Historic side extension - plasterboard with skim coat. Inappropriate late 20th century perimeter cornices to all levels.  Rear annex - plasterboard with skim coat
Please provide a description of proposed materials and finishes:	Listed cottage - ceilings to be retained as existing except where new/additional steel structure is introduced to the centre spine wall location at lower ground and ground floor levels. Minimal intervention, followed by making good with matching materials. All existing cornices to be removed, and replaced with plaster of paris mouldings to appropriate period detail. Historic side extension ceilings to be replaced when floor levels amended - plasterboard and skim, with plaster of paris cornice mouldings to appropriate period detail.  Rear annex - ceilings retained as existing

Internal Walls	
Please provide a description of existing materials and finishes:	Listed cottage - internal partitions at ground and lower ground, and in historic side extension - plasterboard and skim on timber studs - post WW2. Upper floor spine wall original lathe and plaster. All walls feature incorrect post WW2 skirtings.  Rear annex - all internal partitions at all levels plasterboard and skim on timber studs - post WW2
Please provide a description of proposed materials and finishes:	Listed cottage - New internal partitions at ground and upper floor in historic side extension - plasterboard and skim on timber studs. Upper floor spine wall to be retained as original lathe and plaster. All skirtings to be replaced with correct period items.  Rear annex - all internal partitions at all levels remain as plasterboard and skim on timber studs.

# 9. Materials Floors Please provide a description of existing materials and finishes: Listed cottage - ground and upper floor are original timber joists. All have been re-boarded with more recent T+G boarding, and had substantial interventions in joists for passage of recent plumbing and heating services, as well as previous structural amendments. Lower ground floor - solid concrete ground bearing slab without insulation bitumin dampcourse on top with woodblock flooring or glazed clay tiled finish. Garage under historic side extension - floor in roughly finished over-site concrete. Rear annex - concrete slab with floating timber floor finish. Please provide a description of proposed materials and finishes: Listed cottage - ground and upper floors - existing joists and strutting retained and with additional strutting added as required, timber fillets added to level, new hardwood boarded finish on 12mm plywood underlayer with resilient acoustic strips. Historic side extension all floors - existing joists and strutting retained and repositioned at revised floor levels, timber fillets added to level, new hardwood boarded finish on 12mm plywood underlayer with resilient acoustic strips Lower ground floor including garage area and conservatory - existing concrete floor to be carefully removed. New floor to be installed incorporating concrete ground bearing slab, waterproof membrane, insulation, and screeded finish with underfloor heating. Finishes natural stone - samples to be approved. Rear annex - existing floor to be carefully removed. New floor to be installed incorporating concrete ground bearing slab, waterproof membrane, insulation, and screeded finish with underfloor heating. Timber boarded finish. Internal Doors Please provide a description of existing materials and finishes: Listed cottage and rear annex - timber panelled doors, frames and architraves from 1970's all to incorrect and inappropriate design and detail. Please provide a description of proposed materials and finishes: Listed cottage - all internal doors, frames and linings will be replaced by new high quality hardwood items to correct regency design to match the original building period of the cottage. Rear Annex - existing doors and frames will be retained. Rainwater goods Please provide a description of existing materials and finishes: Listed cottage - Painted cast iron - colour black Rear annex - UPVC - colour black Please provide a description of proposed materials and finishes: Listed cottage - Painted cast iron - colour black Rear annex - UPVC - colour black Boundary treatments (e.g. fences, walls) Please provide a description of existing materials and finishes: Rear garden - brick walls with various cappings Front garden - boundaries to 97 and 101 South End Road and a selection of different timber fences. Boundary to the pavement to South end Road

9. Materials		
Boundary treatment	ts (e.g. fences, walls)	
		consists of timber fence and black painted cast iron gates.
Please provide a de	escription of proposed materials and finishes:	Rear garden - brick walls with various cappings. As existing - no works to these.
		Front garden - boundaries to 97 and 101 South End Road and a selection of different timber fences. As existing - no works to these.
		Boundary to the pavement to South end Road - black painted cast iron gates to be repaired and fitted with concealed electric openers. Timber fence to be replaced by new painted iron railings to match gates. New hedge behind railings.
Vehicle access and	hard standing	
Please provide a de	escription of existing materials and finishes:	Tarmac finished drive with p.c.c. paved pathway adjacent. Stone paving slabs to front door external landing and steps.
Please provide a de	escription of proposed materials and finishes:	Natural stone paving to parking area, entrance path and steps, all on permeable sub-base. Stone finish carried through to rear conservatory and pergola
Lighting		
Please provide a de	escription of existing materials and finishes:	No external lighting
Please provide a de	escription of proposed materials and finishes:	Low level, low energy lighting to front garden entrance path and rear courtyard planting beds. Details and layout to be agreed.
	ditional information on submitted plan(s)/design and accepterences for the plans, drawings and/or design and acce	
10. Site Area		
What is the measuren (numeric characters o		
Unit	sq.metres	
I1. Existing Use	userant upo of the cite	
Please describe the c Single residential prop		
Is the site currently va		☐ Yes ■ No
Joes the proposal in	volve any of the following ? If Yes, you will need to s	ubmit an appropriate contamination assessment with your application.

11. Existing Use					
Land which is known to be contaminated		0	Yes	No	
Land where contamination is suspected for all or part of the site		0	Yes	<ul><li>No</li></ul>	
A proposed use that would be particularly vulnerable to the presence of contamination			Yes	No	
12. Pedestrian and Vehicle Access, Roads and R	lights of Way				
Is a new or altered vehicular access proposed to or from the pub	lic highway?	0	Yes	No	
Is a new or altered pedestrian access proposed to or from the pu	ublic highway?	0	Yes	<ul><li>No</li></ul>	
Are there any new public roads to be provided within the site?		0	Yes	No	
Are there any new public rights of way to be provided within or a	djacent to the site?	0	Yes	No	
Do the proposals require any diversions/extinguishments and/or	creation of rights of way?	0	Yes	No	
13. Vehicle Parking					
Is vehicle parking relevant to this proposal?		•	Yes	○ No	
Please provide information on the existing and proposed number	of on-site parking spaces				
Type of vehicle	Existing number of spaces	Total proposed (including spaces retained)		Difference in spaces	
Cars	2	2		0	
14. Foul Sewage  Please state how foul sewage is to be disposed of:  Mains Sewer Septic Tank Package Treatment plant Cess Pit Other Unknown  Are you proposing to connect to the existing drainage system?  If Yes, please include the details of the existing system on the appropriate to the sexisting system on the appropriate to the existing system of the existing s		•		○ No ○ Unknown	
14. Foul Sewage  Please state how foul sewage is to be disposed of:  ✓ Mains Sewer  ☐ Septic Tank  ☐ Package Treatment plant  ☐ Cess Pit  ☐ Other  ☐ Unknown  Are you proposing to connect to the existing drainage system?		•		○ No ○ Unknown	
14. Foul Sewage  Please state how foul sewage is to be disposed of:  ✓ Mains Sewer  Septic Tank  Package Treatment plant  Cess Pit  Other  Unknown  Are you proposing to connect to the existing drainage system?  If Yes, please include the details of the existing system on the appropriate to the proposition of the pr	oplication drawings. Please state  ment Agency's Flood Map show planning authority requirements  consider the risk to the propose	the plan(s)/drawing(s) refer ring flood zones 2 and 3 for information as	Yes	O No O Unknown	
Please state how foul sewage is to be disposed of:  Mains Sewer Septic Tank Package Treatment plant Cess Pit Other Unknown  Are you proposing to connect to the existing drainage system?  If Yes, please include the details of the existing system on the appropriate to the proposition of the existing system on the appropriate to the site within an area at risk of flooding? (Refer to the Environ and consult Environment Agency standing advice and your local necessary.)  If Yes, you will need to submit a Flood Risk Assessment to come the submit a subm	oplication drawings. Please state  ment Agency's Flood Map show planning authority requirements  consider the risk to the propose	the plan(s)/drawing(s) refer ring flood zones 2 and 3 for information as	Yes	● No  ■ No	

15. Assessment of Flood Risk
Existing water course
Soakaway
✓ Main sewer
☐ Pond/lake
16. Trees and Hedges
Are there trees or hedges on the proposed development site?
And/or: Are there trees or hedges on land adjacent to the proposed development site that could influence the development or might be important as part of the local landscape character?
If Yes to either or both of the above, you may need to provide a full tree survey, at the discretion of your local planning authority. If a tree survey is required, this and the accompanying plan should be submitted alongside your application. Your local planning authority should make clear on its website what the survey should contain, in accordance with the current 'BS5837: Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations'.
17. Biodiversity and Geological Conservation
Is there a reasonable likelihood of the following being affected adversely or conserved and enhanced within the application site, or on land adjacent to or near the application site?
To assist in answering this question correctly, please refer to the help text which provides guidance on determining if any important biodiversity or geological conservation features may be present or nearby; and whether they are likely to be affected by the proposals.
a) Protected and priority species:  Ves, on the development site  Yes, on land adjacent to or near the proposed development  No
b) Designated sites, important habitats or other biodiversity features:  Yes, on the development site Yes, on land adjacent to or near the proposed development No
c) Features of geological conservation importance:  Ves, on the development site  Yes, on land adjacent to or near the proposed development  No
18. Waste Storage and Collection
Do the plans incorporate areas to store and aid the collection of waste?
If Yes, please provide details:
A new bin store is provided at the front of the site hidden behind the proposed hedge. Sized to accommodate 2 wheelie bins of 240litre capacity - one for recyclable waste, one for non-recyclable waste.
see PP-030 REV. A
Have arrangements been made for the separate storage and collection of recyclable waste?
If Yes, please provide details:
A new bin store is provided at the front of the site hidden behind the proposed hedge. Sized to accommodate 2 wheelie bins of 240litre capacity - one for recyclable waste, one for non-recyclable waste.  see PP-030 REV. A
19. Residential/Dwelling Units

Due to changes in the information requirements for this question that are not currently available on the system, if you need to supply details of

Residential/Dwelling Units for your application please follow these steps:		
<ol> <li>Answer 'No' to the question below;</li> <li>Download and complete this supplementary information template (PDF);</li> <li>Upload it as a supporting document on this application, using the 'Supplementary information template' doc</li> </ol>	ument type	
This will provide the local authority with the required information to validate and determine your application.		
Does your proposal include the gain, loss or change of use of residential units?		No
20. All Types of Development: Non-Residential Floorspace		
Does your proposal involve the loss, gain or change of use of non-residential floorspace?		No
21. Employment		
Will the proposed development require the employment of any staff?	□ Yes	● No
22. Hours of Opening		
Are Hours of Opening relevant to this proposal?		
Are nours of Opening relevant to this proposar?	ℚ Yes	● No
23. Industrial or Commercial Processes and Machinery		
Please describe the activities and processes which would be carried out on the site and the end products including pla	ent vontilatio	n or air conditioning. Places
include the type of machinery which may be installed on site:	ini, venillalic	ir or all conditioning. I lease
Private residential house		
Is the proposal for a waste management development?		No
If this is a landfill application you will need to provide further information before your application can be determined by the control of th	mined. You	r waste planning authority
should make it clear what information it requires on its website		
24. Hazardous Substances		
Does the proposal involve the use or storage of any hazardous substances?	□ Yes	● No
OF Two Is Efficient		
25. Trade Effluent		
Does the proposal involve the need to dispose of trade effluents or trade waste?	O Voo	No
	U res	2110
26. Site Vicit	U Tes	
26. Site Visit	U res	
26. Site Visit  Can the site be seen from a public road, public footpath, bridleway or other public land?		○ No
Can the site be seen from a public road, public footpath, bridleway or other public land?  If the planning authority needs to make an appointment to carry out a site visit, whom should they contact?  The agent		
Can the site be seen from a public road, public footpath, bridleway or other public land?  If the planning authority needs to make an appointment to carry out a site visit, whom should they contact?		
Can the site be seen from a public road, public footpath, bridleway or other public land?  If the planning authority needs to make an appointment to carry out a site visit, whom should they contact?  The agent  The applicant		
Can the site be seen from a public road, public footpath, bridleway or other public land?  If the planning authority needs to make an appointment to carry out a site visit, whom should they contact?  The agent  The applicant		
Can the site be seen from a public road, public footpath, bridleway or other public land?  If the planning authority needs to make an appointment to carry out a site visit, whom should they contact?  The agent  The applicant  Other person		○ No
Can the site be seen from a public road, public footpath, bridleway or other public land?  If the planning authority needs to make an appointment to carry out a site visit, whom should they contact?  The agent The applicant Other person  27. Pre-application Advice  Has assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application?  If Yes, please complete the following information about the advice you were given (this will help the authority of the contact of the planning authority	<ul><li>Yes</li><li>Yes</li></ul>	○ No
Can the site be seen from a public road, public footpath, bridleway or other public land?  If the planning authority needs to make an appointment to carry out a site visit, whom should they contact?  The agent  The applicant  Other person  27. Pre-application Advice  Has assistance or prior advice been sought from the local authority about this application?	<ul><li>Yes</li><li>Yes</li></ul>	○ No

27. Pre-application	n Advice		
Title			
First name	Anna		
Surname	Foreshew		
Reference			
Date (Must be pre-appli	cation submission)		
24/12/2018			
Details of the pre-applic	ration advice received		
with Charlotte Meynell (		en Borough Council on 24th December 2018. There followed a meeting on site icer) of Camden Borough Council on 31st January 2019. Ms. Foreshew 2019.	
submitted proposal, in r Ms. Foreshew, prepare Hope (Planning Officer)	esponse to the comments regarding the previous submi d by specialist consultants Heritage Information Limited. and Anna Foreshew (Conservation Officer) of Camden	e-Planning Enquiry incorporated a number of amendments to the previously ssion, and was accompanied by a detailed Heritage Statement requested by Following this submission a meeting was held on site on 10th May with Obote Borough Council. Mr Hope subsequently issued comments on the submitted sion on site nor of either the Heritage Statement or the architect's Design	
Following receipt of the comments from CBC a further meeting was held on site on 3rd July 2019, at the request of the applicant's design team. The meeting was attended by the architect and Heritage Consultant for the client, and by Anna Foreshew and Rose Todd (Senior Conservation Officer) of Camden Borough Council. An email with further comments on the proposal was then issued by Anna Foreshew on 21st July 2019.			
The Proposal now submitted has been revised in response to the comments made by Ms. Foreshew, and with the detailed involvement of Dorian Crone, our heritage and design consultant.			
In preparing both the first re-submitted Pre-planning Enquiry in April 2019, and this Planning Application, where Ms. Foreshew requested additional information regarding the history of the property or significance of a particular feature this has been provided with the Heritage Statement. Where comments made by Ms. Foreshew suggested reconsideration of the original design, this has been undertaken and the design proposal has been appropriately amended with the advice of Dorian Crone the Heritage Expert. We have also submitted separate requests to Ms. Foreshew for clarification of a number of matters which she raised in her final email of 21st July 2019, to which no response has been received.			
28. Authority Employee/Member  With respect to the Authority, is the applicant and/or agent one of the following: (a) a member of staff (b) an elected member (c) related to a member of staff			
(d) related to an electe	d member		
	ole of decision-making that the process is open and trans question, "related to" means related, by birth or otherwi	2100 2110	
	ng considered the facts, would conclude that there was		
Do any of the above statements apply?			
00. 0	difference of Americal Const.		
29. Ownership Certificates and Agricultural Land Declaration  Certificate Of Ownership - Certificate A Certificate under Article 14 - Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England)			
Order 2015 & Regulation 6 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Regulations 1990  I certify/The applicant certifies that on the day 21 days before the date of this application nobody except myself/the applicant was the owner* of any			
part of the land or building to which the application relates, and that none of the land to which the application relates is, or is part of, an agricultural holding**			
	ith a freehold interest or leasehold interest with at le tion of 'agricultural tenant' in section 65(8) of the Act	ast 7 years left to run. ** 'agricultural holding' has the meaning given by	
NOTE: You should sig land is, or is part of, ar		sole owner of the land or building to which the application relates but the	
Person role  The applicant  The agent			
Title			
First name	David		
Surname	Long		

29. Ownership Certificates and Agricultural Land Declaration				
30/08/2019				
✓ Declaration made				
30. Declaration				
I/we hereby apply for planning permission/consent as described in this form and the accompanying plans/drawings and additional information. I/we confirm that, to the best of my/our knowledge, any facts stated are true and accurate and any opinions given are the genuine opinions of the person(s) giving them.				
30/08/2019				
	lanning permission/consent as described in this formur knowledge, any facts stated are true and accura			