

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received:           | Comment: |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|
| 2019/2592P      | [REDACTED]       | 17/08/2019 22:33:24 | OBJ      |

**Response:**

Dear Mr Dempsey,

I reside at [REDACTED] Oakhill Avenue. I have a couple of comments in relation to this planning notice.

1) As a freehold, we have understood the boundary adjoining number 7 to be our responsibility (number 9); therefore putting into question the assumed boundary line drawn on the plans, and that the line assumes number 7 own or are responsible for the boundary wall. Not only to our side, but also to number 5. To own both boundaries is very unusual. We have not found via our deeds or the council details to stipulate or confirm this.

2) In relation to the above point, yet not seemingly included on this plan notice, number 7 have fabricated and were ready to install high railings to the boundary wall. We have had a verbal agreement that the boundary wall is ours (number 9) but nothing in writing. In addition, the project manager for the owners has agreed to delay any further works to the boundary until we have rebuilt the damaged bin housing on our side. I am concerned that works are planned without full consultation with number 9 to the boundary wall. Maybe the council can confirm the assumed boundary line for clarity?

3) The trellis wooden fence panel is extremely high from our side of the property. The plans have not taken into account the ground level from not only number 9, but number 7 side path, as the trellis is on top of a wall making this incredibly imposing.

4) I have no further comments in relation to the front elevation, gates and railings already installed.

[REDACTED]