1444 – The Hope Project (Koko) Support to Front Piers 02/05/19



1. Introduction

This report outlines findings from recent investigations into the Koko front piers and consequences on the structural form. The following two sections describe the findings and a solution proposed in response. Sections four and five compare the proposed solution to both the currently agreed solution and to an alternative solution conceived during discussions with the design team. Section six outlines the benefits of the proposed solution and summarises the details of this report.

2. Findings

On local exposure of the masonry piers to the front of Koko depicted in figure 1 it was found that these piers did not contain supporting steel columns. This despite their slender construction and despite steel columns having been identified elsewhere within the masonry construction.



Figure 1 - Koko front facade

The London Building Act of 1894 which was in force at the time of construction provided guidance on the thickness of masonry walls as depicted in figure 2, but did not detail specific requirements for narrow piers, large point loads or unusual arrangements. Consequently, there are instances where masonry structures constructed prior to the 20th century cannot be suitably justified to contemporary regulatory frameworks and guidance documents. In these instances, an assessment of condition and proven performance can often suffice to justify the suitability of the construction.

4 Pear Tree Court, London EC1R 0DS 020 7870 8050

60 to 70 feet high



Figure 2 - Extract from the 1894 London Building Act

In this instance however, as we are proposing to increase the imposed loading on the roof structure by introducing a bar, this type of justification is no longer suitable. An analysis of the current condition of the piers suggests that they see a load 20% larger than what they can be justified to carry. With the bar loading this is further increased to 30%.

The aim of the proposed solution is therefore to devise a new alternative load path and ensuring that this carries the additional load imposed on the structure.

3. Proposed Solution

The proposed solution presented in Appendix A consists of introducing steel posts to the inside face of the piers between first floor and roof level. These posts are 200 x 90mm channel sections pushed close to the piers in section and central to the piers in elevation. The additional load is to be jacked into these posts to ensure that they carry their proportion of the applied load.

A transfer beam below the roof level soffit transfers loads from the new dome floor beams and distributes the load into the four posts as can be seen in figure 4. The dome floor beams and the transfer beam are all shown in the currently agreed solution.

We propose no physical fixing to the piers, and the solution is envisaged to be reversible without significant permanent visual impact on the listed fabric.







Figure 3 - Section through Koko front facade, proposed posts in blue

4. Currently Agreed Solution

The currently agreed solution is presented in Appendix B. This solution contains steel beams to the underside of the dome floor in the same locations as does our proposed solution. The only significant material difference is the four piers introduced between first floor and roof level. As is shown in figure 5, the currently agreed solution contains a steel frame (in orange) which is no longer required.



Figure 5 - Currently agreed solution, green elements are retained in the proposed solution, red elements are added in the proposed solution, orange elements are removed in the proposed solution

5. Alternative Solution

An alternative proposal is to chase the channel sections into the masonry piers to reduce the visual impact of the introduced channels. We have investigated this option, but do not recommend that it is pursued further for the following reasons:

- Chasing the posts into the piers will have a permanent irreversible effect on the listed fabric.
- The steel beams on all floor levels will need to be temporarily propped to install the posts, which will require new connections, local removal of finishes and installation of temporary foundations. All listed consequences will adversely impact the historic fabric.
- Cutting into the piers will result in a loss of capacity, and so the full load will need to be carried by the new posts which will need to be UC columns approximately 230 x 230mm; too wide to be fully hidden in the wall construction.
- The transfer of load from the piers to the temporary works and then from the temporary works to the new posts may result in some movement and cracking to finishes and concrete floors.

6. Conclusion

The masonry piers to the front of Koko cannot be shown to carry either the existing or proposed loading. To remedy this, we propose to introduce channel sections face fixed to the inside of the piers (without a physical connection between the two). This proposal is not dissimilar to the currently agreed solution but does introduce four posts to the front façade between first floor and roof with a visual impact to the inside of Koko. We note however that some of the previously agreed steel structure is omitted from this scheme thus reducing the impact on the existing building. We have investigated whether these posts can be chased into the existing masonry piers but have found that this would yield limited benefit while causing significant buildability issues and impacting the listed fabrics.

Appendix A – Proposed Solution







This drawing is to be read in conjunction with all relevan architects, engineers and specialists drawings and specifications.

100mm @ A0 (50mm @ A2)

- Do not scale from this drawing in either paper or digital form. Use written dimensions only. To check drawing has been printed to the intended scale the above bar should b 100mm
- Existing foundation information based on limited site investigations. Materials, construction and sizes to be verified during construction.
- Allow for cast in weld plates to connect all bear core walls, WRC liner wall & WRC capping bean
- Waterproofing to architects information and manufacturers of proprietary products
- Temporary works and sequencing to contractor design shall ensure a stable and safe structure throughout all stages of construction and shall not undermine preserve and proposed structures
- Facade design and connections to the supe specialist design
- Allow intumescent paint to steel work & proprietary fire protection to timber by others to HTS specification.
- Refer to architects information for locations of openings t existing walls. Refer to HTS sketch SK142 for lintel intent. Design by Contractor
- 10 Refer to overall plans for section markers



200 thk RC slab
300 thk RC slab

/	250 thk KC slab
8	150 thk profiled NWC slab on TATA Comflor 60 1.0 mm
	gauge deck with A193 mesh top and 1 no. H16 bar per
	trough
9	100 d x 50 w C24 joists at 400 crs with 18 thk plywood
	screwed to top face

_							
10	8mm stee	checker	plate				

C4	27.02.19	JH	GG	Revised Construction Issue
C3	01.02.19	JH	GG	Revised Construction Issue
C2	24.12.18	AA	GG	CONSTRUCTION ISSUE
C1	23.11.18	AA	GG	DRAFT CONSTRUCTION ISSUE
Τ1	12.09.18	JH	GG	Revised Tender Issue
Rev	Date	Ву	Eng	Amendments



STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS

hts.uk.com

Job Name The Hope Project

awing Title Proposed Second Floor Plan 2

rpose of Issue Construction	Scale at A0		1 : 50
rg No 1444/P122		Rev	C4







-(F*)





B*

(A)

1	203x203x46 UC + 200x100x10 UA
2	203x203x60 UC + 150x90x10 UA
4	203x203x86 UC + 150x90x10 UA
5	254x254x89 UC + 200x100x10 UA
6	610x305x238 UB
7	203x203x46 UC
7	203x203x46 UC
8	356x171x67 UB + 150x90x10 UA
9	254x254x107 UC + 200x100x10 UA
10	305x305x97 UC + 200x100x10 UA
11	250x150x10 RHS
12	150x150x10 SHS
1.4	305x305x137 UC + 200x100x10 UA
15	250x150x14 2 RHS
17	457×101×80 UR + 150×90×10 UA
10	522x210x02 UR + 150x90x10 UA
10	535X210X92 0B + 150X90X10 0A
19	40C-140-52 UKB
20	408x140x55 0KB
23	356X1/1X45 UB
25	305x102x28 UB
26	254x102x25 UB
27	254x102x25 UB +150x90x10 UA
28	254x146x37 UB + 150x90x10 UA
30	356x171x51 UB + 150x90x10 UA
31	305x102x28 UB + 150x90x10 UA
33	152x152x23 UC
34	203x102x23 UB
35	254x254x89 UC
43	305x305x97 UC
4.5	200x150x12 5 RHS laid flat
45	150x100x8 RHS laid flat
46	200x100x10 RHS
40	100-50-10 050
47	457-101-121 UD + 150-00-10 UA
40	457X191X151 UB + 150X90X10 UA
49	254x254x132 UC + 200x100x10 UA
50	305x305x283 UC + 200x100x10 UA
51	300x200x12.5 RHS + 100x100x10 EA
52	150x150x16 SHS
56	305 UC240 + 200x100x10 UA
57	203x203x100 UC + 150x90x10 UA 2
58	686x254 UB125 + 200x100x10 UA
59	254x254x167 UC + 200x100x10 UA
59	254x254x167 UC + 200x100x10 UA
61	305x305x198 UC + 200x100x10 UA
62	200x90x30 PFC
63	457x152x82 UB + 150x90x10 UA
6.A	202×102×22 LIR + 150×00×10 LIA
66	255x102x23 08 + 130x90x10 0A
05 CC	CRC+254 UD152 + 200+100+15 UA
00	100 v 10 MC elete eres heres
R1	100 x 10 IVIS plate cross-brace
кJ	summ macalloy bar
A1	100x100x10 EA fixed to perimeter
A2	150x150x12 EA
CB1	700d x 230w RC
B×T Ir P	ndicates 19mm dia x 100 long shear studs. 1No er rib
For deta	Is of support angle locations to Comflor

Column Schedule

C1	203x203x46 UC
C2	203x203x86 UC
C3	254x254x89 UC
C4	356x406x235 UC
C5	250x150x14.2 RHS
C6	152x152x30 UC
C7	203x203x46 UC cranked column
C8	203x203x46 UC cranked column
C-one	305x305x137 UC

Fabricated beam Schedule







This drawing is to be read in conjunction with all relevarchitects, engineers and specialists drawings and specifications.

Ś

- up not scale from this drawing in either paper or form. Use written dimensions only. To check drav been printed to the intended scale the above bar 100mm
- Existing four nvestigatio
- Allow for cast in weld plates to connect all a core walls, WRC liner wall & WRC capping b
- oofing to architects rers of proprietary
- stages of cor and propose truction and shall not u
- Facade design and o specialist design
- Allow intumescent paint to st protection to timber by other
- Refer to architects information for locations of openings existing walls. Refer to HTS sketch SK142 for lintel intent. Design by Contractor
- 10 Refer to overall plans for section marke



	screwed to top face
4	200 d x 50 w C24 joists at 400 crs with 18 thk plywood screwed to top face
5	200 thk RC slab
6	300 thk RC slab
7	250 thk RC slab
8	150 thk profiled NWC slab on TATA Comflor 60 1.0 mm gauge deck with A193 mesh top and 1 no. H16 bar per

	trough
9	100 d x 50 w C24 joists at 400 crs with 18 thk plywood screwed to top face
10	8mm steel checker plate

C2 C1	24.12.18	AA	GG	CONSTRUCTION ISSUE
Τ1	12.09.18	JH	GG	Revised Tender Issue
Rev	Date	By	Eng	Amendments



ENGINEERS

hts.uk.com

Job Name The Hope Project

Drawing Title Proposed Third Floor Plan 2

urpose of Issue Construction	Scale at A0		1 : 50
rg No 1444/P132		Rev	С3







Beam	Sch	nedi	ule

Beam	Schedule
B1	203x203x46 UC + 200x100x10 UA
B2	203x203x60 UC + 150x90x10 UA
B4	203x203x86 UC + 150x90x10 UA
B5	254x254x89 UC + 200x100x10 UA
B6	610x305x238 UB
B7	203x203x46 UC
B7	203x203x46 UC
B8	356x171x67 UB + 150x90x10 UA
B9	254x254x107 UC + 200x100x10 UA
B10	305x305x97 UC + 200x100x10 UA
B11	250x150x10 RHS
B12	150x150x10 SHS
B14	305x305x137 UC + 200x100x10 UA
B15	250x150x14.2 RHS
B17	457x191x89 UB + 150x90x10 UA
B18	533x210x92 UB + 150x90x10 UA
B19	533x210x109 UB + 150x90x10 UA
B20	406x140x53 UKB
B23	356x171x45 UB
B25	305x102x28 UB
B26	254x102x25 UB
B27	254x102x25 UB +150x90x10 UA
B28	254x146x37 UB + 150x90x10 UA
B30	356x171x51 UB + 150x90x10 UA
B31	305x102x28 UB + 150x90x10 UA
B33	152x152x23 UC
B34	203x102x23 UB
B35	254x254x89 UC
B43	305x305x97 UC
B44	200x150x12.5 RHS laid flat
B45	150x100x8 RHS laid flat
B46	200x100x10 RHS
B47	100x50x10 PFC
B48	457x191x131 UB + 150x90x10 UA
B49	254x254x132 UC + 200x100x10 UA
B50	305x305x283 UC + 200x100x10 UA
B51	300x200x12.5 BHS + 100x100x10 FA
B52	150x150x16 SHS
B56	305 UC240 + 200x100x10 UA
B57	203x203x100 UC + 150x90x10 UA 2
B58	686x254 UB125 + 200x100x10 UA
B59	254x254x167 UC + 200x100x10 UA
B59	254x254x167 UC + 200x100x10 UA
B61	305x305x198 UC + 200x100x10 UA
B62	200x90x30 PFC
B63	457x152x82 UB + 150x90x10 UA
B64	203x102x23 UB + 150x90x10 UA
B65	356x368x177 UC
B66	686x254 UB152 + 200x100x15 UA
BR1	100 x 10 MS plate cross-brace
BR3	30mm macallov bar
FA1	100x100x10 FA fixed to perimeter
FA2	150x150x12 FA
RCB1	700d x 230w BC
B× T Ir	ndicates 19mm dia x 100 long shear studs. 1No er rib
For deta deck ref	ils of support angle locations to Comflor er to drawings P400 to P406

Column Schedule

C1	203x203x46 UC
C2	203x203x86 UC
C3	254x254x89 UC
C4	356x406x235 UC
C5	250x150x14.2 RHS
C6	152x152x30 UC
C7	203x203x46 UC cranked column
C8	203x203x46 UC cranked column
C-one	305x305x137 UC

Fabricated beam Schedule







1 This drawing is to be read in conjunction with all re architects, engineers and specialists drawings and specifications.

X

- Facade design and specialist desigr
- Allow intumescent paint to protection to timber by oth
- Refer to architects information for loca existing walls. Refer to HTS sketch SK142 for lintel inten Design by Contractor
- 10 Refer to overall plans for section ma



200 tilk ne slub
300 thk RC slab

7	250 thk RC slab
8	150 thk profiled NWC slab on TATA Comflor 60 1.0 mm
	gauge deck with A193 mesh top and 1 no. H16 bar per trough
9	100 d x 50 w C24 joists at 400 crs with 18 thk plywood
	screwed to top face

10 8mm steel checker plate

C4	27.02.19	JH	GG	Revised Construction Issue
C3	01.02.19	JH	GG	Revised Construction Issue
C2	24.12.18	AA	GG	CONSTRUCTION ISSUE
C1	23.11.18	AA	GG	DRAFT CONSTRUCTION ISSUE
Τ1	12.09.18	JH	GG	Revised Tender Issue
Rev	Date	By	Eng	Amendments



STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS

hts.uk.com

Job Name The Hope Project

Drawing Title Proposed Fourth Floor Plan 2

urpose of Issue Construction	Scale at A0	1 : 50
rg No 1444/P142	Re	, C4



Allowjacked connections to can 80% of existing DL
– Allowjacked connections to camy 80% of existing DL
– Allowjacked connections to carry 80% of existing DL

HIGH LEVEL 4TH FLOOR



Appendix B – Currently Agreed Solution

- and specifications.
- has been printed to the intended scale the above bar
- investigations. Materials, construction and sizes to be verified during construction.

I SCHEUUIE
203x46 UC

Deal					
B1	203x203x46 UC + 200x100x10 UA				
B2	203x203x60 UC + 150x90x10 UA				
B4	203x203x86 UC + 150x90x10 UA				
B5	254x254x89 UC + 200x100x10 UA				
B6	610x305x238 UB				
B7	203x203x46 UC				
B10	305x305x97 UC + 200x100x10 UA				
B12	150x150x10 SHS				
B14	305x305x137 UC + 200x100x10 UA				
B15	250x150x14.2 RHS				
B17	457x191x89 UB + 150x90x10 UA				
B18	533x210x92 UB + 150x90x10 UA				
B19	533x210x109 UB + 150x90x10 UA				
B23	356x171x45 UB				
B25	305x102x28 UB				
B26	254x102x25 UB				
B27	254x102x25 UB +150x90x10 UA				
B30	356x171x51 UB + 150x90x10 UA				
B31	305x102x28 UB + 150x90x10 UA				

B33	152x152x23 UC
B34	203x102x23 UB
B35	254x254x89 UC
B37	305x165x46 UB
B38	305x305x240 UC
B40	203x203x113 UC
B41	254x254x73 UC
B42	356x368 UC153 + 200x100x10 UA
B43	305x305x97 UC
B44	200x150x12.5 RHS laid flat
B45	150x100x8 RHS laid flat
BR1	100 x 10 MS plate cross-brace
BR3	30mm macalloy bar
EA1	100x100x10 EA fixed to perimeter

or Le	ge 1	nd	on TATA
Slab	-	Comflor 60 1.0 mm gauge mesh top and 1 no. H16 b	deck with A193 ar per trough
x filed tal deck	2	200 thk profiled NWC slat Comflor 60 1.0 mm gauge mesh top and 1 no. H16 b	o on TATA deck with A193 ar per trough
x mber	3	200 d x 75 w C24 joists at thk plywood screwed to t	400 crs with 18 op face
or	4	200 d x 50 w C24 joists at thk plywood screwed to the	400 crs with 18 op face
	5	200 thk RC slab	
	6	300 thk RC slab	
	7	250 thk RC slab	
	8	150 thk profiled NWC slat Comflor 60 1.0 mm gauge mesh top and 1 no. H16 b	o on TATA deck with A193 ar per trough
	9	100 d x 50 w C24 joists at thk plywood screwed to t	400 crs with 18 op face
	* I to w indi	ndicates angle welded veb of beam. Size cated in table above	Bx T Indicates 19r 100 long she 1No per rib

Rev Date By Eng Amendments

Drg No 1444/P150

indicated in table above

1No per rib

D

T2 20.03.18 T1 02.03.18 Rev Date

STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS

hts.uk.com

Job Name The Hope Project

Drawing Title Proposed Overall Section A-A

3	AA	AC	Revised Tender Issue	Purpo
3	JH	AC	Tender Issue	
	Ву	Eng	Amendments	Drg N

Purpose of Issue Tender

Scale at A1

1:100 Rev T2

org No 1444/P200