

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/3261P	Peters	05/08/2019 15:22:49	OBJEMPER	OBJECTION

From: Phil Peters & Denise Spreag, [REDACTED]

I note that the owner of 7 Daleham Mews, Mr & Mrs Tart, have chosen a 1 No. Daikin 5MXM90N external air condition unit to mount on their roof. Daikin also make an equivalent internal indoor unit. This begs the question as to why they have chosen to mount the unit externally and the most obvious explanation is because it is too noisy for them to tolerate.

It should be noted the proposed plant unit would not be out of line of site from the upper floor of my house [REDACTED] and would be an unnecessary eye sore in addition to a noise pollutant.

The manufacturer's noise rating for 1 No. Daikin 5MXM90N external air condition unit is 52 decibels. To put this in context here are a few examples other consumer products along with typical noise ratings in decibels:

- ∫ 50 refrigerator
- ∫ 50 - 60 electric toothbrush
- ∫ 50 - 75 washing machine
- ∫ 50 - 80 electric shaver
- ∫ 55 - 70 dishwasher
- ∫ 60 sewing machine
- ∫ 60 - 85 vacuum cleaner
- ∫ 60 - 95 hair dryer
- ∫ 65 - 80 alarm clock

The consultant's report does not discuss the long-term impact on health of continuous noise. Imagine having a washing machine, on a 24 hour continuous wash cycle, mounted on you next door neighbour's roof?

The air conditioning unit proposed to be sited on an already raised roofline would create a constant noise nuisance to neighbours whose bedrooms are just meters away and is clearly unacceptable.

The noise, even with an acoustic enclosure, will reverberate around the close neighbours and on quiet warm nights when windows are open will be a serious noise nuisance.

The applicants should be encouraged to consider other forms of heat control including the selection of a Daikin internal indoor unit.

Please refuse.