

Appendix D Soil Guideline Values and General Assessment Criteria

D1 Assessment Criteria

The Contaminated Land Regime reflects the UK Government's stated objectives of achieving sustainable development through the 'suitable for use approach'.

D1.1 Contaminated Land Exposure Assessment Model (CLEA)

Current United Kingdom risk assessment practice is based on the Contaminated Land Exposure Assessment Model (CLEA).

The CLEA Guidance comprises the following documents:

- 1) EA Science Report SC050021/SR2: *Human health toxicological assessment of contaminants in soil.*
- 2) EA Science Report SC050021/SR3: *Updated technical background to the CLEA model.*
- 3) EA CLEA Bulletin (2009).
- 4) CLEA software version 1.06 (2009)
- 5) Toxicological reports and SGV technical notes.

The CLEA guidance and tools:

- *do not cover other types of risk to humans, such as fire, suffocation or explosion, or short-term and acute exposures.*
- *do not cover risks to the environment, such as groundwater, ecosystems or buildings.*
- *do not provide a definitive test for telling when human health risks are significant.*
- *are not a legal requirement in assessing land contamination risks. They are not part of the legal regime for Part 2A of the Environmental Protection Act 1990.*

The CLEA guidance derives soil concentrations of contaminants above which (in the opinion of the EA) there may be a concern that warrants further investigation. It does not provide a definitive test for establishing that the risk is significant.

D1.2 Land-use Scenarios

The CLEA model uses a range of standard land-use scenarios to develop conceptual exposure models as follows:

1 Residential (with home grown produce) (RwHP)

Generic scenario assumes a typical two-storey house built on a ground bearing slab with a private garden having a lawn, flowerbeds and a small fruit and vegetable patch.

- Critical receptor is a young female child (zero to six years old)
- Exposure duration is six years.
- Exposure pathways include direct soil and indoor dust ingestion, consumption of homegrown produce and any adhering soil, skin contact with soils and indoor dust and inhalation of indoor and outdoor dust and vapours.
- Building type is a two-storey small terraced house.

A sub-set of this land-use is residential apartments with communal landscaped gardens where the consumption of home grown vegetables will not occur. (Residential without homegrown produce (RwoHP)).

2) **Allotments**

Provision of open space (about 250sq.m) commonly made available to tenants by the local authority to grow fruit and vegetable for their own consumption. Typically, there are a number of plots to a site which may have a total area of up to 1 hectare. The tenants are assumed to be adults and that young children make occasional accompanied visits.

Although some allotment holders may choose to keep animals including rabbits, hens, and ducks, potential exposure to contaminated meat and eggs is not considered.

- Critical receptor is a young female child (zero to six years old)
- Exposure duration is six years.
- Exposure pathways include direct soil ingestion, consumption of homegrown produce and any adhering soil, skin contact with soils and inhalation of outdoor dust and vapours.
- There is no building.

3) **Commercial/Industrial**

The generic scenario assumes a typical commercial or light industrial property comprising a three-storey building at which employees spend most time indoors and are involved in office-based or relatively light physical work.

- Critical receptor is a working female adult (aged 16 to 65 years old).
- Exposure duration is a working lifetime of 49 years.
- Exposure pathways include direct soil and indoor dust ingestion, skin contact with soils and dusts and inhalation of dust and vapours.
- Building type is a three-storey office (pre 1970).

D1.4 **LQM/CIEH SUITABLE 4 USE LEVELS (S4UL)**

For derivation of these S4UL reference must be made to:

Nathanial, P., McCaffrey, C., Gillet, A., Ogden, R., Nathanial, J., *The LQM/CIEH S4UL's for Human Health Risk Assessment*. Land Quality Press. 2015

The LQM/CIEH S4UL for a given land use is the concentration of the contaminant in soil at which the predicted daily exposure, as calculated by the CLEA software, equals the Health Criteria Value.

The final output for each contaminant represents a synthesis of new toxicological (and fate and transport) reviews published since the preparation of the 2nd edition LQM/CIEH GAC's (Nathanial et al., 2009).

In the derivation of LQM/CIEH S4UL's the principles of 'minimal' or 'tolerable' risk enshrined in SR2, which has not been withdrawn, has been maintained.

S4UL's have been derived for the basic CLEA land-uses, as described above, and for two new land uses:

- Public Open Spaces near Residential Housing (POSresi)
- Public Park (POSpark).

Public Open Spaces near Residential Housing (POSresi)

Includes the predominantly grassed areas adjacent to high density housing, the central green area on many 1930's – 1970's housing estates, and smaller areas commonly incorporated in newer developments as informal grassed areas or more formal landscaped areas with a mixture of open space and covered soils with planting. It is assumed that the close proximity to the place of residence will allow tracking back of soil to occur.

Public Park (POSpark)

An area of open space, usually owned and maintained by the local authority, provided for recreational uses including family visits and picnics, children's play area, informal sporting activities (not a dedicated sports pitch), and dog walking. It is assumed that tracking back of soils into places of residence will be negligible.

The following LQM/CIEH S4ULs (Copyright Land Quality Management Limited) have been reproduced with permission, to the publication number S4UL3072.

D1.5 Category 4 Screening Levels (C4SLs)

In the case of Lead, no SGV or GAC has been published to date. This is likely to be due to the toxicity review that is currently being undertaken by the Environment Agency. In the absence of updated toxicity information the SGV derived using CLEA 1.06 methodology and related toxicity will be used.

The overall objective of the C4SLs research project was to assist the provision of technical guidance in support of Defra's revised Statutory Guidance (SG) for Part 2A of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 (Part 2A) (Defra, 2012a). Specifically, the project aimed to deliver:

- A methodology for deriving C4SLs for four generic land-uses comprising residential, commercial, allotments and public open space; and
- A demonstration of the methodology, via the derivation of C4SLs for six substances – arsenic, benzene, benzo(a)pyrene, cadmium, chromium (VI) and lead.

To help achieve a more targeted approach to identifying and managing contaminated land in relation to the risk (or possibility) of harm to human health, the revised SG presented a new four category system for considering land under Part 2A, ranging from Category 4, where there is no risk that land poses a significant possibility of significant harm (SPOSH), or the level of risk is low, to Category 1, where the risk that land poses a significant possibility of significant harm (SPOSH) is unacceptably high. More specific guidance on what type of land should be considered as Category 4 (Human Health) is provided in Paragraphs 4.21 and 4.22 of the revised SG, as follows:

“4.21 The local authority should consider that the following types of land should be placed into Category 4: Human Health:

(a) Land where no relevant contaminant linkage has been established.

(b) Land where there are only normal levels of contaminants in soil, as explained in Section 3 of this Guidance.

(c) Land that has been excluded from the need for further inspection and assessment because contaminant levels do not exceed relevant generic assessment criteria in accordance with Section 3 of this Guidance, or relevant technical tools or advice that may be developed in accordance with paragraph 3.30 of this Guidance.

(d) Land where estimated levels of exposure to contaminants in soil are likely to form only a small proportion of what a receptor might be exposed to anyway through other sources of environmental exposure (e.g. in relation to average estimated national levels of exposure to substances commonly found in the environment, to which receptors are likely to be exposed in the normal course of their lives).

4.22 The local authority may consider that land other than the types described in paragraph 4.21 should be placed into Category 4: Human Health if following a detailed quantitative risk assessment it is satisfied that the level of risk posed is sufficiently low.”

The C4SLs are intended as “relevant technical tools” (in relation to Paragraph 4.21(c)) to help local authorities and others when deciding to stop further assessment of a site, on the grounds that it falls within Category 4 (Human Health).

The Impact Assessment (IA), which accompanied the revised SG (Defra, 2012b) provides further information on the nature and potential role of the C4SLs. Paragraph 47(h) of the IA states that:

“The new statutory guidance will bring about a situation where the current SGVs/GACs are replaced with more pragmatic (but still strongly precautionary) Category 4 screening levels (C4SLs) which will provide a higher simple test for deciding that land is suitable for use and definitely not contaminated land.”

A key distinction between the Soil Guideline Values (SGVs) and the C4SLs is the level of risk that they describe. As described by the Environment Agency (2009a): *“SGVs are guidelines on the level of long-term human exposure to individual chemicals in soil that, unless stated otherwise, are tolerable or pose a minimal risk to human health.”*

The implication of Paragraph 47(h) of the IA is that minimal risk is well within Category 4 and that the C4SLs should describe a higher level of risk which, whilst not minimal, can still be considered low enough to allow a judgement to be made that land containing substances at, or below, the C4SLs would typically fall within Category 4. This reflects Paragraph 4.20 of the revised SG, which states:

“4.20 The local authority should not assume that land poses a significant possibility of significant harm if it considers that there is no risk or that the level of risk posed is low. For the purposes of this Guidance, such land is referred to as a “Category 4: Human Health” case. The authority may decide that the land is a Category 4: Human Health case as soon as it considers it has evidence to this effect, and this may happen at any stage during risk assessment including the early stages.”

C4SLs, therefore, should not be viewed as “SPOSH levels” and they should not be used as a legal trigger for the determination of land under Part 2A.

The generic screening values referred to before usually take the form of risk-based Soil Guideline Values (SGVs) or other Generic Assessment Criteria (GACs) that are most typically derived using the Environment Agency's Contaminated Land Exposure Assessment (CLEA) model, as described in the Environment Agency's SR2, SR3 and SR7 reports (EA, 2009b & c; EA, 2008). It is anticipated that C4SLs will be used in a similar manner; as generic screening criteria that can be used within a GQRA, albeit describing a higher level of risk than the SGVs.

The suggested approach to the development of C4SLs consists of the retention and use of the CLEA framework, modified according to considerations of the underlying science within the context of Defra's policy objectives relating to the revised SG. Within this context, it is suggested that the development of C4SLs may be achieved in one of three ways, namely:

- By modifying the toxicological parameters used within CLEA (while maintaining current exposure parameters);
- By modifying the exposure parameters embedded within CLEA (while maintaining current toxicological “minimal risk” interpretations); and
- By modifying both toxicological and exposure parameters.

There is also a suggested check on “other considerations” (e.g., background levels, epidemiological data, sources of uncertainty) within the approach, applicable to all three options.

It is suggested that a new term is defined for the toxicological guidance values associated with the derivation of C4SLs – a Low Level of Toxicological Concern (LLTC). A LLTC should represent an intake of low concern that remains suitably protective of health, and definitely does not approach an intake level that could be defined as SPOSH.

D1.6 CL:AIRE Generic Assessment Criteria (GAC)

For derivation of the CL:AIRE Generic Assessment Criteria (GAC) reference should be made to the following report:

CL:AIRE, *The Soil Generic Assessment Criteria for Human Health Risk Assessment. Contaminated Land: Applications in the Real Environment*. 2009.

Within this report CL:AIRE provided Generic Assessment Criteria (GAC's) in accordance with the CLEA software and the principles outlined above for a further 35 contaminants sometime encountered on land affected by contamination.

D1.7 Detailed Quantitative Risk Assessments (DQRA)

Where the adoption of an S4UL/GAC/C4SL is not appropriate, for instance when the intended land-use is at variance the CLEA standard land-uses then a DQRA may be undertaken to develop site specific values for relevant soil contaminants.

⇒ Establishing the plausibility that generic exposure pathways exist in practice by measurement and observation.

⇒ Developing more accurate parameters using site data.

D1.8 Phytotoxicity

CLEA guidance only addresses human health toxicity; assessment of plant toxicity (phytotoxicity) is based on threshold trigger values obtained from the following source:

- ICRCCL 70/90: *Notes on the restoration and aftercare of metalliferous mining sites for pasture and grazing*.

D1.9 Statistical Tests

DEFRA R&D Publication CLR 7 (DOE 1994) addressed the statistical treatment of test results and their comparison to Soil Guideline Values.

Consideration must be given to the appropriate area of land to be considered termed the critical averaging area.

For a communal open space or commercial land-use, the critical averaging area will depend on the proposed layout. For a residential use with private gardens the averaging area is the individual plot.

It may be appropriate to compare the upper 95th percentile concentration with the Soil Guideline Value, subject to applying a statistical test to establish that the range of concentrations are reasonably consistent and belonging to the same underlying distribution of data.

The DEFRA discussion paper *Assessing risks from land contamination – a proportionate approach ('the way forward')* (CLAN06/2006) aimed to increase understanding of the role that statistics can play in quantifying the uncertainty attached to the estimates of the mean concentration of contaminants in soil. In direct response CL:AIRE/CIEH published a joint report, *Guidance in comparing soil contamination data with a critical concentration* (CL:AIRE/CIEH 2008). A software implementation of the statistical techniques given in the report was published by ESI International (2008).

Treatment of Hot-Spots

- ⇒ A statistical test is applied to establish whether the data is a part of a single set, or whether data outliers are present.
- ⇒ Provided that the data is based on random sampling and no distinct contamination source was present at the sampling location, the hot-spot(s) may be excluded and the mean of the remaining data assessed.

D2 Ground and Water Limited Soil Assessment Criteria

The Soil Assessment Criteria used in the preparation of this report are tabulated in the following pages:

C4SL Low Level of Toxicological Concern

| C4SL Low Level of Toxicological Concern | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Contaminant | RwHP (mg/kg) | RwoHP (mg/kg) | Allotment (mg/kg) | Commercial (mg/kg) | POSresi (mg/kg) | POSpark (mg/kg) |
| Lead | <210 | <330 | <84 | <6000 | <760 | <1400 |

Phytotoxicity Recommendations

ICRCL 70/90 Restoration of metalliferous mining areas

| Phytotoxicity (Harmful to Plants) Threshold Trigger Values | |
|--|-----------|
| Copper | 250mg/kg |
| Zinc | 1000mg/kg |
| Notes: Many cultivars and specifically grasses have a high tolerance and there will be no ill-effect at the threshold trigger values given for neutral or near neutral pH. Site observation of plant vitality may give additional guidance. | |

Cont'd Overleaf:

Cont'd from previous page:
LQM CIEH Suitable 4 Use Levels (S4UL's)

| LQM/CIEH Suitable 4 Use Levels – Metals and Semi-metals | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Contaminant | RwHP (mg/kg) | RwoHP (mg/kg) | Allotment (mg/kg) | Commercial (mg/kg) | POSresi (mg/kg) | POSpark (mg/kg) |
| <i>Metals:</i> | | | | | | |
| Arsenic | 37 | 40 | 43 | 640 | 79 | 170 |
| Beryllium | 1.7 | 1.7 | 35 | 12 | 2.2 | 63 |
| Boron | 290 | 11000 | 45 | 240000 | 21000 | 46000 |
| Cadmium | 11 | 85 | 1.9 | 190 | 120 | 532 |
| Chromium (III) | 910 | 910 | 18000 | 8600 | 1500 | 33000 |
| Chromium (VI) | 6 | 6 | 1.8 | 33 | 7.7 | 20 |
| Copper | 2400 | 7100 | 520 | 68000 | 12000 | 44000 |
| Elemental Mercury | 1.2 | 1.2 | 21 | 58 | 16 | 30 |
| Inorganic Mercury | 40 | 56 | 19 | 1100 | 120 | 240 |
| Methylmercury | 11 | 15 | 6 | 320 | 40 | 68 |
| Nickel | 130 | 180 | 230 | 980 | 230 | 3400 |
| Selenium | 250 | 430 | 88 | 12000 | 1100 | 1800 |
| Vanadium | 410 | 1200 | 91 | 9000 | 2000 | 5000 |
| Zinc | 3700 | 40000 | 620 | 730000 | 81000 | 170000 |

| LQM/CIEH Suitable 4 Use Levels – BTEX Compounds | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Contaminant | Soil Organic Matter | RwHP (mg/kg) | RwoHP (mg/kg) | Allotment (mg/kg) | Commercial (mg/kg) | POSresi (mg/kg) | POSpark (mg/kg) |
| Benzene | 1.0% SOM | 0.087 | 0.38 | 0.017 | 27 | 72 | 90 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 0.170 | 0.70 | 0.034 | 47 | 72 | 100 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 0.370 | 1.40 | 0.075 | 90 | 73 | 110 |
| Toluene | 1.0% SOM | 130 | 880 | 22 | 56000 | 56000 | 87000 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 290 | 1900 | 51 | 110000 | 56000 | 95000 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 660 | 3900 | 120 | 180000 | 56000 | 100000 |
| Ethylbenzene | 1.0% SOM | 47 | 83 | 16 | 5700 | 24000 | 17000 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 110 | 190 | 39 | 13000 | 24000 | 22000 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 260 | 440 | 91 | 27000 | 25000 | 27000 |
| o-Xylene | 1.0% SOM | 60 | 88 | 28 | 6600 | 41000 | 17000 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 140 | 210 | 67 | 15000 | 42000 | 24000 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 330 | 480 | 160 | 33000 | 43000 | 33000 |
| m-Xylene | 1.0% SOM | 59 | 82 | 31 | 6200 | 41000 | 17000 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 140 | 190 | 74 | 14000 | 42000 | 24000 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 320 | 450 | 170 | 31000 | 43000 | 33000 |
| p-Xylene | 1.0% SOM | 56 | 79 | 29 | 5900 | 41000 | 17000 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 130 | 180 | 69 | 14000 | 42000 | 23000 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 310 | 430 | 160 | 30000 | 43000 | 31000 |

The most health protective value in each scenario for Xylene is highlighted in bold.

Cont'd Overleaf:

Cont'd from previous page:

| LQM/CIEH Suitable 4 Use Levels For TPH | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Aliphatic | | RwHP (mg/kg) | RwoHP (mg/kg) | Allotment (mg/kg) | Commercial (mg/kg) | POSresi (mg/kg) | POSpark (mg/kg) |
| EC 5-6 | 1.0% SOM | 42 | 42 | 730 | 3,200 (304) ^{sol} | 570,000 (304) ^{sol} | 95,000 (304) ^{sol} |
| | 2.5% SOM | 78 | 78 | 1,700 | 5,900 (558) ^{sol} | 590,000 | 130,000 (558) ^{sol} |
| | 6.0% SOM | 160 | 160 | 3,900 | 12,000 (1150) ^{sol} | 600,000 ^l | 180,000 (1150) ^{sol} |
| EC >6-8 | 1.0% SOM | 100 | 100 | 2,300 | 7,800 (144) ^{sol} | 600,000 | 150,000 (144) ^{sol} |
| | 2.5% SOM | 230 | 230 | 5,600 | 17,000 (322) ^{sol} | 610,000 | 220,000 (322) ^{sol} |
| | 6.0% SOM | 530 | 530 | 13,000 | 40,000 (736) ^{sol} | 620,000 | 320,000 (736) ^{sol} |
| EC >8-10 | 1.0% SOM | 27 | 27 | 320 | 2,000 (78) ^{sol} | 13,000 | 14,000 (78) ^{sol} |
| | 2.5% SOM | 65 | 65 | 770 | 4,800 (118) ^{vap} | 13,000 | 18,000 (118) ^{vap} |
| | 6.0% SOM | 150 | 150 | 1,700 | 11,000 (451) ^{vap} | 13,000 | 21,000 (451) ^{vap} |
| EC >10-12 | 1.0% SOM | 130 (48) ^{vap} | 130 (48) ^{vap} | 2,200 | 9,700 (48) ^{sol} | 13,000 | 21,000 (48) ^{sol} |
| | 2.5% SOM | 330 (118) ^{vap} | 330 (118) ^{vap} | 4,400 | 23,000 (118) ^{vap} | 13,000 | 23,000 (118) ^{vap} |
| | 6.0% SOM | 760 (283) ^{vap} | 770 (283) ^{vap} | 7,300 | 47,000 (283) ^{vap} | 13,000 | 24,000 (283) ^{vap} |
| EC >12-16 | 1.0% SOM | 1,100 (24) ^{sol} | 1,100 (24) ^{sol} | 11,000 | 59,000 (24) ^{sol} | 13,000 | 25,000 (24) ^{sol} |
| | 2.5% SOM | 2,400 (59) ^{sol} | 2,400 (59) ^{sol} | 13,000 | 82,000 (59) ^{sol} | 13,000 | 25,000 (59) ^{sol} |
| | 6.0% SOM | 4,300 (142) ^{sol} | 4,400 (142) ^{sol} | 13,000 | 90,000 (142) ^{sol} | 13,000 | 26,000 (142) ^{sol} |
| EC >16-35 | 1.0% SOM | 65,000 (8.48) ^{sol} | 65,000 (8.48) ^{sol} | 260,000 | 1,600,000 | 250,000 | 450,000 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 92,000 (21) ^{sol} | 92,000 (21) ^{sol} | 270,000 | 1,700,000 | 250,000 | 480,000 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 110,000 | 110,000 | 270,000 | 1,800,000 | 250,000 | 490,000 |
| EC >35-44 | 1.0% SOM | 65,000 (8.48) ^{sol} | 65,000 (8.48) ^{sol} | 260,000 | 1,600,000 | 250,000 | 450,000 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 92,000 (21) ^{sol} | 92,000 (21) ^{sol} | 270,000 | 1,700,000 | 250,000 | 480,000 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 110,000 | 110,000 | 270,000 | 1,800,000 | 250,000 | 490,000 |

Cont'd Overleaf:

Cont'd from previous page:

| LQM/CIEH Suitable 4 Use Levels For TPH | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| Aromatic | | RwHP (mg/kg) | RwoHP (mg/kg) | Allotment (mg/kg) | Commercial (mg/kg) | POSresi (mg/kg) | POSpark (mg/kg) |
| EC 5-7 (Benzene) | 1.0% SOM | 70 | 370 | 13 | 26,000 (1220) ^{sol} | 56,000 | 76,000 (1220) ^{sol} |
| | 2.5% SOM | 140 | 690 | 27 | 46,000 (2260) ^{sol} | 56,000 | 84,000 (2260) ^{sol} |
| | 6.0% SOM | 300 | 1,400 | 57 | 86,000 (4710) ^{sol} | 56,000 | 92,000 (4710) ^{sol} |
| EC >7-8 (Toluene) | 1.0% SOM | 130 | 860 | 22 | 56,000 (869) ^{vap} | 56,000 | 87,000 (869) ^{sol} |
| | 2.5% SOM | 290 | 1,800 | 51 | 110,000 (1920) ^{sol} | 56,000 | 95,000 (1920) ^{sol} |
| | 6.0% SOM | 660 | 3,900 | 120 | 180,000 (4360) ^{vap} | 56,000 | 100,000 (4360) ^{vap} |
| EC >8-10 | 1.0% SOM | 34 | 47 | 8.6 | 3,500 (613) ^{vap} | 5,000 | 7,200 (613) ^{vap} |
| | 2.5% SOM | 83 | 110 | 21 | 8,100 (1500) ^{vap} | 5,000 | 8,500 (1500) ^{vap} |
| | 6.0% SOM | 190 | 270 | 51 | 17,000 (3850) ^{vap} | 5,000 | 9,300 (3580) ^{vap} |
| EC >10-12 | 1.0% SOM | 74 | 250 | 13 | 16,000 (364) ^{sol} | 5,000 | 9,200 (364) ^{sol} |
| | 2.5% SOM | 180 | 590 | 31 | 28,000 (899) ^{sol} | 5,000 | 9,700 (889) ^{sol} |
| | 6.0% SOM | 380 | 1,200 | 74 | 34,000 (2150) ^{sol} | 5,000 | 10,000 |
| EC >12-16 | 1.0% SOM | 140 | 1,800 | 23 | 36,000 (169) ^{sol} | 5,100 | 10,000 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 330 | 2,300 (419) ^{sol} | 57 | 37,000 | 5,100 | 10,000 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 660 | 2,500 | 130 | 38,000 | 5,000 | 10,000 |
| EC >16-21 | 1.0% SOM | 260 | 1,900 | 46 | 28,000 | 3,800 | 7,600 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 540 | 1,900 | 110 | 28,000 | 3,800 | 7,700 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 930 | 1,900 | 260 | 28,000 | 3,800 | 7,800 |
| EC >21-35 | 1.0% SOM | 1,100 | 1,900 | 370 | 28,000 | 3,800 | 7,800 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 1,500 | 1,900 | 820 | 28,000 | 3,800 | 7,800 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 1,700 | 1,900 | 1,600 | 28,000 | 3,800 | 7,900 |
| EC >35-44 | 1.0% SOM | 1,100 | 1,900 | 370 | 28,000 | 3,800 | 7,800 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 1,500 | 1,900 | 820 | 28,000 | 3,800 | 7,800 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 1,700 | 1,900 | 1,600 | 28,000 | 3,800 | 7,900 |
| EC >44-70 | 1.0% SOM | 1,600 | 1,900 | 1,200 | 28,000 | 3,800 | 7,800 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 1,800 | 1,900 | 2,100 | 28,000 | 3,800 | 7,800 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 1,900 | 1,900 | 3,000 | 28,000 | 3,800 | 7,900 |

SOM = Soil Organic Matter Content (%)

Cont'd Overleaf:

Cont'd from previous page:

LQM/CIEH Suitable 4 Use Levels For Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH's)

| Determinants | | RwHP (mg/kg) | RwoHP (mg/kg) | Allotment (mg/kg) | Commercial (mg/kg) | POSresi (mg/kg) | POSpark (mg/kg) |
|-----------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Acenaphthene | 1.0% SOM | 210 | 3,000 (57.0) ^{sol} | 34 | 84,000(57.0) ^{sol} | 15,000 | 29,000 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 510 | 4,700(141) ^{sol} | 85 | 97,000(141) ^{sol} | 15,000 | 30,000 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 1100 | 6,000(336) ^{sol} | 200 | 100,000 | 15,000 | 30,000 |
| Acenaphthylene | 1.0% SOM | 170 | 2,900(86.1) ^{sol} | 28 | 83,000(86.1) ^{sol} | 15,000 | 29,000 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 420 | 4,600(212) ^{sol} | 69 | 97,000(212) ^{sol} | 15,000 | 30,000 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 920 | 6,000(506) ^{sol} | 160 | 100,000 | 15,000 | 30,000 |
| Anthracene | 1.0% SOM | 2,400 | 31,000(1.17) ^{vap} | 380 | 520,000 | 74,000 | 150,000 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 5,400 | 35,000 | 950 | 540,000 | 74,000 | 150,000 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 11,000 | 37,000 | 2,200 | 540,000 | 74,000 | 150,000 |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | 1.0% SOM | 7.20 | 11 | 2.90 | 170 | 29 | 49 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 11 | 14 | 6.50 | 170 | 29 | 56 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 13 | 15 | 13 | 180 | 29 | 62 |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | 1.0% SOM | 2.20 | 3.20 | 0.97 | 35 | 5.70 | 11 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 2.70 | 3.20 | 2.00 | 35 | 5.70 | 12 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 3.00 | 3.20 | 3.50 | 36 | 5.70 | 13 |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | 1.0% SOM | 2.60 | 3.90 | 0.99 | 44 | 7.10 | 13 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 3.30 | 4.00 | 2.10 | 44 | 7.20 | 15 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 3.70 | 4.00 | 3.90 | 45 | 7.20 | 16 |
| Benzo(ghi)perylene | 1.0% SOM | 320 | 360 | 290 | 3,900 | 640 | 1,400 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 340 | 360 | 470 | 4,000 | 640 | 1,500 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 350 | 360 | 640 | 4,000 | 640 | 1,600 |
| Benzo(k)fluoranthene | 1.0% SOM | 77 | 110 | 37 | 1,200 | 190 | 370 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 93 | 110 | 75 | 1,200 | 190 | 410 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 100 | 110 | 130 | 1,200 | 190 | 440 |
| Chrysene | 1.0% SOM | 15 | 30 | 4.10 | 350 | 57 | 93 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 22 | 31 | 9.40 | 350 | 57 | 110 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 27 | 32 | 19 | 350 | 57 | 120 |
| Dibenzo(ah)anthracene | 1.0% SOM | 0.24 | 0.31 | 0.14 | 3.50 | 0.57 | 1.10 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.27 | 3.60 | 0.57 | 1.30 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.43 | 3.60 | 0.58 | 1.40 |

Cont'd Overleaf:

Cont'd from previous page:

| LQM/CIEH Suitable 4 Use Levels For Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH's) | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---|--------------------|--|
| Determinants | | RwHP (mg/kg) | RwoHP (mg/kg) | Allotment (mg/kg) | Commercial (mg/kg) | POSresi (mg/kg) | POSpark (mg/kg) |
| Flouranthene | 1.0% SOM | 280 | 1,500 | 52 | 2,3000 | 3,100 | 6,300 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 560 | 1,600 | 130 | 2,3000 | 3,100 | 6,300 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 890 | 1,600 | 290 | 2,3000 | 3,100 | 6,300 |
| Flourene | 1.0% SOM | 170 | 2,800 (30.9) ^{sol} | 27 | 63,000(30.9) ^{sol} | 9,900 | 20,000 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 400 | 3,800(76.5) ^{sol} | 67 | 68,000 | 9,900 | 20,000 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 860 | 4,500(183) ^{sol} | 160 | 71,000 | 9,900 | 20,000 |
| Indeno(123-cd)pyrene | 1.0% SOM | 27 | 45 | 9.50 | 500 | 82 | 150 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 36 | 46 | 21 | 510 | 82 | 170 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 41 | 46 | 39 | 510 | 82 | 180 |
| Napthalene | 1.0% SOM | 2.30 | 2.6 | 4.10 | 190 ^f (76.4) ^{sol} | 4,900 ^f | 1,200 ^f (76.4) _{sol} |
| | 2.5% SOM | 5.60 | 5.6 | 10 | 460 ^f (183) ^{sol} | 4,900 ^f | 1,900 ^f (183) _{sol} |
| | 6.0% SOM | 13 | 13 | 24 | 1,100 ^f (432) ^{sol} | 4,900 ^f | 3,000 |
| Phenanthrene | 1.0% SOM | 95 | 1,300(183) ^{sol} | 18 | 22,000 | 3,100 | 6,200 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 220 | 1,500 | 38 | 22,000 | 3,100 | 6,200 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 440 | 1,500 | 90 | 23,000 | 3,100 | 6,300 |
| Pyrene | 1.0% SOM | 620 | 3,700 | 110 | 54,000 | 7,400 | 15,000 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 1200 | 3,800 | 270 | 54,000 | 7,400 | 15,000 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 2000 | 3,800 | 620 | 54,000 | 7,400 | 15,000 |
| Coal Tar (Benzo(a)pyrene used as marker compound | 1.0% SOM | 0.79 | 1.2 | 0.32 | 15 | 2.20 | 4.40 |
| | 2.5% SOM | 0.98 | 1.2 | 0.67 | 15 | 2.20 | 4.70 |
| | 6.0% SOM | 1.10 | 1.2 | 1.20 | 15 | 2.20 | 4.80 |

^{vap} – GAC presented exceeds the vapour saturation limit, which is presented in brackets.

^{sol} – GAC presented exceeds the soil saturation limit, which is presented in brackets.

Cont'd Overleaf:

Cont'd from previous page:
LQM/CIEH Suitable 4 Use Levels (cont.)

| LQM CIEH General Assessment Criteria: Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| Contaminant | RwHP (mg/kg) | RwoHP (mg/kg) | Allotment (mg/kg) | Commercial (mg/kg) | POSresi (mg/kg) | POSpark (mg/kg) |
| Chloroalkanes & alkenes | | | | | | |
| 1,2 Dichloroethane | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.0071 | 0.0092 | 0.0046 | 0.67 | 29 | 21 |
| 2.5% SOM | 0.011 | 0.013 | 0.0083 | 0.97 | 29 | 24 |
| 6.0% SOM | 0.019 | 0.023 | 0.016 | 1.70 | 29 | 28 |
| 1,1,2,2 Tetrachloroethane | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 1.60 | 3.90 | 0.41 | 270 | 1,400 | 1,800 |
| 2.5% SOM | 3.40 | 8.00 | 0.89 | 550 | 1,400 | 2,100 |
| 6.0% SOM | 7.50 | 17 | 2.00 | 1,100 | 1,400 | 2,300 |
| 1,1,1,2 Tetrachloroethane | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 1.20 | 1.50 | 0.79 | 110 | 1,400 | 1,500 |
| 2.5% SOM | 2.80 | 3.50 | 1.90 | 250 | 1,400 | 1,800 |
| 6.0% SOM | 6.40 | 8.20 | 4.40 | 560 | 1,400 | 2,100 |
| Tetrachloroethene | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.65 | 19 | 1,400 | 810 ^{sol} (424) |
| 2.5% SOM | 0.39 | 0.40 | 1.50 | 42 | 1,400 | 1,100 ^{sol} (951) |
| 6.0% SOM | 0.90 | 0.92 | 3.60 | 95 | 1,400 | 1,500 |
| 1,1,1 Trichloroethane | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 8.80 | 9.00 | 48 | 660 | 140,000 | 57,000 ^{vap} (1425) |
| 2.5% SOM | 18 | 18 | 110 | 1,300 | 140,000 | 76,000 ^{vap} (2915) |
| 6.0% SOM | 39 | 40 | 240 | 3,000 | 140,000 | 100,000 ^{vap} (6392) |
| Tetrachloromethene | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.45 | 2.90 | 890 | 190 |
| 2.5% SOM | 0.056 | 0.056 | 1.00 | 6.30 | 920 | 270 |
| 6.0% SOM | 0.130 | 0.130 | 2.40 | 14 | 950 | 400 |
| Trichloroethene | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.016 | 0.017 | 0.041 | 1.20 | 120 | 70 |
| 2.5% SOM | 0.034 | 0.036 | 0.091 | 2.60 | 120 | 91 |
| 6.0% SOM | 0.075 | 0.080 | 0.210 | 5.70 | 120 | 120 |
| Trichloromethane | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.91 | 1.20 | 0.42 | 99 | 2,500 | 2,600 |
| 2.5% SOM | 1.70 | 2.10 | 0.83 | 170 | 2,500 | 2,800 |
| 6.0% SOM | 3.40 | 4.20 | 1.70 | 350 | 2,500 | 3,100 |
| Vinyl Chloride | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.00064 | 0.00077 | 0.00055 | 0.059 | 3.50 | 4.80 |
| 2.5% SOM | 0.00087 | 0.00100 | 0.00100 | 0.077 | 3.50 | 5.00 |
| 6.0% SOM | 0.00014 | 0.00150 | 0.00180 | 0.120 | 3.50 | 5.40 |

Cont'd Overleaf:
Cont'd from previous page:

| LQM CIEH General Assessment Criteria: Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Contaminant | RwHP (mg/kg) | RwoHP (mg/kg) | Allotment (mg/kg) | Commercial (mg/kg) | POSresi (mg/kg) | POSpark (mg/kg) |
| Explosives | | | | | | |
| 2,4,6 Trinitrotoluene | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 1.60 | 65 | 0.24 | 1,000 | 130 | 260 |
| 2.5% SOM | 3.70 | 66 | 0.58 | 1,000 | 130 | 270 |
| 6.0% SOM | 8.10 | 66 | 1.40 | 1,000 | 130 | 270 |
| RDX (Hexogen/Cyclonite/1,3,5- trinitro-1,3,5- triazacyclohexane) | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 120 | 13,000 | 17 | 210,000 | 26,000 | 49,000(18.7) ^{sol} |
| 2.5% SOM | 250 | 13,000 | 38 | 210,000 | 26,000 | 51,000 |
| 6.0% SOM | 540 | 13,000 | 85 | 210,000 | 27,000 | 53,000 |
| HMX (Octogen/1,3,5,7- tetrenitro-1,3,5,7- tetrazacyclo-octane) | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 5.70 | 67,00 | 0.86 | 110,000 | 13,000 | 23,000(0.35) ^{vap} |
| 2.5% SOM | 13 | 67,00 | 1.90 | 110,000 | 13,000 | 23,000(0.39) ^{vap} |
| 6.0% SOM | 26 | 67,00 | 3.90 | 110,000 | 13,000 | 24,000(0.48) ^{vap} |
| Atrazine | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 3.30 | 610 | 0.50 | 9,300 | 1,200 | 2,300 |
| 2.5% SOM | 7.60 | 620 | 1.20 | 9,400 | 1,200 | 2,400 |
| 6.0% SOM | 17.40 | 620 | 2.70 | 9,400 | 1,200 | 2,400 |
| Pesticides | | | | | | |
| Aldrin | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 5.70 | 7.30 | 3.20 | 170 | 18 | 30 |
| 2.5% SOM | 6.60 | 7.40 | 6.10 | 170 | 18 | 31 |
| 6.0% SOM | 7.10 | 7.50 | 9.60 | 170 | 18 | 31 |
| Dieldrin | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.97 | 7.00 | 0.17 | 170 | 18 | 30 |
| 2.5% SOM | 2.00 | 7.30 | 0.41 | 170 | 18 | 30 |
| 6.0% SOM | 3.50 | 7.40 | 0.96 | 170 | 18 | 31 |
| Dichlorvos | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.032 | 6.40 | 0.0049 | 140 | 16 | 26 |
| 2.5% SOM | 0.066 | 6.50 | 0.0100 | 140 | 16 | 26 |
| 6.0% SOM | 0.140 | 6.60 | 0.0220 | 140 | 16 | 27 |
| Alpha - Endosulfan | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 7.40 | 160(0.003) ^{vap} | 1.20 | 5,600(0.003) ^{vap} | 1,200 | 2,400 |
| 2.5% SOM | 18 | 280(0.007) ^{vap} | 2.90 | 7,400(0.007) ^{vap} | 1,200 | 2,400 |
| 6.0% SOM | 41 | 410(0.016) ^{vap} | 6.80 | 8,400(0.016) ^{vap} | 1,200 | 2,400 |

Cont'd Overleaf:

Cont'd from previous page:

| LQM CIEH General Assessment Criteria: Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|
| Contaminant | RwHP (mg/kg) | RwoHP (mg/kg) | Allotment (mg/kg) | Commercial (mg/kg) | POSresi (mg/kg) | POSpark (mg/kg) |
| Pesticides | | | | | | |
| Beta - Endosulfan | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 7.00 | 190(0.00007) ^{vap} | 1.10 | 6,300(0.00007) ^{vap} | 1,200 | 2,400 |
| 2.5% SOM | 17 | 320(0.0002) ^{vap} | 2.70 | 7,800(0.0002) ^{vap} | 1,200 | 2,400 |
| 6.0% SOM | 39 | 440(0.0004) ^{vap} | 6.40 | 8700 | 1,200 | 2,500 |
| Alpha - Hexachlorocyclohexanes | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.23 | 6.90 | 0.035 | 170 | 24 | 47 |
| 2.5% SOM | 0.55 | 9.20 | 0.087 | 180 | 24 | 48 |
| 6.0% SOM | 1.20 | 11 | 0.210 | 180 | 24 | 48 |
| Beta - Hexachlorocyclohexanes | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.085 | 3.70 | 0.013 | 65 | 8.10 | 15 |
| 2.5% SOM | 0.200 | 3.80 | 0.032 | 65 | 8.10 | 15 |
| 6.0% SOM | 0.460 | 3.80 | 0.077 | 65 | 8.10 | 16 |
| Gamma - Hexachlorocyclohexanes | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.06 | 2.90 | 0.0092 | 67 | 8.2 | 14 |
| 2.5% SOM | 0.14 | 3.30 | 0.0230 | 69 | 8.2 | 15 |
| 6.0% SOM | 0.33 | 3.50 | 0.0540 | 70 | 8.2 | 15 |
| Chlorobenzenes | | | | | | |
| Chlorobenzene | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.46 | 0.46 | 5.90 | 56 | 11,000 | 1,300(675) ^{sol} |
| 2.5% SOM | 1.00 | 1.00 | 14 | 130 | 13,000 | 2,000(1520) ^{sol} |
| 6.0% SOM | 2.40 | 2.40 | 32 | 290 | 14,000 | 2,900 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 23 | 24 | 94 | 2,000 (571) ^{sol} | 90,000 | 24,000(571) ^{sol} |
| 2.5% SOM | 55 | 57 | 230 | 4,800 (1370) ^{sol} | 95,000 | 36,000(1370) ^{sol} |
| 6.0% SOM | 130 | 130 | 540 | 11,000 (3240) ^{sol} | 98,000 | 51,000(3240) ^{sol} |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.40 | 0.44 | 0.25 | 30 | 300 | 390 |
| 2.5% SOM | 1.00 | 1.10 | 0.60 | 73 | 300 | 440 |
| 6.0% SOM | 2.30 | 2.50 | 1.50 | 170 | 300 | 470 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 61 | 61 | 15 | 4,400 (224) ^{vap} | 17,000 ^g | 36,000 (224) ^{vap} |
| 2.5% SOM | 150 | 150 | 37 | 10,000 (540) ^{vap} | 17,000 ^g | 36,000 (540) ^{vap} |
| 6.0% SOM | 350 | 350 | 88 ^g | 25,000 (1280) ^{vap} | 17,000 ^g | 36,000 (1280) ^{vap} |
| 1,2,3,-Trichlorobenzene | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 1.50 | 1.50 | 4.70 | 102 | 1,800 | 770(134) ^{vap} |
| 2.5% SOM | 3.60 | 3.70 | 12 | 250 | 1,800 | 1,100(330) ^{vap} |
| 6.0% SOM | 8.60 | 8.80 | 28 | 590 | 1,800 | 1,600(789) ^{vap} |

Cont'd Overleaf:

**LQM CIEH General Assessment Criteria:
Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds**

| Contaminant | RwHP (mg/kg) | RwoHP (mg/kg) | Allotment (mg/kg) | Commercial (mg/kg) | POSresi (mg/kg) | POSpark (mg/kg) |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| Chlorobenzenes | | | | | | |
| 1,2,3,- Trichlorobenzene | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 1.50 | 1.50 | 4.70 | 102 | 1,800 | 770(134) ^{vap} |
| 2.5% SOM | 3.60 | 3.70 | 12 | 250 | 1,800 | 1,100(330) ^{vap} |
| 6.0% SOM | 8.60 | 8.80 | 28 | 590 | 1,800 | 1,600(789) ^{vap} |
| 1,2,4,- Trichlorobenzene | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 2.60 | 2.60 | 55 | 220 | 15,000 | 1,700(318) ^{vap} |
| 2.5% SOM | 6.40 | 6.40 | 140 | 530 | 17,000 | 2,600(786) ^{vap} |
| 6.0% SOM | 15 | 15 | 320 | 1,300 | 19,000 | 4,000(1880) ^{vap} |
| 1,3,5,- Trichlorobenzene | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.33 | 0.33 | 4.70 | 23 | 1,700 | 380(36.7) ^{vap} |
| 2.5% SOM | 0.81 | 0.81 | 12 | 55 | 1,700 | 590(90.8) ^{vap} |
| 6.0% SOM | 1.90 | 1.90 | 140 | 130 | 1,800 | 860(217) ^{vap} |
| 1,2,3,4,- Tetrachlorobenzene | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 15 | 24 | 4.40 | 1,700(122) ^{vap} | 830 | 1,500(122) ^{vap} |
| 2.5% SOM | 36 | 56 | 11 | 3,080(304) ^{vap} | 830 | 1,600 |
| 6.0% SOM | 78 | 120 | 26 | 4,400(728) ^{vap} | 830 | 1,600 |
| 1,2,3,5,- Tetrachlorobenzene | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.66 | 0.75 | 0.38 | 49(39.4) ^{vap} | 78 | 110(39) ^{vap} |
| 2.5% SOM | 1.60 | 1.90 | 0.90 | 120(98.1) ^{vap} | 79 | 120 |
| 6.0% SOM | 3.70 | 4.30 | 2.20 | 240(235) ^{vap} | 79 | 130 |
| 1,2,4, 5,- Tetrachlorobenzene | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.33 | 0.73 | 0.06 | 42(19.7) ^{sol} | 13 | 25 |
| 2.5% SOM | 0.77 | 1.70 | 0.16 | 72(49.1) ^{sol} | 13 | 26 |
| 6.0% SOM | 1.60 | 3.50 | 0.37 | 96 | 13 | 26 |
| Pentachlorobenzene | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 5.80 | 19 | 1.20 | 640(43.0) ^{sol} | 100 | 190 |
| 2.5% SOM | 12 | 30 | 3.10 | 770(107) ^{sol} | 100 | 190 |
| 6.0% SOM | 22 | 38 | 7.00 | 830 | 100 | 190 |
| Hexachlorobenzene | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 1.80(0.20) ^{vap} | 4.10 (0.20) ^{vap} | 0.47 | 110(0.20) ^{vap} | 16 | 30 |
| 2.5% SOM | 3.30(0.50) ^{vap} | 5.70 (0.50) ^{vap} | 1.10 | 120 | 16 | 30 |
| 6.0% SOM | 4.90 | 6.70 (1.2) ^{vap} | 2.50 | 120 | 16 | 30 |

Cont'd Overleaf:

**LQM CIEH General Assessment Criteria:
Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds**

| Contaminant | RwHP (mg/kg) | RwoHP (mg/kg) | Allotment (mg/kg) | Commercial (mg/kg) | POSresi (mg/kg) | POSpark (mg/kg) |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Phenols & Chlorophenols | | | | | | |
| Phenols | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 280 | 750 | 66 | 760 ^{dir} (31,000) | 760 ^{dir} (11,000) | 760 ^{dir} (8,600) |
| 2.5% SOM | 550 | 1,300 | 140 | 1,500 ^{dir} (35,000) | 1,500 ^{dir} (11,000) | 1,500 ^{dir} (9,700) |
| 6.0% SOM | 1100 | 2,300 | 280 | 3,200 ^{dir} (37,000) | 3,200 ^{dir} (11,000) | 3,200 ^{dir} (11,000) |
| Chlorophenols (4 Congeners) | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.87 | 94 | 0.13 | 3,500 | 620 | 1,100 |
| 2.5% SOM | 2.00 | 150 | 0.30 | 4,000 | 620 | 1,100 |
| 6.0% SOM | 4.50 | 210 | 0.70 | 4,300 | 620 | 1,100 |
| Pentachlorophenols | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.22 | 27(16.4) ^{vap} | 0.03 | 400 | 60 | 110 |
| 2.5% SOM | 0.52 | 29 | 0.08 | 400 | 60 | 120 |
| 6.0% SOM | 1.20 | 31 | 0.19 | 400 | 60 | 120 |
| Others | | | | | | |
| Carbon Disulphide | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.14 | 0.14 | 4.80 | 11 | 11,000 | 1,300 |
| 2.5% SOM | 0.29 | 0.29 | 10 | 22 | 11,000 | 1,900 |
| 6.0% SOM | 0.62 | 0.62 | 23 | 47 | 12,000 | 2,700 |
| Hexachloro-1,3-Butadiene | | | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.29 | 0.32 | 0.25 | 31 | 25 | 48 |
| 2.5% SOM | 0.70 | 0.78 | 0.61 | 68 | 25 | 50 |
| 6.0% SOM | 1.60 | 1.80 | 1.40 | 120 | 25 | 51 |

Cont'd Overleaf:

Cont'd from previous page:

| CL:AIRE Soil Generic Assessment Criteria | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Contaminant | Residential (mg/kg) | Residential without plant uptake (mg/kg) | Allotment (mg/kg) | Commercial (mg/kg) |
| <i>Metals:</i> | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Antimony | ND | 550 | ND | 7500 |
| Barium | ND | 1300 | ND | 22000 |
| Molybdenum | ND | 670 | ND | 17000 |
| | | | | |

ND – Not Derived.

NA – Not Applicable

Cont'd Overleaf:

Cont'd from previous page:

| CL:AIRE General Assessment Criteria: Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Contaminant | Residential (mg/kg) | Residential without plant uptake (mg/kg) | Allotment (mg/kg) | Commercial (mg/kg) |
| 1,1,2 Trichloroethane | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.60 | 0.88 | 0.28 | 94 |
| 2.5% SOM | 1.20 | 1.8 | 0.61 | 190 |
| 6.0% SOM | 2.70 | 3.9 | 1.40 | 400 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 2.40 | 2.50 | 9.20 | 280 |
| 2.5% SOM | 3.90 | 4.10 | 17 | 450 |
| 6.0% SOM | 7.40 | 7.70 | 35 | 850 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.23 | 0.23 | 2.80 | 26 |
| 2.5% SOM | 0.40 | 0.41 | 5.60 | 46 |
| 6.0% SOM | 0.82 | 0.82 | 12 | 92 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.38 | 42 |
| 2.5% SOM | 0.85 | 0.99 | 0.93 | 99 |
| 6.0% SOM | 2.00 | 2.30 | 2.20 | 220 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.024 | 0.024 | 0.62 | 3.3 |
| 2.5% SOM | 0.042 | 0.042 | 1.20 | 5.9 |
| 6.0% SOM | 0.084 | 0.085 | 2.60 | 12 |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 19 | 210 | 3.10 | 16000* |
| 2.5% SOM | 43 | 410 | 7.20 | 24000* |
| 6.0% SOM | 97 | 730 | 17 | 30000* |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 1.50 | 170* | 0.22 | 3700* |
| 2.5% SOM | 3.20 | 170 | 0.49 | 3700* |
| 6.0% SOM | 7.20 | 170 | 1.10 | 3800* |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.78 | 78 | 0.12 | 1900* |
| 2.5% SOM | 1.70 | 84 | 0.27 | 1900* |
| 6.0% SOM | 3.90 | 87 | 0.61 | 1900* |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 3.70 | 3.80 | 40 | 390* |
| 2.5% SOM | 9.20 | 9.30 | 98 | 960* |
| 6.0% SOM | 22 | 22 | 230 | 2200* |

Cont'd Overleaf:

Cont'd from previous page:

| CL:AIRE General Assessment Criteria: Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds | | | | |
|--|---------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| Contaminant | Residential (mg/kg) | Residential without plant uptake (mg/kg) | Allotment (mg/kg) | Commercial (mg/kg) |
| Biphenyl | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 66* | 220* | 14 | 18000* |
| 2.5% SOM | 160 | 500* | 35 | 33000* |
| 6.0% SOM | 360 | 980* | 83 | 48000* |
| Bis (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 280* | 2700* | 47* | 85000* |
| 2.5% SOM | 610* | 2800* | 120* | 86000* |
| 6.0% SOM | 1100* | 2800* | 280* | 86000* |
| Bromobenzene | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.87 | 0.91 | 3.2 | 97 |
| 2.5% SOM | 2.0 | 2.1 | 7.6 | 220 |
| 6.0% SOM | 4.7 | 4.9 | 18 | 520 |
| Bromodichloromethane | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.016 | 0.019 | 0.016 | 2.1 |
| 2.5% SOM | 0.030 | 0.034 | 0.032 | 3.7 |
| 6.0% SOM | 0.061 | 0.070 | 0.068 | 7.6 |
| Bromoform | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 2.8 | 5.2 | 0.95 | 760 |
| 2.5% SOM | 5.9 | 11 | 2.1 | 1500 |
| 6.0% SOM | 13 | 23 | 4.6 | 3100 |
| Butyl benzyl phthalate | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 1400* | 42000* | 220* | 940000* |
| 2.5% SOM | 3300* | 44000* | 550* | 940000* |
| 6.0% SOM | 7200* | 44000* | 1300* | 950000* |
| Chloroethane | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 8.3 | 8.4 | 110 | 960 |
| 2.5% SOM | 11 | 11 | 200 | 1300 |
| 6.0% SOM | 18 | 18 | 380 | 2100 |
| Chloromethane | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.0083 | 0.0085 | 0.066 | 1.0 |
| 2.5% SOM | 0.0098 | 0.0099 | 0.13 | 1.2 |
| 6.0% SOM | 0.013 | 0.013 | 0.23 | 1.6 |
| Cis 1,2 Dichloroethene | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.26 | 14 |
| 2.5% SOM | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.50 | 24 |
| 6.0% SOM | 0.37 | 0.39 | 1.0 | 47 |

Cont'd Overleaf:

Cont'd from previous page:

| CL:AIRE General Assessment Criteria: Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Contaminant | Residential (mg/kg) | Residential without plant uptake (mg/kg) | Allotment (mg/kg) | Commercial (mg/kg) |
| Dichloromethane | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.58 | 2.10 | 0.10 | 270 |
| 2.5% SOM | 0.98 | 2.80 | 0.19 | 360 |
| 6.0% SOM | 1.70 | 4.50 | 0.34 | 560 |
| Diethyl Phthalate | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 120* | 1800* | 19* | 150000* |
| 2.5% SOM | 260* | 3500* | 41* | 220000* |
| 6.0% SOM | 570* | 6300* | 94* | 290000* |
| Di-n-butyl phthalate | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 13* | 450* | 2.00 | 15000* |
| 2.5% SOM | 31* | 450* | 5.00 | 15000* |
| 6.0% SOM | 67* | 450* | 12 | 15000* |
| Di-n-octyl phthalate | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 2300* | 3400* | 940* | 89000* |
| 2.5% SOM | 2800* | 3400* | 2100* | 89000* |
| 6.0% SOM | 3100* | 3400* | 3900* | 89000* |
| Hexachloroethane | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.27 | 22* |
| 2.5% SOM | 0.48 | 0.54 | 0.67 | 53* |
| 6.0% SOM | 1.10 | 1.30 | 1.60 | 120* |
| Isopropylbenzene | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 11 | 12 | 32 | 1400* |
| 2.5% SOM | 27 | 28 | 79 | 3300* |
| 6.0% SOM | 64 | 67 | 190 | 7700* |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 49 | 73 | 23 | 7900 |
| 2.5% SOM | 84 | 120 | 44 | 13000 |
| 6.0% SOM | 160 | 220 | 90 | 24000 |
| Propylbenzene | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 34 | 40 | 34 | 4100* |
| 2.5% SOM | 82 | 97 | 83 | 9700* |
| 6.0% SOM | 190 | 230 | 200 | 21000* |
| Styrene | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 8.10 | 35 | 1.60 | 3300* |
| 2.5% SOM | 19 | 78 | 3.70 | 6500* |
| 6.0% SOM | 43 | 170 | 8.70 | 11000* |

Cont'd Overleaf:

Cont'd from previous page:

| CL:AIRE General Assessment Criteria: Volatile and Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Contaminant | Residential (mg/kg) | Residential without plant uptake (mg/kg) | Allotment (mg/kg) | Commercial (mg/kg) |
| Total Cresols (2-, 3-, and 4- methylphenol) | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 80 | 3700 | 12 | 160000 |
| 2.5% SOM | 180 | 5400 | 27 | 180000* |
| 6.0% SOM | 400 | 6900 | 63 | 180000* |
| Trans 1,2 Dichloroethene | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.93 | 22 |
| 2.5% SOM | 0.34 | 0.35 | 1.90 | 40 |
| 6.0% SOM | 0.70 | 0.71 | 0.24 | 81 |
| Tributyl tin oxide | | | | |
| 1.0% SOM | 0.25 | 1.40 | 0.042 | 130* |
| 2.5% SOM | 0.59 | 3.10 | 0.100 | 180* |
| 6.0% SOM | 1.30 | 5.70 | 0.240 | 200* |

Notes: *Soil concentration above soil saturation limit