Basement Impact Assessment AUDIT: Instruction

Section A (Site Summary) – to be completed by Case Officer

Camden Case Reference:	2019/1366/P	Site Address:	73-75 Avenue Road London NW8 6JD
Case officer contact details:	David Peres Da Costa David.PeresDaCosta@camden.gov.uk 020 7974 5262	Date of audit request:	1/07/2019
Statutory consultation end date:		20/7/19	
Reason for Audit:	Planning application / Basement Extension		

Proposal description: Variation of condition 1 (approved plans) of planning permission 2011/2388/P dated 28/03/2012 (for erection of single-family dwellinghouse comprising basement, lower ground, ground, first and second floor level, erection of a new boundary wall, hard and soft landscaping and associated works (following demolition of existing building)), namely changes to detailed design and materials on all elevations including stone balustrade at roof level, portico and stone finish to central bay and replacement of sash window with garage door (all to front elevation) including relocation of car lift; replacement of 2 storey bay on Queen's Grove elevation with single storey structure with terrace above; alterations to footprint and location of basement including additional lightwell and relocation of garden lightwell; replacement of orangery with contemporary pavilion with flat roof; new French doors to side elevation (north elevation); and erection of pergola in rear garden.

Relevant planning background: The BIA for the original application (2011/2388/P dated 28/03/2012) was assessed by officers rather than independent assessors and the officer's committee report notes that the analysis anticipates that damage to the two closest structures at 38 Queens Grove and 77 Avenue Rd would be no more than 'slight' under category 2 of the Burland categories. The BIA has been revised for this submission to reduce the risk of damage to neighbouring properties to no higher than Burland Scale 1 'very slight'.

Do the basement proposals involve a listed building or does the site neighbour any listed buildings?	No	
Is the site in an area of relevant constraints?	Slope stability	Υ
(check site constraints in M3/Magic GIS)	Surface Water flow and flooding	Υ

	Subterranean (groundwater) flow	Υ
Does the application require determination by Development Control Committee in accordance fall the Terms of Reference ¹	N	
No/Does the scope of the submitted BIA extend beyond the screening stage?	Yes	

¹ Recommendations for approval of certain types of application require determination by Development Control Committee (DCC). From time to time applications which would normally be determined by officers under delegated authority are referred by the Director of Culture and Environment to DCC for decision. Where the Auditor makes representations at DCC on behalf of an application the fees for attendance will be passed to the applicant.

Section B: BIA components for Audit (to be completed by Applicant)

Items provided for Basement Impact Assessment (BIA)¹ Yes/ Name of BIA document/appendix in which Item provided No/ information is contained. NA^2 Υ As Section A above; 1 Description of proposed development. Appendment to BIA dated June 2019 (Heyne Tillett Steel) Υ Plan showing boundary of development Ditto 2 including any land required temporarily during construction. Plans, maps and or photographs to show Ditto У 3 location of basement relative to surrounding structures. Plans, maps and or photographs to show Refer to BIA of Pryce and Myers. However У please note Section A "Relevant planning topography of surrounding area with any background" - it is the GMA in the 4 nearby watercourses/waterbodies including Appendment dated June 2019 which is consideration of the relevant maps in the relevant to this application. Strategic FRA by URS (2014) Plans and sections to show foundation Refer to drawing no. 1942/P251 C4 5 details of adjacent structures. Plans and sections to show layout and Appendment to BIA dated June 2019 У 6 dimensions of proposed basement. Programme for enabling works, construction Refer to Construction programme 7 and restoration. Identification of potential risks to land BIA of Pryce and Myers; У Appendment to BIA dated June 2019. stability (including surrounding structures 8 and infrastructure), and surface and groundwater flooding. Assessment of impact of potential risks on As above У 9 neighbouring properties and surface and groundwater. No significant adverse impacts have been 10 Identification of significant adverse impacts. identified in BIA by Price and Myers and by GMA amendment dated June 2019.

11	Evidence of consultation with neighbours.		-
12	Ground Investigation Report and Conceptual Site Model including - Desktop study - exploratory hole records - results from monitoring the local groundwater regime - confirmation of baseline conditions - factual site investigation report	У	BIA of Price and Myers; Refer also to the answer in Q.4
13	Ground Movement Assessment (GMA).	У	Appendment to BIA dated June 2019
14	Plans, drawings, reports to show extent of affected area.	У	As above
15	Specific mitigation measures to reduce, avoid or offset significant adverse impacts.	У	As above
16	Construction Sequence Methodology (CSM) referring to site investigation and containing basement, floor and roof plans, sections (all views), sequence of construction and temporary works.	У	Refer to Contractor construction methodology.
17	Proposals for monitoring during construction.	У	Refer to Contractor construction methodology.
18	Confirmatory and reasoned statement identifying likely damage to nearby properties according to Burland Scale	У	Appendment dated June 2019
19	Confirmatory and reasoned statement with supporting evidence that the structural stability of the building and neighbouring properties will be maintained (by reference to BIA, Ground Movement Assessment and Construction Sequence Methodology), including consideration of cumulative effects.		The analysis undertaken in the GMA considers various stages of the construction, including the demolition of the existing property, installation of the contiguous wall, bulk excavation and the construction of the proposed permanent works elements. The aim of the assessment is to assess the impact of a basement construction on the neighbouring properties within the zone of influence. The results of the GMA conclude that the maximum damage classification for the neighbouring properties is 'Category 1 – Very Slight' in accordance with Burland et al. 1997, Boscardin and

Non-technical summary for each stage of BIA. Additional BIA components (added during Audit)		from the site investigation report. Analysis is then undertaken which considers the effect of demolition, excavation and construction on the stability of the ground within the zone of influence by ground movement. The report classifies the damage to neighbouring properties in accordance with scientific research. Comment
21	Identification of areas that require further investigation.	No areas are considered to require further investigation. Refer to Price and Myers BIA and BIA amendment dated June 2019. The ground movement assessment models the site based on information obtained
20	Confirmatory and reasoned statement with supporting evidence that there will be no adverse effects on drainage or run-off and no damage to the water environment (by reference to ground investigation, BIA and CSM), including consideration of cumulative effects.	Cording 1989 and Burland 2001. This equates to 'Fine cracks that can easily be treated during normal decoration'. Therefore, the structural stability of the building and neighbouring properties will be maintained. Refer to GMA dated June 2019. As the development includes SuDS and the peak surface water run-off is being significantly reduced there will be no adverse effects on the run-off as a result of the development. Refer to below ground drainage layout 1942-DR100_C5. The site investigation report contained with the Price and Myers BIA states "due to the cohesive nature of soils, the groundwater flow rate is likely to be negligible", therefore the new basement will not affect the local hydrogeology and not increase the flood risk to the surrounding areas.

Notes:

¹ NB DP27 also requires consideration of architectural character, impacts on archaeology, amenity and other matters which are not covered by this checklist.

² Where response is 'no' or 'NA', an explanation is required in the Comment section.

Section C : Audit proposal (to be completed by the Auditor)

Date	Fee Categorisation (A/B/C) and costs (£ ex VAT)	Date estimate for initial report	Commentary (including timescales for completion of Initial Report)
Date	Category and cost -	This will depend on date of completion of section D but some indication is required	If possible please ALSO provide estimate for possible additional fees required to review consultation responses received to date.
02/07/2019	Category C - £4725	Approximately 4 weeks from instruction	 Additional fees may be required for site attendance reviewing revised/resubmitted documentation reviewing third part consultation comment attending DCC

Note: Where changes to the fee categorisation are required during the audit process, this will require details to be updated in section E, with justification provided by the auditor.

These changes shall be agreed with the planning officer and the applicant, in writing before the work is undertaken.