



## Bat Emergence and Re-entry Surveys

26 Rosslyn Hill, London, NW3 1PD

Department for Education and CBfT Schools Trust

Status	Issue	Name	Date
Draft	1	Craig Williams BSc (Hons) MSc GradCIEEM MRSB, Senior Consultant	09/07/2019
Reviewed	1.1	Jo Gregory BA (Hons) MSc MRSB GradCIEEM, Senior Consultant	10/07/2019
Final	2	Craig Williams BSc (Hons) MSc GradCIEEM MRSB, Senior Consultant	11/07/2019

**Arbtech Consultant's Contact details:**  
Craig Williams BSc MSc MRSB GradCIEEM  
Associate ecologist  
Email: [cw@arbtech.co.uk](mailto:cw@arbtech.co.uk)  
Phone 01244 661170  
Arbtech Consulting Ltd  
<https://arbtech.co.uk>

### **Limitations and Copyright**

Arbtech Consulting Limited has prepared this report for the sole use of the above-named client or their agents in accordance with our General Terms and Conditions, under which our services are performed. It is expressly stated that no other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice included in this report or any other services provided by us. This report may not be relied upon by any other party without the prior and express written agreement of Arbtech Consulting Limited. The assessments made assume that the sites and facilities will continue to be used for their current purpose without significant change. The conclusions and recommendations contained in this report are based upon information provided by third parties. Information obtained from third parties has not been independently verified by Arbtech Consulting Limited.

© This report is the copyright of Arbtech Consulting Limited. Any unauthorised reproduction or usage by any person other than the addressee is strictly prohibited.

## Executive summary

Arbtech were commissioned by the Department for Education and CBFT Schools Trust to undertake Bat Emergence and Re-entry Surveys at 26 Rosslyn Hill, Camden, London NW3 1PD. The surveys were completed on 23<sup>rd</sup> May, 17<sup>th</sup> June and 4<sup>th</sup> July 2019. The aim of the assessment was to confirm the presence/likely-absence of a bat roost and to provide a current status on all survey features. This includes providing evidence for species, numbers and levels of activity, to identify any entrance and egress points, and to gain an understanding of the activity of bats using the site in the local landscape.

This report is prepared to inform a current planning application with the London Borough of Camden. The proposed development is described as:

- **[2019/2491/L]**  
*Change of use of the site from a police station (sui generis) to a one-form entry school (Use Class D1) for 210 pupils and business/enterprise space (Class B1) including alterations and extensions to the rear and associated works.*

### Recommendations

Ref	Survey conclusions	Foreseen impacts	Recommendations / Mitigation	Enhancements
B1	Day roost of one common pipistrelle, observed emerging from under loose lead flashing on the north-eastern elevation on 4 <sup>th</sup> July 2019.	As the extension works are exclusively planned for the centre yard area of the building and internally (see proposed plan in appendix 2), leaving the location of the day bat roost unaffected (high up under lead flashing on the north-eastern roof elevation), The roost is to be retained and is unlikely to be impacted during the works through physical means, or noise, light, dust, vibrations etc.	<p>The works can be carried out without a bat license, as the common pipistrelle roost is to be retained.</p> <p>If the lead flashing is to be repaired or that part of the building extended in the future, it will require further bat surveys and a bat license application to Natural England.</p> <p>The following mitigation is recommended, to further reduce the low risk of harm to bats:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Immediately before development, the area of the building where the extension works will be located will undergo a destructive search supervised by a licensed bat ecologist - soft stripping by hand of the roof tiles and checking under each one for bats.</li> <li>• In the unlikely event that bats are unexpectedly found during any stage of the development, work should stop immediately, and a suitably qualified ecologist should be contacted to seek further advice – which may involve obtaining a license for the work.</li> <li>• The roost under lead flashing on the north-eastern elevation of the flat roofed structure will be retained permanently.</li> </ul>	<p>The Local Planning Authority has a duty to ask for enhancements under the NPPF (July 2018)</p> <p>Not applicable - the existing roost will be retained.</p>

		<p>Roosting and commuting bats may be impacted by new lighting.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lighting will be controlled across the developed site. Research into the effects of artificial lighting on bats has shown that it can impact upon bat emergence times and lead to a reduced foraging time. As bats are faithful to their roost sites, often returning to the same site for many years, the impact of lighting on emergence times and in turn reduced foraging times can ultimately result in the roosts being abandoned.</li> <li>• Key areas of the site which are sensitive to artificial lighting are the rear garden, providing dark areas for foraging and commuting.</li> <li>• No lighting will be installed /facing the roost location roof slope or rear yard area, thereby maintaining the existing dark areas within the developed site for bats.</li> <li>• Low impact lighting strategies will be adopted from the guidance outlined in the new Bats and Lighting Publication produced by the Institution of Lighting Professionals and the Bat Conservation Trust “Guidance Note 08/18 Bats and artificial lighting in the UK Bats and the Built Environment series” publication:  <a href="http://www.bats.org.uk/news.php/406/new_guidance_on_bats_and_lighting">http://www.bats.org.uk/news.php/406/new_guidance_on_bats_and_lighting</a></li> <li>• The lighting on the site will:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Use narrow spectrum light sources to lower the range of species affected by lighting</li> <li>- Use light sources that emit minimal ultra-violet light</li> <li>- Avoid white and blue wavelengths of the light spectrum to reduce insect attraction and where white light sources are required in order to manage the blue short wavelength content they should be of a warm / neutral colour temperature &lt;4,200 kelvin.</li> <li>- Not use bare bulbs and any light pointing upwards. The spread of light will be kept in line with or below the horizontal.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Light spill will be reduced via the use of low-level lighting used in conjunction with hoods, cowls, louvers and shields. Lights will also be directional to ensure that light is directed to the intended areas only.</li> <li>• External lighting will be positioned below the eaves, be on PIR sensors that are sensitive to large objects only (so that they are not triggered by passing bats) and will be set to the shortest time duration to reduce the amount of time the lights are on.</li> <li>• Wall lights and security lights will be ‘dimnable’ and set to the lowest light intensity settings. There are several products on the market that allow the control of the light intensity and the duration that the lights are on. All lighting on the developed site will make use of the most up to date technology available.</li> </ul> <p>All of the above will ensure that the retained bat roost within the developed site will not be affected by any external lighting ensuring its long-term use.</p>	
--	--	---	--	--

<p>B2</p>	<p>The surveys confirmed a likely absence of bat roosts in B2 due to no bats observed emerging or re-entering the building.</p>	<p>No bat roost confirmed in B2. Bats are very unlikely to be roosting within B2 and as such, there are not anticipated to be any impacts on bats as a result of the proposed works.</p>	<p>In the unlikely event that bats are unexpectedly found during any stage of the development, work should stop immediately, and a suitably qualified ecologist should be contacted to seek further advice.</p>	<p>The developed site can be enhanced for the bat species observed to be foraging and commuting across the site during the surveys by installing of a minimum of two bat boxes on retained buildings e.g.</p> <p>Schwegler 2F Bat Box Schwegler 1FF Bat Box Schwegler 2FN Bat Box Improved Cavity Bat Box</p> <p>Bat boxes should be positioned 3-5m above ground level facing south or south-westerly with a clear flight path to and from the entrance.</p> <p>Bat boxes should also be positioned away from any artificial light sources.</p>
-----------	---	--	---	--

**Contents**

**1.0 Introduction and Context** ..... 7

    1.1 Background ..... 7

    1.2 Site Context ..... 7

    1.3 Scope of the report ..... 7

    1.4 Project Description ..... 7

**2.0 Methodology** ..... 8

    2.1 Desk Study methodology ..... 8

    2.2 Site Survey methodology ..... 8

    2.3 Surveyors ..... 9

    2.4 Limitations ..... 9

**3.0 Results and Evaluation** ..... 10

    3.1 Survey Results ..... 10

**4.0 Conclusions, Impacts and Recommendations** ..... 14

    4.1 Informative guidelines ..... 14

    4.2 Evaluation ..... 15

**5.0 Bibliography** ..... 18

    Appendix 1: Survey Plan ..... 19

    Appendix 2: Proposed Site Plan ..... 20

    Appendix 3: Legislation and Planning Policy related to bats ..... 21

## 1.0 Introduction and Context

### 1.1 Background

Arbtech were commissioned by the Department for Education and CBFT Schools Trust to undertake Bat Emergence and Re-entry Surveys at 26 Rosslyn Hill, Camden, London NW3 1PD. The surveys were completed on 23<sup>rd</sup> May, 17<sup>th</sup> June and 4<sup>th</sup> July 2019. The assessment is informed by the Bat Conservation Trust publication *Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists – Good Practice Guidelines* (Collins, J. (Ed) 2016).

These surveys were completed following recommendations made in the Preliminary Roost Assessment (PRA) survey report (Arbtech Consulting Ltd. September 2018).

### 1.2 Site Context

The site is centred on National Grid Reference TQ 2686 8555 and has an area of approximately 1570m<sup>2</sup>. The site consists of a disused police station and yard.

### 1.3 Scope of the report

This report provides a description of the bat activity observed and recorded during each survey. The aim of the assessment was to characterise any roosts present including species, number of individuals, number and location of roost access points, and to gain an understanding of how bats use the site.

Robust data has been collected, following good practice guidelines, to inform an assessment of the potential impacts of the proposed development on bats, and inform mitigation and enhancements. This report provides information on constraints to the proposals as a result of roosting bats, and summarises any mitigation required to achieve planning permission, and statutory consent to comply with wildlife legislation.

To achieve the aims of the assessment, the following steps have been taken:

- A desk study has been carried out, including a request for information from the local bat group or records centre - please refer to the Preliminary Roost Assessment Survey report (Arbtech, September 2018)
- Field survey(s) has been undertaken, including an external survey and internal inspection.
- An outline of likely impacts on any known roosts has been provided, based on current development proposals.
- Recommendations for further survey and assessment have been made, along with advice on the requirements of a European Protected Species Mitigation Licence (EPSML) application if appropriate.

A survey plan is presented in Appendix 1 showing the location of each surveyor and the bat activity observed and recorded during each survey, proposed plans in Appendix 2, and a summary of relevant legislation is presented in Appendix 3.

### 1.4 Project Description

This report is prepared to inform a current planning application with the London Borough of Camden. The proposed development is described as:

- **[2019/2491/L]**  
*Change of use of the site from a police station (sui generis) to a one-form entry school (Use Class D1) for 210 pupils and business/enterprise space (Class B1) including alterations and extensions to the rear and associated works.*

## 2.0 Methodology

### 2.1 Desk Study methodology

The desk study included a 2km radius review of statutory and non-statutory designated sites, Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP) Priority Habitats and granted EPSML records for bats held on Magic database. An assessment of the surrounding landscape structure was also completed using aerial images from Google Earth and OS maps.

Existing bat records relating to the site and a surrounding 2km radius are required to conform to national guidelines. The data search is confidential information that is not suitable for public release and was analysed and summarised in the Preliminary Roost Assessment Survey (Arbtech, September 2018).

### 2.2 Site Survey methodology

The survey methods were informed by the recommendations presented in the Preliminary Roost Assessment. This survey identified the following survey requirements in line with best practice:

Table 1: Recommended surveys

Ref	Survey assessment conclusions (with justification)	Foreseen impacts	Recommendations
B1 Bats	This building has a <b>high</b> likelihood of supporting roosting bats due to the number of features that could be used by bats. Furthermore, the proximity of bat droppings to these features could indicate the features are being utilised by bats. Multiple species of bat are known to be nearby from the biological records search and the EPSL database, making their presence on site more likely.	As the proposals include the remodeling of this building, any bat roosts present would be destroyed. This could result in death/injury of bats.	Three bat emergence/re-entry surveys are required during the active bat season (May – September) to confirm presence/likely-absence of bat roosts. At least two of the surveys should be completed during the optimal survey period mid-May to August inclusive. Sub-optimal: early May and September. One of these surveys should be a dawn re-entry survey. One of these surveys should be a dawn re-entry survey. Four surveyors are required to provide full coverage of the building/tree.
B2 bats	This building has a <b>low</b> likelihood of supporting roosting bats. due to the features on the east elevation that could be used by bats. Multiple species of bat are known to be nearby from the biological records search and the EPSL database, making their presence on site more likely.	As the proposals include the remodeling of this building, any bat roosts present would be destroyed. This could result in death/injury of bats.	One bat emergence/re-entry survey is required during the active bat season (May – September) to confirm presence/likely-absence of a bat roost. The survey should be completed during the optimal survey period (mid-May to August). Sub-optimal: early May and September. One surveyor is required to provide full coverage of the building. If bat roosts are identified in the building two further surveys will be required to inform a European Protected Species Mitigation License application to Natural England once planning has been granted.



The surveys involved surveyors positioned around the building(s) ensuring that all elevations and roof sections with suitable roosting features could be clearly observed. Particular attention was paid to the areas of the building(s) identified as providing suitable access points to bat roosts. The location of each surveyor during each survey is shown in Appendix 1. Each surveyor was assigned an area of the building(s) to observe for the duration of the survey. Surveyors used heterodyne and frequency division bat detectors, and Wildlife Acoustics EM3+ and Echo Meter Touch detectors connected to iPads. Bat echolocation calls recorded during the surveys were analysed using Wildlife Acoustics sound analysis software Kaleidoscope V3.1.7 when required. The Echo Meter Touch includes an auto ID function for bat species, however this is not 100% accurate and further post-survey sound analysis is often required to confirm species that could not be identified by the auto ID software during the survey. Surveyors also used head torches, survey record sheets and pens/pencils for recording all activity observed during the surveys. Each surveyor was also provided with a handheld radio for communication between surveyors to assist with confirming ambiguous bat activity e.g. a bat emergence or a bat passing over the building(s).

In accordance with the latest bat survey guidelines (Collins, J. 2016) dusk emergence surveys commenced 15 minutes before sunset and continued for 1½ - 2 hours after sunset – depending upon bat activity and surveyor visibility. Dawn re-entry surveys commenced 2 hours before sunrise and continued until 15 minutes after sunrise.

Surveys were completed during optimal weather conditions i.e. when temperatures were above 10°C, with no rain or strong winds, as these adverse weather conditions can impact upon bat emergence and foraging behaviour.

### **2.3 Surveyors**

The lead surveyor is Craig Williams BSc, MSc, GradCIEEM, MRSB (Natural England Protected Species Licence Numbers: [Bats] (2018-33540-CLS-CLS and was assisted by experienced surveyors with several years of bat survey experience. Four surveyors were used to provide sufficient cover of the buildings during the survey. The designated position of each surveyor during each survey is detailed in the tables in Section 3.1 below and shown on the plan in Appendix 1.

### **2.4 Limitations**

These surveys follow best practice guidance to confirm presence/likely-absence of roosting bats and where present, characterise the roost. However, this information is collected at finite dates and times, and provides an indication of the conditions on site only. The use of the building(s), and the site as a whole by bats, at all times cannot be established based on this information.

Specific limitations to the surveys were:

Building B1 - some parts of the roof of B1 could not be fully viewed from the ground, due to its height. However, these areas are unaffected by the proposals.

Building B2 – this building could only be surveyed from the south-west, as the northern and eastern elevations could only be viewed from third-party land. However, these elevations are not thought to be significant emergence points, as they back into ornamental vegetation of the neighbouring gardens.

### 3.0 Results and Evaluation

#### 3.1 Survey Results

The results of each survey are provided in the tables below.

Table 2: Survey results

<b>Date</b>		23/05/19		
<b>Start and End Times</b>		20:42 – 22:27 Sunset: 20:57		
<b>Weather Conditions</b>		<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> <b>Start:</b>  Temp: 20°C  Relative Humidity: 43%  Cloud Cover: 10%  Wind: 1m/s  Rain: None </td> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> <b>End:</b>  Temp: 18°C  Relative Humidity: 49%  Cloud Cover: 10%  Wind: 1m/s  Rain: None </td> </tr> </table>	<b>Start:</b> Temp: 20°C Relative Humidity: 43% Cloud Cover: 10% Wind: 1m/s Rain: None	<b>End:</b> Temp: 18°C Relative Humidity: 49% Cloud Cover: 10% Wind: 1m/s Rain: None
<b>Start:</b> Temp: 20°C Relative Humidity: 43% Cloud Cover: 10% Wind: 1m/s Rain: None	<b>End:</b> Temp: 18°C Relative Humidity: 49% Cloud Cover: 10% Wind: 1m/s Rain: None			
<b>Surveyor (position) As shown in Appendix 1</b>		<b>Deqa Mohamed</b> – Bat surveyor of 1 years’ experience (Position 1 –observing the western and southern elevations/roof of B2) <b>Ejaz Majoka</b> – Bat surveyor of 1 years’ experience (Position 2 – observing the north-eastern elevation/roof of B1) <b>Helen Worlock</b> – Bat surveyor of 6 years’ experience (Position 3 –observing the north-eastern and south-eastern elevations/roof of B1) <b>Craig Williams</b> - Natural England Bat Licence Number: 2015-11169-CLS-CLS (Position 4 – observing the north-western and south-western elevations/roof of B1)		
<b>Building/Tre e Reference</b>	<b>Surveyor Position</b>	<b>Notes/observations:</b>		
B2	1	Soprano pipistrelles ( <i>Pipistrellus pygmaeus</i> ) were observed around the site and flying to and from the adjacent gardens at 21:20, 21:25, 21:31, 21:39, 21:41, 21:49, 21:56, 21:59 and 22:04. Common pipistrelles ( <i>Pipistrellus pipistrellus</i> ) were observed to do similar at 21:28, 21:48, 21:53 and 22:02.		
B1	2	Common pipistrelles were observed foraging and flying around the yard at 21:20 and 21:49. Soprano pipistrelles were also observed at 21:20, 21:39 and 21:42.		
B1	3	No bats seen or heard for the duration of the survey.		
B1	4	Common pipistrelles were heard and observed to fly over trees to the east of B1 and in the street at 21:42, 21:52 and 21:54.		

Table 3: Survey results

<b>Date</b>	17/06/19	
<b>Start and End Times</b>	03:12 – 04:57 Sunrise: 04:42	
<b>Weather Conditions</b>	<b>Start:</b> Temp: 13°C Relative Humidity: 84% Cloud Cover: 0% Wind: 6mph Rain: None	<b>End:</b> Temp: 13°C Relative Humidity: 89% Cloud Cover: 0% Wind: 4mph Rain: None
<b>Surveyor (position) As shown in Appendix 1</b>	<b>Carla Sousa</b> – Bat surveyor of 3 years' experience (Position 2 –observing the north-eastern elevation/roof of B1) <b>Drew Bodey</b> – Bat surveyor of 10 years' experience (Position 3 –observing the north-eastern and south-eastern elevations/roof of B1) <b>Craig Williams</b> - Natural England Bat Licence Number: 2015-11169-CLS-CLS (Position 4 – observing the north-western and south-western elevations/roof of B1) <b>Helen Worlock</b> – Bat surveyor of 6 years' experience (Position 5 –observing the south-eastern elevation/roof of B1)	
<b>Building/Tre e Reference</b>	<b>Surveyor Position</b>	<b>Notes/observations:</b>
B1	2	A soprano pipistrelle was heard distantly but not seen at 03:56. Common pipistrelles were observed flying in the eastern trees at 04:05, 04:06, 04:08, 04:10 and 04:19.
B1	3	A soprano pipistrelle was heard at 03:49 but not seen. Common pipistrelles were heard at 03:47, 04:02 before one was observed to investigate the roof on the north-western elevation at 04:20 before flying over the roof and out of sight.
B1	4	A common pipistrelle was observed in the street, flying south at 4:10.
B1	5	A soprano pipistrelle was heard at 03:56 and 03:59. Common pipistrelles were heard at 04:09 and 04:26.

<b>Date</b>	04/07/19	
<b>Start and End Times</b>	21:04 – 22:49 Sunset: 21:19	
<b>Weather Conditions</b>	<b>Start:</b> Temp: 22°C Relative Humidity: 60% Cloud Cover: 15% Wind: 0mph Rain: None	<b>End:</b> Temp: 20°C Relative Humidity: 67% Cloud Cover: 20% Wind: 1mph Rain: None
<b>Surveyor (position) As shown in Appendix 1</b>	<b>Josephine McCarthy</b> – Bat surveyor of 7 years' experience (Position 2 –observing the north-eastern elevation/roof of B1) <b>Drew Bodey</b> – Bat surveyor of 10 years' experience (Position 3 –observing the north-eastern and south-eastern elevations/roof of B1) <b>Craig Williams</b> - Natural England Bat Licence Number: 2015-11169-CLS-CLS (Position 4 – observing the north-western and south-western elevations/roof of B1) <b>Helen Worlock</b> – Bat surveyor of 6 years' experience (Position 5 –observing the south-eastern elevation/roof of B1)	
<b>Building/Tre e Reference</b>	<b>Surveyor Position</b>	<b>Notes/observations:</b>
B1	2	Soprano pipistrelles were observed flying around the northern yard at 21:35, 21:39, 21:40 – 21:49 and 21:57. A common pipistrelle was seen to fly north to east at 21:56.
B1	3	<b>A common pipistrelle was observed to emerge from under the lead flashing high up on the north—western elevation of B1 at 21:31.</b> One common pipistrelle was also heard distantly but not seen at 21:41. Soprano pipistrelles were heard and seen in the northern yard at 21:43, 21:51, 21:55 and 21:59.
B1	4	Common pipistrelles were heard but not seen at 21:56 and 22:05. A common pipistrelle was observed in trees to the south-east along the road.
B1	5	Common pipistrelles were heard and observed to fly along the southern road in front of B1, and in the eastern trees and dark area at 21:20, 21:27, 21:32, 21:43, 21:48, 21:57, 22:00 and 22:08.



Figure 1: The north-eastern elevation of B1, showing location of common pipistrelle emergence from under lead flashing at 21:31 on 04/07/19.

## 4.0 Conclusions, Impacts and Recommendations

### 4.1 Informative guidelines

When bat roosts are present, the bat surveys undertaken at a site facilitate the characterisation of the roost type. This allows for appropriate mitigation and compensation to be designed to inform a European Protected Species Mitigation Licence (EPSML) application to Natural England.

The definitions of bat roost types are provided below, taken from the *Bat Mitigation Guidelines* (English Nature, 2004) and the Bat Conservation Trust publication *Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists – Good Practice Guidelines* (Collins, J. (Ed) 2016).

**Day roost:** a place where individual bats, or small groups of males, rest or shelter in the day but are rarely found by night in the summer.

**Night roost:** a place where bats rest or shelter in the night but are rarely found in the day. May be used by a single individual on occasion or it could be used regularly by the whole colony.

**Feeding roost:** a place where individual bats or a few individuals rest or feed during the night but are rarely present by day.

**Transitional / occasional roost:** used by a few individuals or occasionally small groups for generally short periods of time on waking from hibernation or in the period prior to hibernation.

**Swarming site:** where large numbers of males and females gather during late summer to autumn. Appear to be important mating sites

**Mating sites:** sites where mating takes place from later summer and can continue through winter.

**Maternity roost:** where female bats give birth and raise their young to independence.

**Hibernation roost:** where bats may be found individually or together during winter. They have a constant cool temperature and high humidity. Sites where hibernating bats have been confirmed by appropriate survey effort should be classed as 'hibernation confirmed'.

**Satellite roost:** an alternative roost found in close proximity to the main nursery colony used by a few individual breeding females to small groups of breeding females throughout the breeding season.

**Other:** roost types are interchangeable and not always easy to classify according to the nuances of certain species.

The surveys undertaken to date in and around B1 provide sufficient information to inform a planning application. A European Protected Species Mitigation Licence (EPSML) **will not be required** to enable the proposed works to be lawfully undertaken. Appropriate justification for this assessment is provided in Section 3 of this report.

#### 4.2 Evaluation

The following recommendations are provided taking the desk based assessment and site survey results into account.

Table 5: Evaluation of buildings on site

Ref	Survey conclusions	Foreseen impacts	Recommendations / Mitigation	Enhancements The Local Planning Authority has a duty to ask for enhancements under the NPPF (July 2018)
B1	Day roost of one common pipistrelle, observed emerging from under loose lead flashing on the north-eastern elevation on 4 <sup>th</sup> July 2019.	<p>As the extension works are exclusively planned for the centre yard area of the building and internally (see proposed plan in appendix 2), leaving the location of the day bat roost unaffected (high up under lead flashing on the north-eastern roof elevation), The roost is to be retained and is unlikely to be impacted during the works through physical means, or noise, light, dust, vibrations etc.</p> <p>Roosting and commuting bats may be impacted by new lighting.</p>	<p>The works can be carried out without a bat license, as the common pipistrelle roost is to be retained.</p> <p>If the lead flashing is to be repaired or that part of the building extended in the future, it will require further bat surveys and a bat license application to Natural England.</p> <p>The following mitigation is recommended, to further reduce the low risk of harm to bats:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Immediately before development, the area of the building where the extension works will be located will undergo a destructive search supervised by a licensed bat ecologist - soft stripping by hand of the roof tiles and checking under each one for bats.</li> <li>• In the unlikely event that bats are unexpectedly found during any stage of the development, work should stop immediately, and a suitably qualified ecologist should be contacted to seek further advice – which may involve obtaining a license for the work.</li> <li>• The roost under lead flashing on the north-eastern elevation of the flat roofed structure will be retained permanently.</li> <li>• Lighting will be controlled across the developed site. Research into the effects of artificial lighting on bats has shown that it can impact upon bat emergence times and lead to a reduced foraging time. As bats are faithful to their roost sites, often returning to the same site for many years, the impact of lighting on emergence times and in turn reduced foraging times can ultimately result in the roosts being abandoned.</li> <li>• Key areas of the site which are sensitive to artificial lighting are the rear garden, providing dark areas for foraging and commuting.</li> <li>• No lighting will be installed /facing the roost location roof slope or rear yard area, thereby maintaining the existing dark areas within the developed site for bats.</li> </ul>	Not applicable - the existing roost will be retained.

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Low impact lighting strategies will be adopted from the guidance outlined in the new Bats and Lighting Publication produced by the Institution of Lighting Professionals and the Bat Conservation Trust “Guidance Note 08/18 Bats and artificial lighting in the UK Bats and the Built Environment series” publication: <a href="http://www.bats.org.uk/news.php/406/new_guidance_on_bats_and_lighting">http://www.bats.org.uk/news.php/406/new_guidance_on_bats_and_lighting</a></li> <li>• The lighting on the site will:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Use narrow spectrum light sources to lower the range of species affected by lighting</li> <li>- Use light sources that emit minimal ultra-violet light</li> <li>- Avoid white and blue wavelengths of the light spectrum to reduce insect attraction and where white light sources are required in order to manage the blue short wavelength content they should be of a warm / neutral colour temperature &lt;4,200 kelvin.</li> <li>- Not use bare bulbs and any light pointing upwards. The spread of light will be kept in line with or below the horizontal.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Light spill will be reduced via the use of low-level lighting used in conjunction with hoods, cowls, louvers and shields. Lights will also be directional to ensure that light is directed to the intended areas only.</li> <li>• External lighting will be positioned below the eaves, be on PIR sensors that are sensitive to large objects only (so that they are not triggered by passing bats) and will be set to the shortest time duration to reduce the amount of time the lights are on.</li> <li>• Wall lights and security lights will be ‘dimnable’ and set to the lowest light intensity settings. There are several products on the market that allow the control of the light intensity and the duration that the lights are on. All lighting on the developed site will make use of the most up to date technology available.</li> </ul> <p>All of the above will ensure that the retained bat roost within the developed site will not be affected by any external lighting ensuring its long-term use.</p>	
B2	The surveys confirmed a likely absence of bat roosts in B2 due to no bats observed emerging or re-	Bats are very unlikely to be roosting within B2 and as such, there are not anticipated to be any impacts on bats as a result of the proposed works.	In the unlikely event that bats are unexpectedly found during any stage of the development, work should stop immediately, and a suitably qualified ecologist should be contacted to seek further advice.	The developed site can be enhanced for the bat species observed to be foraging and commuting across the site during the surveys by installing a minimum of two bat boxes on retained buildings e.g.



	<p>entering the building.</p>			<p>Schwegler 2F Bat Box                  Schwegler 1FF Bat Box                  Schwegler 2FN Bat Box                  Improved Cavity Bat Box</p> <p>Bat boxes should be positioned 3-5m above ground level facing south or south-westerly with a clear flight path to and from the entrance.</p> <p>Bat boxes should also be positioned away from any artificial light sources.</p>
--	-------------------------------	--	--	---

## 5.0 Bibliography

- Collins, J. (ed.) (2016). Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists —Good Practice Guidelines, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition, Bat Conservation Trust, London.
- Garland & Markham (2008) Is important bat foraging and commuting habitat legally protected?
- Google Earth (2019)
- Magic database (2019) <http://www.magic.gov.uk/MagicMap.aspx>
- Mitchell-Jones, A.J. (2004). Bat Mitigation Guidelines. English Nature, Peterborough.
- Preliminary Roost Assessment (PRA) survey report (Arbtech Consulting Ltd. September 2018).

### Appendix 1: Survey Plan



### Appendix 2: Proposed Site Plan



**PROPOSED BLOCK PLAN**



© 2018 SATELITE ARCHITECTS All rights reserved.		<b>PROPOSED</b>
Date: 12/01/2018 Drawn: J. Smith Checked: M. Jones	Job Title: <b>Albion Primary School</b> Drawing Title: <b>Proposed Block Plan</b>	
<b>GENERAL ARRANGEMENT</b>		
Project: <b>P - 1732 - 001 A</b>		
Scale: 1:500 A3 Client: <b>DFE</b>	41 Clarendon Street, London NW3 4SE Tel: 020 7461 1000 www.satelitearchitects.com	

### Appendix 3: Legislation and Planning Policy related to bats

#### LEGAL PROTECTION

All species of bat are fully protected under *The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017* through their inclusion on Schedule 2.

#### **Regulation 43: Protection of certain wild animals - offences**

(1) A person is guilty of an offence if they:

- (a) Deliberately captures, injures or kills any wild animal of a European protected species,
- (b) Deliberately disturbs wild animals of any such species,
- (c) Deliberately takes or destroys the eggs of such an animal, or
- (d) Damages or destroys a breeding site or resting place of such an animal,

(2) For the purposes of paragraph (1) (b), disturbance of animals includes in particular any disturbance which is likely—

- (a) To impair their ability:
  - (i) To survive, to breed or reproduce, or to rear or nurture their young; or
  - (ii) In the case of animals of a hibernating or migratory species, to hibernate or migrate; or
- (b) To affect significantly the local distribution or abundance of the species to which they belong.

Bats are also protected under the *Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended 01.04.1996)* through their inclusion on *Schedule 5*. Under this Act, they are additionally protected from:

- Intentional or reckless disturbance (at any level)
- Intentional or reckless obstruction of access to any place of shelter or protection
- Selling, offering or exposing for sale, possession or transporting for purpose of sale

#### NATIONAL PLANNING POLICY (ENGLAND)

##### **National Planning Policy Framework 2017**

The National Planning Policy Framework promotes sustainable development. The Framework specifies the need for protection of designated sites and priority habitats and species. An emphasis is also made on the need for ecological infrastructure through protection, restoration and re-creation. The protection and recovery of priority species (considered likely to be those listed as UK Biodiversity Action Plan priority species) is also listed as a requirement of planning policy.

In determining a planning application, planning authorities should aim to conserve and enhance biodiversity by ensuring that: designated sites are protected from harm; there is appropriate mitigation or compensation where significant harm cannot be avoided; opportunities to incorporate biodiversity in and around developments are encouraged; and planning permission is refused for development resulting in the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats including aged or veteran trees and also ancient woodland.

***The Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 and the Biodiversity Duty***

Section 40 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act 2006, requires all public bodies to have regard to biodiversity conservation when carrying out their functions. This is commonly referred to as the 'biodiversity duty'.

Section 41 of the Act requires the Secretary of State to publish a list of habitats and species which are of 'principal importance for the conservation of biodiversity'. This list is intended to assist decision makers such as public bodies in implementing their duty under Section 40 of the Act. Under the Act these habitats and species are regarded as a material consideration in determining planning applications. A developer must show that their protection has been adequately addressed within a development proposal.

**Effect on development works:**

A European Protected Species Mitigation (EPSM) Licence issued by Natural England will be required for works likely to affect a bat roost or for operations likely to result in a level of disturbance which might impair their ability to undertake those activities mentioned above (e.g. survive, breed, rear young and hibernate). The licence is to allow derogation from the relevant legislation but also to enable appropriate mitigation measures to be put in place and their efficiency/success to be monitored. The legislation may also be interpreted such that, in certain circumstances, important foraging areas and/or commuting routes can be regarded as being afforded *de facto* protection, for example, where it can be proven that the continued usage of such areas is crucial to maintaining the integrity and long-term viability of a bat roost (Garland & Markham, 2008).

There are 17 species of bat breeding in England and Natural England issues licences under Regulation 55 of the Habitats Regulations to allow you to work within the law.

Licences are issued for specific purposes stated in the Regulations, if the following three tests are met:

- The purpose of the work meets one of those listed in the Habitats Regulations (see below);
- That there is no satisfactory alternative;
- That the action authorised will not be detrimental to the maintenance of the population of the species concerned at a favourable conservation status (FCS) in their natural range

The Habitats Regulations permits licences to be issued for a specific set of purposes including:

- ***include preserving public health or public safety or other imperative reasons of over-riding public interest including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment;***
- scientific and educational purposes,
- ringing or marking
- conserving wild animals

Development works fall under the first purpose and Natural England issues bat mitigation licences for developments.