

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/3138/P	Emily Windsor	28/07/2019 18:28:58	OBJ	<p>I strongly oppose this proposed development. My objections are as follows.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposed new building is too large and tall for the site. It will be notably larger than others in the vicinity and the top floors and plant areas will be visible from a number of surrounding streets. If the development is to go ahead, it should be at least one storey lower. 2. The new building looks very ugly even on paper. It will be a cheap, generic box - it does not reference anything else in Camden. It is not suitable modern architecture for a High Street. The prefabricated wall panels look cheap and the dull block colour will be ugly. The design and colour of the windows is horrible. 4. The proposed entrance in Delancey St will cause unacceptable levels of congestion. Particularly if a 2-way cycle route is ever installed in Delancey St. Ubers and taxis dropping off and collecting hotel guests will block the single lane road - which among other things is a bus route. The entrance should be on the High Street where there are 3 lanes of traffic and a loading bay. 5. The proposed service access is totally unsuitable - having vehicles turning in and out of this yard will be extremely dangerous - particularly if the cycle route is installed. It is suggested that vehicles will reverse into the yard, which sounds ridiculous. This is a particular concern to me. When the planning authority visits the site, I would invite them to imagine a van or lorry attempting to reverse into the site, given the volumes of fast-flowing traffic that will inevitably be driving right behind it. Delancey St is a busy road and use of the yard area as a service yard will cause chaos. I have seen the congestion which Premier Inn lorries cause in Ornan Road, Hampstead. And they do not have to reverse into such a ridiculously small area. I also do not believe the assertion that Premier Inn will only use small vehicles for deliveries. They show absolutely no respect for the residents of Ornan Road, frequently blocking it for 5 minutes at the time with lorries which are far too large for the street. If this scheme is to go ahead, it should be a condition that all deliveries are made from the High St to a High St entrance. 6. There is no demand for another bar in the area. 7. This development does not offer anything to Camden residents. The social housing is a minimum token gesture. <p>In short, it is clear that Premier Inn are only interested in building a cheap box containing as many square metres of lettable room space as possible.</p> <p>It is well known that Premier Inn have a planning team to push through speculative unpopular applications of this nature. But this scheme is plainly unacceptable and I would urge Camden to reject it.</p>

2019/3138/P	Susan Leverick	26/07/2019 16:22:30	OBJ	<p>In my opinion the proposed structure is too high. It dominates the site and surrounding buildings rather than complementing them.</p> <p>Siting the entrance on Delancey Street-already a traffic bottleneck and set to be even narrower- will result in serious traffic congestion around CHS/Delancey Street/Arlington Road. It would appear that the various council departments are not working in partnership in this respect; the aim of the council is to reduce pollution from vehicles, but idling or stationery vehicles pollute more than Fred flowing traffic streams.</p> <p>If the project is to go ahead, local residents would like to see more trees planted into the pavement around the development, and these must be maintained. Camden does not need another bar, and I do object to this part of the development; there are more than enough venues with late night licences which dispense alcohol and contribute to Camden's antisocial behavioural problems.</p>
-------------	----------------	---------------------	-----	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/3138/P	Stephen White	26/07/2019 13:28:46	OBJ	I object to the loss of the facade of the original 1928 Woolworths store number 329, and the massing in relation to other nearby buildings. Don't be greedy. We need Camden Town to look and feel like Camden Town or the magic goes and nobody comes.
2019/3138/P	Philip Kemp	26/07/2019 14:41:06	OBJ	This proposed development is highly inappropriate for the site. It's far too tall, of an unimaginative design that bears no relation to any of the buildings around it, and of the wrong material (red brick as against the sand-coloured London brick used all over Camden Town). The hotel entrance is in the wrong place - it should be on the High Street, not in narrow Delancey Street - and the bar is superfluous in an area already well-provided with drinking-places. Altogether this is a lazy, clumsy piece of design that pays no attention to the character of the area and could only prove an eyesore. It should be rejected.
2019/3138/P	Nicholas Ayre	26/07/2019 16:09:27	OBJEMAIL	Albert Street North Residents Association (ASNRA) welcomes any investment into Camden and specifically to the area surrounding Albert Street. We are concerned that the proposed redevelopment of 115-119 Camden High Street is not in keeping with the current streetscape and that the proposed building is an over development of the site. We are also concerned that access to the new hotel will cause congestion at the bottom of Delancey Street which is a very busy route from the High St to Regents Park. Nicholas Ayre Secretary Albert Street North Residents Association
2019/3138/P	Rachel Coles	26/07/2019 19:56:30	OBJ	This proposed building is not in keeping with other buildings in the local vicinity - e.g. Blues Kitchen and is a bland, ugly building. This will detract from the character of Camden Town. The width of Delancey Street at the point where the hotel entrance will be cannot accommodate cars dropping off / collecting from the hotel. It would be better placed on Camden High street. Also - have you actually considered that there are several noisy late night bars and restaurants in the immediate vicinity which may suffer as a result of complaints from hotel guests?
2019/3138/P	Jane Pearce	26/07/2019 10:36:04	OBJ	Too big to fit in with current skyline.

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/3138/P	Prof S M Lee	26/07/2019 23:08:28	COMMEMA IL	<p>Dear Sir,</p> <p>We strongly object to the new development for an 80-bed hotel. It is an awful architecture design. Also it is very ambitious to request for an 80-bed hotel in an area already packed with tourists. Also building is too tall for the site and that a hotel entrance on Delancey Street is inappropriate location for the residential area.</p> <p>Prof and Mrs S M Lee 135 Albert Street London NW1 7NB</p>
2019/3138/P	Philip Kemp	26/07/2019 18:23:34	OBJ	<p>This proposed development is highly inappropriate for the site. It's far too tall, of an unimaginative design that bears no relation to any of the buildings around it, and of the wrong material (red brick as against the sand-coloured London brick used all over Camden Town). The hotel entrance is in the wrong place - it should be on the High Street, not in narrow Delancey Street - and the bar is superfluous in an area already well-provided with drinking-places.</p> <p>Altogether this is a lazy, clumsy piece of design that pays no attention to the character of the area and could only prove an eyesore. It should be rejected.</p>
2019/3138/P	Bev Rowe	26/07/2019 11:46:08	OBJ	<p>The development is unsuitable for that part of Camden Town. It is a busy corner and a business that generates car traffic would be totally undesirable. The appearance of the development adds nothing to the area.</p>