Application No:	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/2971/P	David Goldenberg	27/07/2019 09:23:40	SUPPRT	I am writing to express my support for this application which in my opinion is in keeping with the design and character of the conservation area. Moreover it is identical to the side extension that exists at number 28 Upper park road and therefore completes the harmony and symmetry between the two adjoining houses.
2019/2971/P	Karine Pearson	28/07/2019 14:38:15	OBJLETTE R	28th July 2019,
				Dear Mr Ben Farrant,
				Objections to Planning Application no : 2019/2971/P
				Planning Application for a two storey side extension to no. 30 Upper Park Road.
				1) The plans suggest that the existing side extension wall to no. 28 is a party wall from which you would have a right of support under the Party Wall Acts. It is in fact a Boundary Wall.
				2) We have an established right of light to the window on the first floor of the existing side extension to no. 28 facing the main side wall of the property. The solution proposed to this, as shown on plan and section in your drawings, does not satisfy this right:  a) The 45¿ rule is not applied.
				<ul><li>b) The light which would enter the window would be considerably reduced below the current level.</li><li>c) This room is currently a bathroom but previously was a kitchen therefore to suggest that this window does not need to be analysed for daylight and sunlight is incorrect as the owners may wish to change to use of the room in the future.</li></ul>
				3) The glazed roof over the proposed staircase does not meet Building Regulations Section B4 External Spread of Fire.
				4) At night, light from your proposed staircase would cause a nuisance to our room.
				5) The projection of the proposed side extension beyond the rear wall of the existing extension of no. 28 is not acceptable because:
				<ul> <li>a) The 45¿ rule is not applied to the existing ground floor window of the extension to no.28 facing the garden.</li> <li>b) The balcony at first floor level in the proposed extension would cause overlooking and a loss of privacy in the garden of no. 28.</li> </ul>
				The conservation area guidelines for side extensions call for any new side extension to be behind/set back from the corner of the main building. (para. 3.9 Camden Planning Guidance)
				For all the above reasons, we consider that the application should either be withdrawn or refused.
				Yours Sincerely,
				Karine Pearson

Printed on: 29/07/2019

09:10:04