Application No: 2019/3163/P	Consultees Name: Patrick Hayes	Received: 25/07/2019 10:15:08	Comment: OBJEMAIL	Printed on: 26:07/2019 09:10:04 Response: As an immediate neighbour, given the constant development of the adjoining site and previous issues of nuisance, I would hope we could have a stay on commencement of any works for at least 2 years Cycle storage is of course acceptable, but given light pollution it would be desirous to have a ban on artificial light between 10pm and 7am throughout the extended premises Patrick Hayes
2019/3163/P	Anne Gomian & Robert Holliman	25/07/2019 21:20:47	COMMNT	We would like to object to the above planning application (2019/3163/P) for the following reasons: Noise and disturbance -I there is currently a s105 agreement in place which is not adhere to by the current occupiers of the building and this addition office space will generate more disruption and noise in terms of out of hours working and disturbance for residents or 60-86 Saffron Hill. Section 6.17 of the Planning application states, There is nothing to suggest that the newly created floorspace would be operated for anything other than similar hours to the existing businesses, which regular hours of opening). This is the hub of the problem; the current offices hours are constantly flouted. See also Cycle Parking comments re disturbance. Light pollution. Section 6.17 of the proposed application also refers to the light pollution and states the proposed extension on Onslow Street would not result in additional light pollution outside of normal office hours. As the residents of Ziggurat already know, the lights are regularly left on all night. I have lost track of the number of times we have had to complain to the owners of the building or the Council. This is not going to change and is really damaging the health of residents living adjacent to this building. The Council need to take this matter serious. Loss of Light. There will be loss of light due to the proposed infil. We only have two windows in the whole of our apartment and therefore, are extremely dependant on the natural light from these windows which face onto the courtyard. Any development on that side of the building is going to cause us problems and affect our natural light. Cycle Parking. Section 6.22 states, khere is sufficient space located within the existing courtyard to accommodate a policy compliant provision of cycle parking. They seem to suggest that the courtyard be accommodate a policy compliant provision of cycle parking. They seem to suggest that the courtyard be accommodate a policy compliant provision of cycle parking. They seem to
2019/3163/12	Katy Ricks	25/07/2019 15:23:25	COMNOT	We object to yet another proposal from this landford. The proposed elevation will decrease light and impair the outlook for neighbouring apartments. In addition, it will increase already antisocial light and noise pollution. The landford is in persistent breach of the s106 agreement, and we strongly oppose a proposal which will only add to this. Light and noise pollution must be tightly controlled in any development.
				The service yard is mismanaged and the s106 agreement on its use flouted certainly weekly, if not daily. We also object to the timing. There has been much noisy development on this site and we seek a break of say three years in building work.