

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/3188/P	J Sinclair	24/07/2019 08:27:26	OBJ	<p>The applicant has erred in law with respect to what constitutes development. First, building operations have taken place. Building operations have occurred due to the specification in the Act that building operations include those normally undertaken by a person carrying on business as a builder. This is also an "additions to buildings" (Section 55 1(A) c.</p> <p>The professional bolting down is evidence of this. It then follows that the tree is a material change to the external appearance of the building (which must be assessed subjectively and objectively). In addition as it is bolted down, so the Bromley case in which mobile masts did not constitute development does not apply.</p>

Total: 6