

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/3176/P	B. Bennett	23/07/2019 22:01:20	OBJ	Dear Thomas Sild To add to my previous email, this extension would also block light from neighbouring properties.
2019/3176/P	B Bennett	23/07/2019 21:52:17	OBJ	Dear Thomas Sild I am writing to object to this proposal. The planned extension is bulky, would not fit in with the style and would detract from the character and appearance of this conservation area, clearly visible from the back. Unaltered backs of this historic 19th century street are rare survivors. It would be yet another loss of original Camden to demolish part of the surviving brickwork and remove and destroy the original window. Although the proposed materials for the doors is timber and for walls brickwork, the appearance of the back would be damaged. This building, in a row with others surrounding, has at present the attractive layout of windows typical of the backs of this conservation area. The proposed ground floor single paned French-windows/doors, proposed French windows/doors where there is the surviving traditional window, and the planned modernistic railings are not suitable in style or shape for this 19th century building and do not match or fit in with the architecture. There is already a terrible problem with noise from shouting, screaming and music at the back of Agar Grove made even worse by recent extensions and intensive concentrated development, and this roof terrace would lead to yet more disturbance and loss of privacy of the surrounding residents as it would overlook properties. Building on part of the open area at the back of this property would remove rare garden /open area for future use.