

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/3234/P	Julian Russell	22/07/2019 16:50:09	INT	<p>I have only just seen this application. There has been no consultation on the change of the bin area with [REDACTED]. My leasehold, [REDACTED] gives me the right to have a bin in the bin area. Having just seen the application on the tree outside no. 90, unable to determine whether or not there will be room for a standard refuse bin which [REDACTED] uses day-to-day.</p> <p>I therefore OBJECT to this planning application.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:
2019/3231/P	Tom & Gilly Brent	22/07/2019 16:06:18	OBJ

Response:

We are the

We make the following comments.

1. The Design statement has various errors, it states that the original rear wooden doors on the original and correct rear elevation alignment, as all the other properties - on both lower and upper ground, have been removed in the past. This is not the case as they still exist in part or full (or did in late 2018 when the flat was in an unoccupied state as now). These are shown in various of their photographs, timber screens/spiral metal stair/router 1970's glazed screens.
2. The existing basic aluminium/UPVC rear elevation glazed sliding screens are set on the furthest outer structural frame of the cluster of properties, standing proud - physically and visually contrary to all of the other properties. These fine and unique 1956 houses were built in a uniform style externally and as a block. Numbers 80-90 SHP inclusive are now LISTED grade 2 since Nov 2014, noted for their External Design, detail and uniform structural form.
3. This proposed remedial work offers an ideal opportunity to facilitate a valued and relevant reinstatement to show the terrace in the form as originally intended - and since maintained by all other properties. By the proposers removing the original timber screens any aspect of the original rear elevation alignment will be lost on this property.
4. Replacing the incorrectly and crudely positioned outer glazing, in the same position, is a lost opportunity for the long-term benefit of these listed properties, the conservation area and the neighbourhood. We urge you to use this opportunity for rectification.
5. The proposed upper rear elevation drawing indicates a terrace balustrade that is not shown on plan, indeed there is no terrace. If this balustrade detail is incorporated into the proposed new fenestration, however executed, it will be a crude detail that will not enhance this fine cluster of Post-war Listed Buildings.
6. The existing modest bin area is used by both 86 & 86A. In the proposals it has been reduced to almost nothing and is now thoroughly ill considered. It will mean in reality no space for refuse/recycling/food bins for either property, meaning that they will be permanently sited on the public pavement to the constant physical detriment of passers by and adding to the visual street chaos.
7. We received no notice of this application and it is not advertised in the street.

For all the above reasons we OBJECT to the application.
