

Nevertheless, I clearly list my objections below:

1.) You seem to be completely **ignoring some relevant site considerations like the distance of the proposed extension from the boundary. Wouldn't a 6m extension be within 3metres of 22 Chevington's rear boundary** (which is an important part of the PD rules as per my understanding) **and result in detriment to the amenity of neighbours like myself?**

2.) With a 6m extension, 22 Chevington would have **no reasonable garden space left at the back and this would permanently deteriorate the landscape at the back of these properties in my view.** Lack of a reasonable sized garden space would give the area an **uneven slum like look**, I believe, **detrimentally affecting my property's value and outlook permanently. It would open floodgates for all the properties in Chevington to have virtually no back gardens and turn the area into an overbuilt, ill planned, ugly looking concrete jungle with little outside space or back gardens.**

3.) A 6m extension would be adjacent to my boundary/back garden and **would overshadow my back garden, causing loss of light and spoil its outlook and appeal as an open space. It will negatively affect the appearance and visual amenity.**

4.) From what I understand, **receiving "prior approval" does not necessarily mean that the proposed extension is lawful as Permitted Development.** Given that the proposed 6m extension will be within 3m of the boundary and will permanently deteriorate the landscape and amenity of adjoining properties and the landscape of the area, it should be put through the rigorous Planning Permission criteria, not PD, if the owner of 22 Chevington seeks a lawful extension.

I look forward to receiving your supervisor's details.

Yours Sincerely'

Neha sahni

