Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 15/07/2019 09:10:03 Response:
2019/1906/P	Robert West	12/07/2019 09:23:50	OBJ	Obviously I cannot see into the minds of the developers but on the surface this appears to be a worrisome gaming of the planning process. It is barely conceivable that the professionals involved in putting the original application forward could have erred so badly as to require this major amendment. I can't imagfine this would not have got through the planning process had it been in the original application. The proposed location of the pit seems to be aimed at making things much more advantageous to the developers at grave risk to the immediate neighbours for the foreseeable future. There appears to be nothing in the application that would guard against, or compensate the neighbours for, sump pit leakage or failure - something that is far from uncommon, especially when we are talking about a structure that will be in place for decades to come. Placing the pit right next to the neighbour's living area surely cannot be remotely acceptable.
2019/1906/P	Robert West	12/07/2019 09:24:01	ОВЈ	Obviously I cannot see into the minds of the developers but on the surface this appears to be a worrisome gaming of the planning process. It is barely conceivable that the professionals involved in putting the original application forward could have erred so badly as to require this major amendment. I can't imagfine this would not have got through the planning process had it been in the original application. The proposed location of the pit seems to be aimed at making things much more advantageous to the developers at grave risk to the immediate neighbours for the foreseeable future. There appears to be nothing in the application that would guard against, or compensate the neighbours for, sump pit leakage or failure - something that is far from uncommon, especially when we are talking about a structure that will be in place for decades to come. Placing the pit right next to the neighbour's living area surely cannot be remotely acceptable.