Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 15/07/2019 09:10:03 Response:
2019/3222/T	Jill Tyrrell	14/07/2019 15:55:04	OBJ	I do not think any of the trees and shrubs indicated could possibly be the cause of any subsidence to the building near flat 18. The trees are positioned on a raised bed and, because of the small circumference of the trees, the roots would certainly not be reaching under the building. I should think it more likely to be normal movement in an old building (circa 1936). The cracking is minimal. Th insurance company or Holmefield Managing Agents should be able to provide details of the previous subsidence incident 6/7 years ago. A better plan would be to reduce the height of the trees and prune back the shrubs in size while the cracking is monitored over the next year. Both trees and shrubs provide privacy to the flats from the backing properties and should remain.
				In October last year Gilling Court (next door to Holmefield) was forced to fell 2 large lime trees, supposedly, because they were the cause of subsidence. The cracks in the hall of the building have not changed since then where one would expect shrinkage. It may be too soon to judge the tree effect. Insurance companies are always very quick to request tree felling where subsidence is suspected as the easy option.
				I object to this application.