Delegated Report	Analysis sheet		Expiry Date:	12/07/2019			
	N/A / attache	d	Consultation Expiry Date:	20/07/2019			
Officer	Application Number(s)						
Joshua Ogunleye	2019/2685/P						
Application Address		Drawing Num	bers				
Land Outside 50-54 Kingsway London W1T 7QP		Refer to draft decision notice					
PO 3/4 Area Team Sign	ature C&UD	Authorised Officer Signature					
Proposal(s							
Installation of replacement 1 x to	elephone kiosk on	the pavement.					
Recommendation(s): Prior	Approval Require	d – Approval Re	fused				
Application Type: GPDC	GPDO Prior Approval Determination						

Informatives: Consultations djoining Occupiers and/or residents: No. notified 00 No. of responses 00 No. of objections 00 No. of obj	Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:	Refer to Draft Decision Notice								
djoining Occupiers and/or residents: A site notice was displayed on 26/06/2019 and expired on 20/07/2019	Informatives:									
A site notice was displayed on 26/06/2019 and expired on 20/07/2019 • In response to the proposal, No comment was submitted Metropolitan Police — Designing Out Crime Officer objects on the following grounds: • The issues surrounding telephone kiosks and communication devict within the London Borough of Camden are numerous and as such have become magnets for crime and anti-social behaviour. It issues surrounding them range from the placement of prostite cards, graffiti, public urination, criminal damage and a location when Class A drugs misuse can occur. • The main reason why they are associated with crime and anti-social behaviour is because there is not the demand for their intended to anymore, as a result of the high number of the population owning mobile phone. • The new design does mitigate some of the faults of the existing design and does reduce the 'foot print' taking up space within the public realm. The canopy covering the main screen/handset though not ideal though as a result of the small shelf positioned to one sing Any flat surface which is protected from the elements will favourable for the preparation and taking of Class A drugs. The canopy contains the solar panels but question arise why it is large. Ideally it should offer protection for a short period of time who the device is in use but not for extended periods by someone we	Consultations									
In response to the proposal, No comment was submitted Metropolitan Police — Designing Out Crime Officer objects on the following grounds: The issues surrounding telephone kiosks and communication device within the London Borough of Camden are numerous and as such ave become magnets for crime and anti-social behaviour. The issues surrounding them range from the placement of prostite cards, graffiti, public urination, criminal damage and a location where Class A drugs misuse can occur. The main reason why they are associated with crime and anti-social behaviour is because there is not the demand for their intended using anymore, as a result of the high number of the population owning mobile phone. The new design does mitigate some of the faults of the existing design and does reduce the 'foot print' taking up space within the public realm. The canopy covering the main screen/handset though not ideal though as a result of the small shelf positioned to one sing Any flat surface which is protected from the elements will favourable for the preparation and taking of Class A drugs. The canopy contains the solar panels but question arise why it is large. Ideally it should offer protection for a short period of time when the device is in use but not for extended periods by someone were also and the following the following transport to the small shelf positioned to one single for the preparation and taking of Class A drugs. The canopy contains the solar panels but question arise why it is large. Ideally it should offer protection for a short period of time when the device is in use but not for extended periods by someone were deviced in the following transport to the following transport transport to the following transport transport transport transport transport transport transport transpo		No. notified	00	No. of responses	00	No. of objections	00			
approval. The Council's Access Officer comments as follows: There are a number of requirements for an accessible phone booth the need to be considered. These are all taken from the BS8300-1:2018 at BS-2:2018: • whether this location obstructs the view of traffic for wheelchair used using the crossing close by; • assistive technology requirements, such as, volume control at inductive couplers, and an indication of their presence; • a kneehole should be provided at least 500mm deep and 700m high to allow ease of access for wheelchair users;	and/or residents: Summary of consultation	A site notice wa In response Metropolitan Polygrounds: The issue within the have been issues as cards, gray Class A companyment of the main behaviou anymore, mobile proposed and the design as public reasonated and the device can just favourable should be the device can just favourable approval. The Council's A There are a number of the condition	s displanted to the surface of the s	he proposal, No coming the proposal of Crime ounding telephone kind on Borough of Cammagnets for crime ding them range from the propose the can occur. On why they are assorted the preparation and the preparation. This is the location. This is the can obstructs the view of the preparation and the location. This is the preparation and the location of the preparation and the location. This is the location obstructs the view of the preparation and the location obstructs the view of the preparation	ment we of the control of the indicated should for the indicated should follows an according to the indicated should follows and the indicated should should should should be according to the indicated should s	pired on 20/07/2019 ras submitted er objects on the following communication of the numerous and as nti-social behavious placement of propage and a location with crime and anti-and for their intended the population ow the faults of the eaking up space with a screen/handset the elf positioned to one mather elements with this from occurring of Class A drugs ent this from occurring the periods by someon be addressed prior the BS8300-1:201 traffic for wheelchair as, volume control of the presence; soommon deep and 7 traffic for wheel chair as, volume control of the presence; soommon deep and 7 traffic for wheel chair as, volume control of the presence; soommon deep and 7 traffic for wheel chair as, volume control of the presence; soommon deep and 7 traffic for wheel chair as, volume control of the presence; soommon deep and 7 traffic for wheel chair as, volume control of the presence; soommon deep and 7 traffic for wheel chair as, volume control of the presence; soommon deep and 7 traffic for wheel chair as, volume control of the presence; soommon deep and 7 traffic for wheel chair as, volume control of the presence; soommon deep and 7 traffic for wheel chair as, volume control of the presence; soommon deep and 7 traffic for wheel chair as, volume control of the presence; soommon deep and 7 traffic for wheel chair as, volume control of the presence; soommon deep and 7 traffic for wheel chair as, volume control of the presence; soommon deep and 7 traffic for wheel chair and traffic for wheel chair as, volume control of the presence; soommon deep and 7 traffic for wheel chair as, volume control of the presence; soommon deep and 7 traffic for wheel chair and t	lowing evices such r. The ostitute where resocial ed use ning a xisting in the ough is evide. This is so whilst e who to any the that is and reserved and			

for the convenience of people with ambulant mobility impartments.

The Council's Highways Officer comments as follows:

- The proposal to install a replacement telephone kiosk at the above site would re-introduce a significant physical and visual obstruction to an otherwise clear and unobstructed pedestrian environment. The proposal would fail to improve the pedestrian environment at the site. This is unacceptable in such a high footfall location in Central London. The proposal should be refused on this basis.
- The TfL guidance documents are clear that siting street furniture in the pedestrian environment adjacent to a section of kerb where loading and unloading takes place is not appropriate. Doing so would impede or obstruct the transfer of goods which takes place from the kerbside. It would impede or obstruct pedestrian movement adjacent to the kerbside when boarding and alighting taxis. It would also impede or obstruct pedestrian movement and sightlines along the footway. The proposal is therefore contrary to TfL guidance as well as Camden Local Plan policies A1 and T1. The proposal should be refused on this basis.
- The proposed telephone kiosk, by being in a high footfall area, would have a detrimental impact on the walking experience due to a significant reduction in the level of service, as per the existing situation. It would lead to pedestrian congestion which could result in dangerous situations such as pedestrians walking in the carriageway and colliding with each other or vehicular traffic, or indeed with the telephone kiosk. The proposal should be refused on this basis.

The TFL Highways Officer comments as follows:

 Unnecessary and dysfunctional street clutter at any location in the footway on the highway has an obvious adverse impact on the movement of pedestrians, which also goes against TfL and the Council's statutory highway authority duties.

The Council's Conservation Officer comment as follows

- The solid metal appearance of the kiosk with a 32" touch screen display, a projecting canopy, and solar panels would have an unacceptable impact the character and appearance of the conservation area. The orientation of the telephone kiosk (perpendicular to the pavement) would be a distracting intrusion in the streetscape, and would not be sympathetic to the character and appearance of the conservation area. Furthermore, the siting of the kiosk would likely impede pedestrian movement on a busy central London street.
- The Grade II* listed building makes a positive contribution to the character and appearance of the conservation area. The introduction of the kiosk would obscure views of the listed building, and would have an uncharacteristic impact on the setting of it.
- Policy D2 states that within conservation areas, the Council will only grant permission for development that preserves and enhances its established character and appearance. The proposal does not meet this.

Site Description

The application site comprises of an area of the footway on the eastern side of Kingsway facing south bound traffic. The pavement here is approximately 6.3m in width. This is a major road (A400) and is very busy with vehicular traffic and used by lots of pedestrians. Existing along the pavement in close proximity is; post box, litter bins, street lights, street signage, bus shelters and advertisement stand

The site is located within the Kingsway Conservation area and is adjacent to a terrace of Grade II listed buildings.

Relevant History

Site history:

2007/5445/P - Installation of telephone kiosk on the public Highway Outside 58 Kingsway. <u>Prior Approval refused 04/01/2008</u>

Relevant policies

National Planning Policy Framework (2019)

London Plan 2016

Draft New London Plan 2017

TfL's Pedestrian Comfort Guidance for London (2010)

Camden Local Plan 2017

A1 Managing the impact of development

C5 Safety and Security

C6 Access

D1 Design

D2 Heritage

G1 Delivery and location of growth

T1 Prioritising walking, cycling and public transport

Camden Planning Guidance

CPG Design (2019) - Section 7 Designing safer environments

CPG Transport (2019) - Section 9 Streets and public spaces

CPG Access for all (2019)

CPG Amenity (2018)

Camden Streetscape Design Manual 2005

Assessment

1.0 Proposal

- 1.1 Confirmation is sought as to whether the installation of a telephone kiosk would require prior approval under Part 16 of Schedule 2 of the GPDO. The proposal is for a telephone call box which measures 1.338m by 0.917m with an overall height of 2.63m, and would be located on the western pedestrian footway. The Kiosk would be a public call box, which comprises wifi and small cell antennas and would allow free calling.
- 1.2The order permits the Council to only consider matters of siting, design and appearance in determining GPDO prior approval applications. The potential impact on crime and public safety are relevant considerations under siting, design, appearance and access.
- 1.3It would have a powder coated steel frame with toughened glass on three sides, and a solar panel on the roof.

2.0 Assessment

- 2.1 In the recent High Court decision in Westminster City Council V SSHCLG [2019] EWHC 176 (Admin) Ouseley J noted that the effect of the GDPO was that "the whole development for which prior approval is sought must fall within the Class relied on, and no part of it can fall outside it" ([37]) in other words, "a proposed development falls outside [the GPDO], if part of it falls outside it" ([39]). Given that the kiosk in that case was partly for the purpose of advertising and not wholly for the purpose of the operator's network it was held that it fell outside the terms of the GPDO. Accordingly, the Inspector erred in allowing the appeal against the refusal of prior approval and his decision was quashed ([48]). This decision confirms that telephone boxes which include advertising capabilities do not benefit from permitted development rights, on the basis that they serve a dual purpose. In this case, the proposals include a digital interactive screen and the size of the structure compared to the telecommunications equipment indicates that it has clearly been designed to accommodate a 6-sheet advertisement. On that basis, the proposed development is considered to fall outside the terms of the GPDO. Notwithstanding the fact the Council consider the development falls outside the terms of the GDPO for the sake of completeness an assessment of the proposals has been made.
- 2.2 Policy A1 of the Camden Local Plan states that the Council will seek to ensure development contributes towards strong and successful communities by balancing the needs of development with the needs and characteristics of local areas and communities, and that the Council will resist development that fails to adequately assess and address transport impacts affecting communities, occupiers, neighbours and the existing transport network. Paragraph 6.10 states that the Council will expect works affecting the highway network to consider highway safety, with a focus on vulnerable road users, including the provision of adequate sightlines for vehicles, and that development should address the needs of vulnerable or disabled users. Furthermore, Policy T1 point e) states that the Council will seek to ensure that developments provide high quality footpaths and pavements that are wide enough for the number of people expected to use them, including features to assist vulnerable road users where appropriate, and paragraph 9.10 of CPG (Transport) highlights that footways should be wide enough for two people using wheelchairs, or prams, to pass each other.
- 2.3 Paragraph 7.38 of CPG Design states: All features within public space and elements of street furniture should be designed to make a positive contribution to community safety and discourage anti-social behaviour. Careful consideration should therefore be given to their location and detailed design. Street furniture should not obstruct pedestrian views or movement or be positioned to encourage anti-social behaviour or concealed areas.
- 2.4 Paragraphs 7.41 and 7.42 of CPG Design provide guidance on telephone boxes (telephone kiosks). Paragraph 7.41 of CPG Design includes the following text: In all cases we will request that the provider demonstrates the need for the siting of the new facility. We will consider whether kiosks add to the street clutter and if there are existing phone kiosks in the vicinity.
- 2.5 Paragraph 7.42 of CPG Design states: All new phone boxes should have a limited impact on the sightlines from or of the footway and should not hamper pedestrian movement. The size of the structure that the phone box is in should be minimised to limit its impact on the streetscene and to decrease the opportunities for crime and anti-social behaviour. The remaining minimum footway width should comply with the Transport for London Streetscape Guidance and Pedestrian Comfort Guidance, and with Camden's Streetscape Design Manual. Designs which are dominated by advertising space are not acceptable. Any advertising should not be placed where it significantly reduces natural surveillance or CCTV coverage of, or into, the call box. Designs should seek to maximise views into and through the phone box and along the footway. Furthermore where any phone infrastructure also includes advertising, the guidance on advertising should be taken into account.
- 2.6 Camden's Streetscape Design manual section 3.01 footway width states the following:

- "Clear footway" is not the distance from kerb to boundary wall, but the unobstructed pathway width within the footway;
- 1.8 metres minimum width needed for two adults passing;
- 3 metres minimum width for busy pedestrian street though greater widths are usually required;
- Keeping the footway width visually free of street furniture is also important, allowing clear sightlines along the street'.
- 2.7 All development affecting footways in Camden is also expected to comply with Appendix B of Transport for London's (TfL's) Pedestrian Comfort Guidance, which notes that active and high flow locations must provide a minimum 2.2m and 3.3m of 'clear footway width' (respectively) for the safe and comfortable movement of pedestrians.
- 2.8 Policy T1 states that the Council will promote sustainable transport choices by prioritising walking, cycling and public transport use and that development should ensure that sustainable transport will be the primary means of travel to and from the site. Policy T1 points a) and b) state that in order to promote walking in the borough and improve the pedestrian environment, the Council will seek to ensure that developments improve the pedestrian environment by supporting high quality improvement works, and make improvements to the pedestrian environment including the provision of high quality safe road crossings where needed, seating, signage and landscaping.
- 2.9 Policy T1 (Public Transport) states that where appropriate, development will be required to provide for interchanging between different modes of transport including facilities to make interchange easy and convenient for all users and maintain passenger comfort.
- 2.10 Paragraph 9.17 and 9.19 of CPG (Transport) seeks improvements to streets and spaces to ensure good quality access and circulation arrangements for all. Ensuring the following:
 - Footways and footpaths should be well lit and well signed, but with care to avoid light pollution and obstructions. Wherever possible, lighting and signs should be placed on buildings or existing street furniture to minimise clutter.
 - Applications for new telephone kiosks on the public highway will be resisted by the Council
 where proposals would result in a detrimental impact on pedestrians and/or the street
 environment. Applications of this nature must demonstrate that they would not interrupt the
 minimum area of footway or footpath required and would not impede or obstruct the desire
 lines for pedestrian movement. This is particularly important for people with protected
 characteristics such as people who are blind or partially sighted. The position of the kiosk
 must be within the existing street furniture zone and must not compromise highway safety or
 prevent kerbside activity such as loading/unloading and parking
- 2.11 Policy C5 of the Camden Local Plan requires development to contribute to community safety and security, and paragraph 4.89 of Policy C5 states that the design of streets needs to be accessible, safe and uncluttered, with careful consideration given to the design and location of any street furniture or equipment. Paragraphs 7.41 and 7.42 of CPG (Design) advise that the proposed placement of a new phone kiosk needs to be considered to ensure that it has a limited impact on the sightlines of the footway, and that the size of the kiosk should be minimised to limit its impact on the streetscene and to decrease opportunities for crime and anti-social behaviour.

3 Siting

- 3.1 Section 3.01 of Camden's Streetscape Design Manual requires a minimum unobstructed pathway width within the footway, known as the 'clear footway'. This guidance and Appendix B of TfL's Pedestrian Comfort Guidance, outlines the recommended minimum footway widths for different levels of pedestrian flows.
- 3.2 Camden's Streetscape Design Manual section 4.01, together with TfL's Pedestrian Comfort

Guidance, states that street furniture should be placed a minimum of 0.45m back from the carriageway.

- 3.3 The application site is located on a pavement measuring approximately 6.3m wide. This area of the footway consistently experiences high pedestrian flows, due to its close proximity to the bus shelter and nearby parade of shops. The proposed telephone kiosk would be positioned along the Kerbside with a 0.5m setback from its edge and be set away from the nearby parade of shops by 4.5m. The proposed telephone kiosk by virtue of its width would be located outside of the established street furniture zone close to the kerbside aligned with existing lampposts and streets signage and would encroach significantly into the effective footway width available for high levels of pedestrian movement accessing local shops, local bus shelter and the nearby Warren Street and Euston Square Underground Station.
- 3.4 The proposed call box would face south bound traffic close to a pedestrian crossing along the road. The officer objected to the proposal due to the proposed location of the call box in close proximity to the signal-controlled junction along Remnant Street. Officers consider the proposal could present potential confusion to road users with respect to a conflict between the illuminated call box and nearby traffic signal heads.
- 3.5 The proposed telephone kiosk in its current and proposed position therefore obscure sightlines along the footway significantly while also constituting a significant impediment/obstruction to pedestrian movement along the pedestrian desire line. This would be a particular problem for pedestrians with visual impairments (e.g. blind and partially sighted) who rely on clear and unobstructed pedestrian routes. The proposed telephone kiosk would therefore constitute an unnecessary obstruction/impediment and a hazard for blind or partially-sighted people.

4 Design and Appearance

- 4.1 Policy D1 aims to ensure the highest design standards for developments. Policy D1 states that the Council will require all developments to be of the highest standard of design and to respect the character, setting, form and scale of neighbouring buildings, its contribution to the public realm, and its impact on wider views and vistas.
- 4.2 It is considered that the replacement phone box design would appear functional and utilitarian within its setting. It would comprise a modern appearance, however it would be bulky when viewed from the front or rear makes it a noticeable and significant piece of street furniture. This element of its appearance makes the apparatus sensitive to poorly considered siting and orientation of the call box.
- 4.3 The proposed unit would be locate on a busy road with already narrow pavement which is already littered with multiple examples of poor street furniture placements on both sides of the road impeding the foot path. The solid metal appearance of the kiosk with a 32" touch screen display, a projecting canopy, and solar panels would have an unacceptable impact the character and appearance of the conservation area and would not be sympathetic to the character and appearance of the conservation area. It would serve to introduce more incongruous visual clutter within the streetscene to the detriment of visual amenity within the public realm.
- 4.4 The Grade II* listed building makes a positive contribution to the character and appearance of the conservation area. The introduction of the kiosk would obscure views of the listed building, and would have an uncharacteristic impact on the setting of it. The proposed call box's design and form would neither preserve nor enhance the established character and appearance of the Kingsway Conservation Area. Officers further consider in its proposed location the proposed call box would detract from the heritage character of the nearby grade II listed buildings contrary to Policy D2.
- 4.5 The replacement call box would be an incongruous addition to this streetscene; adding physical and visual clutter and negatively impact visual legibility along the streetscene and within the surrounding conservation area.

- 4.6 It is considered that the proposed development by virtue of its design would add to the over-proliferation of visual clutter along the streetscene to the detriment of visual amenity of the area through the creation of further unnecessary street clutter. This would also be contrary to the guidance of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) which aims to keep telecommunication sites to a minimum and encourage applicants to explore shared facilities.
- 4.7 The proposed structure is considered to be a very poor design in terms of size, scale, massing and proposed materials, and is not an appropriate or acceptable addition in this location. It would be an obtrusive piece of street furniture in this location detracting from the streetscene. The powder coated steel frame and toughened glass incongruous design would provide an intrusive addition to the street. As such, the proposal would fail to adhere to Policy D1 of the Camden Local Plan.

Access

- 4.8 Policy C6 of the Local Plan requires new buildings, spaces and facilities that the public may use to be fully accessible to promote equality of opportunity. Although the proposed kiosk would allow for wheelchair users to 'access' the kiosk, this does not amount to the provision of a wheelchair accessible phone. The Council's Access Officer has highlighted that there are a number of requirements which need to be considered for an accessible phone booth, including the height of the telephone controls, which should be located between 0.75m and 1.0m above the floor. The telephone controls in the proposed kiosk would be located at a maximum height of 1.2m above the floor, and so the proposed kiosk is considered unacceptable in terms of providing access for all, contrary to Policy C6.
- 4.9 Officers consider the proposal's design does not meet the required accessibility standards. Had the application been considered for approval, additional conditions would have been added requiring its compliance.

5 Anti-social behaviour

- 5.1 With regards to community safety matters, a number of issues have been raised by both the Metropolitan Police Crime Prevention Design Advisor it has been noted that existing telephone kiosks within the London Borough of Camden have become 'crime generators' and a focal point for anti-social behaviour (ASB).
- 5.2 The applicant noted that the proposal would, when necessary, allow the public to make emergency calls. Officers also note that conventional telephone kiosks create discreet areas that foster opportunities for anti-social behaviour, while the proposed structure would not create an enclosure in the same way.
- 5.3 The Metropolitan Police Designing Out Crime Group, in referencing the siting of recently approved communications kiosks throughout London, have highlighted a number of issues that have compromised community safety. Their objection to the proposal has advised that they hold evidence to directly correlate the siting of on street free call facilities increase in drug misuse, dealing and related anti-social behaviour in the vicinity of where they are installed.
- 5.4 Recent appeal decisions relating to prior-approvals to install on-street kiosks in the London Borough of Camden have referenced anti-social behaviour (reference APP/X5210/W/18/3195004 Opposite York Way). Separately, the Planning Inspector, APP/X5210/Z/18/3204104 (outside 297 Euston Road), referred to observations made on a site visit to the vicinity of the proposal. It was explained that kiosks are commonly associated with antisocial behaviour. The function of the structure (although an advertisement in that instance) would highlight the presence of the kiosk, and it would be likely to increase the antisocial behaviour associated with it, especially so after dark. The Inspector noted that this could discourage some pavement users from using the nearby pavement, which would harmfully diminish its function. The presence of illumination would erode the utility of local CCTV recordings made close by, which would unacceptably disrupt endeavours to detect and prevent crime in a part of the street that is

already unusually cluttered. The Inspector concluded that the proposal would harm public safety.

5.5 It is therefore considered that the design and siting of the proposal on this busy footway would introduce additional street clutter, as well as, increase opportunities for crime within a location where there are already safety issues in terms of crime and ASB, through reducing sight lines and natural surveillance in the area, and providing a potential opportunity for an offender to loiter. The proposal would therefore be contrary to Policy C5 and CPG (Design).

6 Conclusion

6.1 The proposal would result in unacceptable street clutter, harmful to the character and appearance of the streetscape and to the detriment of pedestrian flows, as well as creating issues with safety and poor accessibility. The proposal, by virtue of its siting and appearance, is considered unacceptable. Furthermore by virtue of its inappropriate siting, size and design, would fail to reduce opportunities for crime and antisocial behaviour to the detriment of community safety and security, and compromise the safety of those using and servicing the telephone kiosk contrary to policy C5 (Safety and Security) of the London Borough of Camden Local Plan 2017.

7 Recommendation

7.1 Refuse Prior Approval