

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/2964/P	David Jaffe	10/07/2019 17:05:53	INT	I strongly object to this application as I believe it is an over-development of what is currently a small house. Furthermore the amount of disruption and the detrimental effect of already difficult parking in the road will prove to be more of another nuisance. Almost every day I have to wait (sometimes for 30 minutes or more) to find a parking space, especially with so many mothers occupying them without authority.
2019/2964/P	Anthea Williams	10/07/2019 19:25:49	OBJNOT	<p>I am writing to object to the planning application for complete demolition and development of the house at 4b Hampstead Hill Gardens, which is attached to my mother's house at 4a.</p> <p><b>SETTING/STYLE</b></p> <p>As 4b has already been developed from a garage to a house, it is clear that this proposal is over development and that the site has reached its maximum potential. No.4b and No.4a sit in the inside curve of the road and their current size works well in the setting of the surrounded listed buildings. The proposed design is a towering bulk which will destroy the rhythm of the view as one comes around the curve from Pond Street. It is visually unsympathetic to the style of the listed buildings and is out of proportion to No.4a. I know that Camden wish to avoid areas being affected detrimentally by poor design, of which this proposal on a small site must surely be.</p> <p><b>REAR</b></p> <p>The proposed design for the back of the house is overbearing and excessive. The fact is, the existing rear projection at 4b already causes loss of outlook for my mother. If this application was to go ahead, my mother would lose the view of the sky from her kitchen extension and garden, and the garden would be reduced to a hollow under the shadow of an oppressive and invasive mass of building. This application should be rejected due to loss of outlook and amenity.</p> <p><b>BASEMENT</b></p> <p>The extent of the over development is also apparent in the proposal of a large basement. The excavation extends to the back where the garden will also be sunken. The excavation work and the design pose a risk to surrounding buildings, from both flooding and the related risks to houses from disturbance to mature trees. I understand that the proposal does not comply with relevant local policies on basement development and therefore should clearly not be allowed.</p> <p><b>POLICIES</b></p> <p>From my discussions with an expert in planning, I know that this design contravenes many areas of Camden's own policies. These include the undermining of townscape qualities, design policies, the London Plan and the NPPF. If policies are an essential guide to decision making, surely this proposal must be rejected.</p> <p><b>PEOPLE'S HOMES/LIVES</b></p> <p>The strength of feeling against this proposal has united many neighbours in their aim to defend their homes and environment against a plan that is unreasonable and inconsiderate. I know that the applicant has tried to get the neighbours on board, but I am not sure whether he has fully appreciated the negative effect on them and, in particular, on his next door neighbour. Without question, my mother's health will be harmed through anxiety, noise, dust, and loss of privacy during the extensive and lengthy building works. The garden she has tended for the last 16 years will be partly destroyed and her house may suffer structural damage. The appearance of the front of her house, the view from the rear of her home and garden, and the way she can use and enjoy her garden will be permanently impaired.</p> <p>Please let me know the committee date.</p>