

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/2660P	Steven & Sita Eggenhofer	11/07/2019 05:42:40	OBJNOT	<p>████████████████████ No 27 Kings Mews. We strongly object to this retroactive application for the air-conditioning condensers, especially given that the originally granted application was for a green roof and solar panels. We do not understand how the final construction is so inconsistent with the approved application and feel that the company is now only seeking to regularize this flagrant contravention of the building approval once it understood that the residents of the Mews were dissatisfied with the construction completed. Surely this construction change was known a long time ago and could easily have been applied for approval prior to construction. Instead they appear to be presenting a "fait accompli" in the expectation that retroactive approval will be provided given the inconvenience of undoing the completed work.</p> <p>The structure in place is unsightly jutting above the approved roofline and height of the structure and represents an eyesore that is in direct line of sight from our roof terrace. It is inconsistent with all other properties on the mews, many of which have air-conditioning systems but none of which have such ugly ducting systems standing out well above the roof line</p>

---