From: Judith Milner [redacted]
Sent: 07 July 2019 15:20
To: Beales, Danny (Councillor) [redacted] Johnson, Heather (Councillor) [redacted] Planning [redacted] Beaumont, Elizabeth [redacted]
Cc: Olad, Awale (Councillor) [redacted]; Fulbrook, Julian (Councillor) [redacted] Vincent, Sue (Councillor) [redacted]
Subject: RE: Planning alerts - 67-68 Hatton Garden - Application submitted on 20 May 2019

Dear Danny,

Further to my below reply, I have been passed a copy of the CNJ dated 6 June last, and there is yet another letter from a concerned constituent – attached for your ease. I, of course, have no idea as to the accuracy of the 5G radiation waves running on the same parallel as the Meteorological Office standards, even though I have tried to research it.

I had a very slow realisation, however, with regard to the timing of the previous antennae etc which were put on the roof of 67-68 Hatton Garden (the planning application for the current antennae etc on that roof was actually granted on 15 October 2013, the original application – 2013/4986/P – having been registered on 21 August 2013). In about Mar/Apr 2014 my psoriasis re-appeared on my body for the first time in 24 years, and I have had it persistently since then.

I am attaching a link which was posted on Nextdoor.com on Sunday 7 July 2019, in which it states:

Dr. Pall confirmed that the current 2G/3G/4G radiation the population is exposed to has been scientifically linked to: lowered fertility, insomnia, fatigue, depression, anxiety, major changes in brain structure in animals, cellular DNA damage, oxidative stress, hormonal disruption, cancer, and much more. Dr. Pall briefly explained the mechanisms of how the electro-smog emitted by our cell phones, wifi routers, cell phone antennas, and other wireless technologies affect human cells.

https://www.collective-evolution.com/2019/06/27/5g-is-the-stupidest-idea-in-the-history-of-the-world-says-washington-state-professor/
Sun 7 July, Nextdoor.com post

I, personally, suffer from four of the above listed side effects, the majority of which have developed since around the time when the current set of antennae were installed opposite my flat. I accept that perhaps other factors in my life might induce such situations, but it cannot also be ruled out that the antennae which I can view quite plainly from my flat windows might be major contributors to my still suffering from those issues. I imagine that, in all things, human beings have differing levels of tolerance/susceptibility to outside influences, and my own body is seemingly weaker than others when trying to combat these particular effects which I have. The lady who lives immediately above me suffers from mental health issues and is also constantly tired. The man who lives in the flat above her is ill enough that he struggles to walk half a mile without then needing to rest. Our three particular flats are the closest to the majority of the antennae which are located opposite us, so I find that a very peculiar coincidence – especially as all three of us are flat-based for the great majority of our days.

Following on from the latest antennae to be installed on that roof in February last (for which, again, I have seen no prior application lodged with the Council), my psoriasis has exploded to now being all over my body which, in itself, is further stress-inducing. I continue to be exhausted on a daily level, whereby I perform only the necessary functions to get through the day and I need frequent rests.

I now pray that the latest application by 67-68 Hatton Garden will be denied the addition of any more antennae, and hopefully some of the already-existing antennae will be refused replacement after its removal.

Many thanks in advance.

Best,
Judith

From: Judith Milner [redacted]
Sent: 08 June 2019 16:02
To: 'Beales, Danny (Councillor)'; 'Johnson, Heather (Councillor)'; 'Planning'; 'Beaumont, Elizabeth'
Cc: 'Olad, Awale (Councillor)'; 'Fulbrook, Julian (Councillor)'; 'Vincent, Sue (Councillor)'
Subject: RE: Planning alerts - 67-68 Hatton Garden - Application submitted on 20 May 2019

Dear Danny,

Thank you very much for your latest reply.

If, in fact, 5G's signal is not as strong as 4G's signal, does it make sense to add more to one roof instead of their being strategically placed on various rooftops around the borough in order to achieve maximum coverage? To have many more antennae/boxes on one single roof would, if the assumption is correct, only help those in the immediate catchment area of these lesser-spanning 5G signals, rather than helping those who are not within the best reach of such a signal at the time – a valid argument against the addition of yet more of the same on the roof of 67-68 Hatton Garden.

I sense that we in our block may be ultimately defeated in this battle to avoid any more unsightly – and **possibly** life-endangering – antennae on the roof immediately opposite the block, but I would ask that Camden Council at least **strongly enforce** the need for any replacement/additional antennae to be adequately camouflaged in order that we no longer have to look at these eyesores. I would also welcome the investigation of the two north-side antennae which were replaced in February last (again, seemingly with no planning application made for the removal/replacement of such – and the removal of the fake chimney stacks to camouflage them at the same time).

From a personal note, those in the future who may wish to replace me as an occupant of my flat might be off-put by the view from my south/eastern windows, aside from the suggested health risks, and I – including those on the same side of my block who live above me – would very much welcome any such intimidating fixtures would be cached.

I have just researched any radiation emission from satellite dishes and I find that they are no more than receivers from a satellite which is obviously very far away from human beings, and therefore there is no radiation emission. I am now wondering as to whether telecomms providers might also investigate the possibility of converting to receiver satellite dishes in their entirety instead of the radiation-emitting antennae on rooftops, in order to deliver the necessary to their customers. I did also discover that television antennae emit radiation as well … dark times …

Thank you again for your response. We may, this time, have lost the battle, but sometimes you have to lose a battle in order to finally win the war, as they say …

Best wishes,

judith

From: Beales, Danny (Councillor) [redacted]
Sent: 06 June 2019 20:02
To: Judith Milner; Olad, Awale (Councillor); Johnson, Heather (Councillor); Planning; Beaumont, Elizabeth
Cc: Fulbrook, Julian (Councillor); Vincent, Sue (Councillor)
Subject: RE: Planning alerts - 67-68 Hatton Garden - Application submitted on 20 May 2019

Dear Judith

Thank you, I note your objection has been submitted to planning colleagues.

I understand that some individuals are concerned about the health impacts, but again the scientific studies and independent analysis such has by Cancer Research UK (who seem to have quite an interest in preventing Cancers) do not identify any such issues. I do believe we must make decisions based on sound evidence, rather than our personal beliefs and feelings.

For example, yes 5G whilst numerically higher than 4G, is not in fact 'stronger' in terms of its signal, and in fact one of the issues it presents is the distance the signal can travel is I believe less than 4G which might necessitate more signal boxes as a result. It is at a different wavelength to 4G, 3G, etc.

I do use a mobile phone, have wifi, and do live near boxes and masts.

If you have any scientific studies that you can point to that do demonstrate evidence of health impacts, that would certainly be something Camden and national policy makers would consider. Otherwise I do believe we must view these in planning terms, against our guidance and existing policies – shared with you in the last email.

Best wishes,

Danny

Cllr Danny Beales
Cabinet Member for Investing in Communities
(Including Planning, Regeneration & Economic Growth)
Labour Councillor for Cantelowes ward

London Borough of Camden
Town Hall, Judd Street, WC1H 9JE
[redacted]

From: Judith Milner [redacted]
Sent: 06 June 2019 19:08
To: Beales, Danny (Councillor) [redacted]; Olad, Awale (Councillor) [redacted] Johnson, Heather (Councillor) [redacted] Planning [redacted] Beaumont, Elizabeth [redacted]
Cc: Fulbrook, Julian (Councillor) [redacted]; Vincent, Sue (Councillor) [redacted]
Subject: RE: Planning alerts - 67-68 Hatton Garden - Application submitted on 20 May 2019

Dear Danny,

I have just submitted an objection to the above planning application on behalf of our block. Although I appreciate your 'embracing' the favourable views of such antennae according to the view of link y9ou provided re the charitable institution which has offered no negative views toward such, there are many more views which dispute the safety of them. I attach an article which was published in the CNJ on Thurs 30 May last which contradicts the views of those who favour the antennae, and which also calls into question the protective measures that Camden Council takes in order to protect its borough's residents – with the inference that Camden Council is choosing to remain silent with regard to the safety of these antennae, and therefore negating your previous attachment.

The antennae of old were not as powerful as the new 5G networks, and the Lord alone knows as to whether these updated fixtures might, in fact, be even more harmful than the ones first in place. I can only presume that you do not live across the street from such an antenna, but I do …

I would therefore strongly suggest that more investigation be undertook with regard to the safety of such antennae before any pending or future planning applications be granted. Do not rely on the one single view that you offered to me that they are safe, because there is a myriad of others who will dispute that fact … If I get cancer, due to the fact that I live immediately opposite several antennae, who is going to compensate me for the necessary healthcare that I will need if I get cancer? You can bet your life that it will not be Camden Council (who is charged with my personal safety in the first place) or the management company of the buildings the existing ones are installed on, or even the institutions which have taken advantage of those roof areas in order to please their customers, and are benefiting those buildings for such. No compensation will come to those of us who have to live with those antennae right within our line of view.

I therefore hope that you, also, will now object to the above planning application in its current status. I read a further application for antennae to be placed somewhere close to my block in my emails earlier today … Camden may well be in the thick of the centre of London, but it also has a very definite duty to safeguard the health and wellbeing of its own residents at the same time, and the two do not seem to be in harmony just right now …

I await your further response at your earliest convenience.

Best,
Judith

Judith Milner
Secretary, Langdon House Residents' Association
[redacted]

From: Beales, Danny (Councillor) [redacted]
Sent: 02 June 2019 14:01
To: Olad, Awale (Councillor); Johnson, Heather (Councillor); Planning; Beaumont, Elizabeth
Cc: Judy Milner; Fulbrook, Julian (Councillor); Vincent, Sue (Councillor)
Subject: Re: Planning alerts – 67-68 Hatton Garden - Application submitted on 20 May 2019

Dear Awale and Judy,

Thank you for your email.

I note your objections Judy to the new application, and am coping in planning colleagues to pass this on to the lead officer in the assessment of the application.

In terms of the installation of previous masts without permission, I'm copying in Libby whose team can look if this is the case, and if so if there are any enforcement issues which will need to be taken up.

Regarding policy, the council updated it's guidance recently around telecommunications - this can be found here. The guidance requires masts to be kept to a minimum level, to that needed to ensure the adequate working of the network. They should also be visually reduced and camouflaged where possible.

In terms of health concerns this is a good summary of the evidence from an independent charity. Basically there is limited, if any, evidence of such a link - and there is no reason to think the actual signal strength would pose any more harm than having WiFi, a mobile phone, house alarm, TV or other such electrical equipment at home.

Best wishes,

Danny

Cllr Danny Beales
Cabinet Member for Investing in Communities and an Inclusive Economy
(Includes Planning, Regeneration & Economic Development)
Labour Councillor for Cantelowes ward

London Borough of Camden
Town Hall, Judd Street, WC1H 9JE
[redacted]

From: Olad, Awale (Councillor) [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2019 2:57 pm
To: Beales, Danny (Councillor); Johnson, Heather (Councillor)
Cc: Judy Milner; Fulbrook, Julian (Councillor); Vincent, Sue (Councillor)
Subject: Fwd: Planning alerts – 67-68 Hatton Garden - Application submitted on 20 May 2019

Danny/Heather

Judith Milner makes very important points in this email and I would be grateful for serious consideration of our antenna strategy. The huge concentration and continuous proliferation in our ward is now a major concern. Could we please have the council take a serious look at this situation and put an immediate moratorium on any further applications being submitted and or approved?

Thanks

Awale

[redacted]

From: Judith Milner [redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, May 29, 2019 12:21 pm
To: Fulbrook, Julian (Councillor); Vincent, Sue (Councillor); Olad, Awale (Councillor)
Subject: FW: Planning alerts – 67-68 Hatton Garden - Application submitted on 20 May 2019

Dear Councillors,

I write with regard to the below planning application for 67-68 New House, opposite Langdon House.

We are quite horrified that an application has been submitted to add yet more unsightly fixtures to the roof of that building – we already have more monstrosities staring back at us than we would wish for on that roof.

I read a couple of articles published in the CNJ on Thursday 16 May 2019 (attached for your ease) which refer directly to the installation of upgraded communications dishes/poles for the 5G networks – each of those articles stated the fear that these fixtures are still not known to be entirely safe – and you will see that one of the articles mentions various residents of a block very near to one of them getting – and subsequently dying from – cancer.

Having read the proposed plans for the renewal of the existing fixtures, I have to report that two of the antennae on the north side of 67-68 Hatton Garden were actually replaced in February of this year, for which I have seen **no planning application** at all – those particular antennae were originally housed within fake chimney stacks (pic attached) which at least made them seem more in keeping with a rooftop view, but the replacements have not been housed the same way and are therefore staring at us in their bare glory (further pic attached).

To now note that the current application includes the addition of many more antennae is of great concern, not only due to the unknown health risks, but also because they are very much an eyesore to those of us who can see them very clearly. From my own front room window at the 8th floor of Langdon House, I can see most of them, so everyone on the floors above me can see the same and more. It is also of concern that the addition of any more antennae will surely devalue our properties, and we would have no recourse for compensation – on either health or financial grounds – against those who will be gaining the best financial benefit from this application.

Neighbours in our block have already died of cancer (one of our residents is currently on her third separate bout of cancer, after having had the previous two cancers cut out), so we strongly object to any more antennae being fitted so close in a heavily-residential place. The local schools should have cause for concern also, yet I note that nobody has passed any comment on the application so far.

I now ask that you all please object very strongly to the planning application submitted for any additional antennae to be fixed to that roof, and I would greatly appreciate if you would also request that any renewed antennae be housed in a way similar to the chimney stacks in my above photo, so that we no longer have to tolerate the unsightly view that we currently have. I will be doing likewise, on behalf of all of our residents. I am sure the updated antennae will reach very far with their radiation waves, so those in the locality who have not seen the application posted will be very grateful to learn that we are trying to preserve their health and their sanity also.

Many thanks in advance.

Best,

Judith

Judith Milner

Secretary, Langdon House Residents' Association

[redacted]

From: [redacted]

Sent: 21 May 2019 06:28

To: [redacted]

Subject: Planning alerts – London Borough of Camden

Camden Town Hall is closed for refurbishment. From September 2018 our planning committee meetings will be held at:

Crowndale Centre
218 Eversholt Street
London NW1 1BD

New and updated planning applications within **1000 metres** of **Flat 34 Langdon House 60 Leather Lane London EC1N 7TN**.

New applications (2)

67-68 New House Hatton Garden London EC1N 8JY

Upgrade of existing telecoms equipment involving; the replacement of 3 no. existing antennas, 2 no. dishes and 1 no. cabinet with 6 no. new antennas, 2 no. dishes and 2 equipment cabinets. 3 Remote Radio Units (RRU) will be removed and replaced with 9 RRUs . Antennas, dishes, the retained GPS unit and RRUs will be supported on 2 replacement support frames and 1 additional support frames.

Application number: 2019/2362/P
Application type: Full Planning Permission

View Application

Page House 39-41 Parker Street London WC2B 5PQ

Display of 1x halo illuminated fascia sign and 1x internally illuminated projecting sign to front elevation of cinema.

Application number: 2019/1455/A
Application type: Advertisement Consent

View Application

Please give feedback to help us improve this service.

If you no longer want to receive emails from us regarding these criteria you canunsubscribe.

error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer. See our new Privacy Notice here which tells you how we store and process the data we hold about you and residents.

This e-mail may contain information which is confidential, legally privileged and/or copyright protected. This e-mail is intended for the addressee only. If you receive this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from your computer. See our new Privacy Notice here which tells you how we store and process the data we hold about you and residents.

CNT - THURS 06/6/19

the different nationalities in the ward – we currently have 10 different nationalities with plots on the allotment and we are all volunteers.

DANNY GALLIVAN
Chair
Regent's Park Gardening Association

Waves are worrying

❑ I DO my best to avoid this kind of wifi internet pollution (Louis Loizou's Why the silence on phone masts? *Letters*, May 30).

I don't have a mobile phone, use a plug-in line for internet connection, land line telephone that plugs into the wall, have no microwave cooker, and I turn off my internet connection whenever I am not using it. But, try as I might, I know I am surrounded by these waves from many sources, and phone connections and internet signals from neighbours.

What worries me now is the news that the weather forecasting services cannot see through the 5G signals to spot clouds that carry rain, the wavelength of the 5G signal being the same as the wavelength of water.

Our bodies are approximately 70 per cent water; if the 5G wavelengths are the same as those of water in our bodies, surely this is going to have some powerful impact on the subtle energies of our bodies' health.

I wonder how long it is going to take for us to take seriously the electromagnetic frequencies used for mobile phones etc as a potential health hazard.

It took many decades to identify cancer linked to smoking, endocrine disruption of DDT and plastics.

Could the all-pervasive signals be in part why everyone is so angry in London when 10 years ago this was not the norm? Are these signals already disrupting our ability to focus, control our emotions, be patient?

If any one knows how to protect our homes from these much stronger 5G signals I would be grateful if they would write in to let us "worried" know what to do.

I still find it worrying that I am being bombarded by wifi internet signals from neighbours several houses away, day and night, and there is nothing I can do to prevent that.

E JOHNSTON
Sumatra Road, NW6

Tell us what you think email to letters@camdennewjournal.com