

GHA Trees
5 South Drive
High Wycombe
Bucks
HP13 6JU



Glen Harding
MSc (Forestry), MA ArborA
t: 07884 056025
e: glen@ghatrees.co.uk
www.ghatrees.co.uk

Arboricultural and Planning Integration Report: 24 Wedderburn Road, London, NW3 5QG

17th January 2019

Ref: GHA/DS/126360:19

CONTENTS

Section	Subject	Page
	Instructions	3
	Executive Summary	3
	Documents Supplied	4
	Scope of Survey	4
	Survey Method	5
	The Site	6
	Subject Trees	6
	The Proposal	6
	Arboricultural Impact Assessment	6
	Post Development Pressure	7
	Tree Protection Measures and Preliminary Method Statement for Development Works	8
	Conclusion	10
	Recommendations	10
Appendix A	Site Plan	
Appendix B	Tree Table	
Appendix C	Extract from BS5837 – Protective Fencing	

Arboricultural Report

Location: 24 Wedderburn Road, London, NW3 5QG
Ref: GHA/DS/126360:19
Client: Akelius
Date: 17th January 2019
Report Prepared by: Glen Harding MSc (Forestry), MArborA
Date of Inspection: 29th December 2018

Please note that abbreviations introduced in (brackets) may be used throughout the report.

Instructions

Issued by – Akelius

TERMS OF REFERENCE – GHA Trees were instructed to survey the subject trees within and adjacent to 24 Wedderburn Road, London, NW3 5QG, in order to assess their general condition and to provide a planning integration statement for the indicative proposed development that safeguards the long term well being of the retained trees in a sustainable manner.

The writer retains the copyright of this report and its content is for the sole use of the client(s) named above. Copying of this document may only be undertaken in connection with the above instruction. Reproduction of the whole, or any part of the document without written consent from GHA Trees is forbidden. Tree work contractors, for the purpose of tendering only, may reproduce the Schedule for tree works included in the appendices.

Executive Summary

The proposal for the site is to renovate the existing structure, works that will include an extension to the rear. The proposed scheme requires the removal of a small number of relatively insignificant trees and shrubs, which will not significantly impact the local or wider landscape. The development presents an excellent opportunity to plant some new trees, to enhance the site and local area for the future. The retained trees require protection in accordance with industry best practice and BS 5837: 2012 – Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – recommendations, in order to ensure their longevity.

Documents Supplied

Akelius supplied the following documents:

1. Existing layout plans
2. Proposed layout plans
3. Existing elevation plans
4. Proposed elevation plans

Scope of Survey

- 1.1 The survey is concerned with the arboricultural aspects of the site only.
- 1.2 The planning status of the subject property was not investigated in detail.
- 1.3 A qualified Arboriculturist undertook the report and site visit and the contents of this report are based on this. Whilst reference may be made to built structure or soils, these are only opinions and confirmation should be obtained from a qualified expert as required.
- 1.4 Trees in third party ownership were surveyed from within the subject property, therefore a detailed assessment was not possible and some (if not all) measurements were estimated. Where the stem location of a third party tree has been estimated, this is noted on the plan.
- 1.5 No discussions took place between the surveyor and any other party.
- 1.6 The trees were inspected on the basis of the Visual Tree Assessment method expounded by Mattheck and Breleor (The body language of tree, DoE booklet Research for Amenity Trees No. 4, 1994)
- 1.7 The survey was undertaken in accord with British Standard 5837: 2012 – Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – recommendations.
- 1.8 The client's attention is drawn to the responsibilities under the Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981).

Survey Method

- 2.1 The survey was conducted from ground level with the aid of binoculars if needed.
- 2.2 No tissue samples were taken nor was any internal investigation of the subject trees undertaken.
- 2.3 No soil samples were taken.

- 2.4 The height of each subject tree was estimated using a clinometer and recorded to the nearest half metre.
- 2.5 The stem diameter for each tree was measured in line with the requirements set out in BS 5837: 2012 – Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – recommendations.
- 2.6 The crown spreads were measured with an electronic distometer and recorded to the nearest half metre. Where the crown radius was notably different in any direction this has been noted on the Plan (appendix A) and within the tree table (Appendix B). The crowns of those trees that are proposed for removal, or trees where the crown spread is deemed insignificant in relation to the proposed development are not always shown on the appended plan; however their stem locations are marked for reference.
- 2.7 The Root Protection Area (RPA) for each tree is included in the tree table, both as an area, and as the radius of a circle.
- 2.8 The crown clearance was measured using a clinometer and recorded to the nearest half metre. Where it is significantly lower in one direction, this is noted within the tree table at appendix B.
- 2.9 All of the trees that were inspected during the site visit are detailed on the plan at Appendix A; this plan was produced in colour and **MUST** only be scanned or reproduced in colour. The trees on this plan are categorised and shown in the following format:

COLOUR CODING AND RATING OF TREES:

Category A – Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years. Colour = light green crown outline on plan.

Category B – Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years. Colour = mid blue crown outline on plan.

Category C – Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm. Colour = uncoloured crown outline on plan.

Category U – Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years. Colour = red crown outline on plan.

All references to tree rating are made in accordance with BS 5837: 2012 – Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – recommendations’, Table 1.

The Site

- 3.1 The site is located on Wedderburn Road, a residential through road located in the Hampstead area of north London.

The Subject Trees

- 4.1 The details of the subject trees are set out in the Schedule at Appendix B.
- 4.2 Of the ten individual trees, and groups of trees surveyed, three have been assessed as BS 5837 category B, with the remaining seven trees being assessed as BS 5837 category C.

Category B	3 trees
Category C	7 trees

The Proposal

- 5.1 The proposal for the site is to renovate the existing structure, works that will include an extension to the rear.
- 5.2 The proposed location of the above structures can be seen on the appended plan.

Arboricultural Impact Assessment

PROPOSED TREE REMOVAL / RETENTION:

- 6.1 The following trees are proposed for removal after an assessment of their position in relation to the new structure(s) and the potential impact on their RPAs and crowns that the proposed new development will have:

T4 and T5

T4 is growing too close to the existing house and boundary wall and its crown and stem have the potential to cause damage to both structures. This tree will require removal in the short term regardless of the development. T5 is also growing close to / within the boundary wall so will require removal regardless of the development.

- 6.2 Both trees have been given a C category grading in accordance with BS 5837. It is therefore felt that these trees should not act as a limitation on the effective

use of the site, or impose any significant constraints on the layout (see table 1 BS5837).

- 6.3 The assessed grading (as per BS5837 table 1) of each of the trees to be removed, as well as any relevant comments on their condition can be seen in the tree table at appendix B.

TREE PRUNING TO ACCOMODATE THE PROPOSAL OR ACCESS TO THE SITE

- 6.4 The implementation of the proposal does not lead to the requirement to prune any of the retained trees, or shrubs.
- 6.5 There is no part of the new structure which will have tree canopies (from trees to be retained) overhanging it and the building works can progress safely without the need for any facilitation pruning.

ASSESSMENT OF RETAINED TREES ROOT PROTECTION AREAS

- 6.6 Section 4.6.3 of BS 5837: 2012 states that the Root Protection Area (RPA) of each tree should be assessed by an arboriculturalist considering the likely morphology and disposition of the roots, when known to be influenced by past or existing site conditions.
- 6.7 The assessed RPAs (excluding the RPAs of U category trees and those trees which are proposed for removal) can be seen on the appended plan.
- 6.8 The RPAs of T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T7, G8 and G9 been amended to take account of the existing boundary walls as can be seen on the appended plan.
- 6.9 The other RPAs have been drawn as notional circles, as there are no structures within their RPAs that have been assessed to significantly impact the root layout.
- 6.10 The proposed new building(s) are situated outside of the assessed RPA's of all of the trees proposed for retention, therefore these trees pose no below ground constraints on the new buildings or vice versa.

Post Development Pressure

FUTURE TREE AND STRUCTURE RELATIONSHIPS

- 7.1 The retained trees are at a satisfactory distance from the proposed new building, and highly unlikely to give rise to any inconvenience.
- 7.2 Regular inspections of the retained trees by a suitably qualified Arboriculturalist and subsequent remedial works will ensure that the trees are maintained in a suitable manner, to exist in harmony with the new structures and its occupants for many years to come.

REMIEDIATION / REPLACEMENT PLANTING AND SOFT / HARD LANDSCAPING

- 7.3 An assessment of suitable planting sites within the proposed development area confirms that the loss of trees discussed in section 6.1 can be addressed by the planting of new trees that would complement the existing landscape.
- 7.4 Any new trees that are planted should be selected to ensure they do not become a nuisance and that the level of routine maintenance is low.
- 7.5 All new pathways and soft landscaping areas within the Root Protection Areas (RPA's) of the retained trees should be designed using no-dig, up and over construction and in close co-ordination with the retained Arboriculturalist using porous materials.

Tree Protection Measures and Preliminary Method Statement for Development Works

8.1 TREE PRUNING / REMOVAL

A list of all tree works that are required (including trees to be removed) is included in the tree table at Appendix B. Pruning / removal has only been specified for the following reasons:

- Where work is necessary to implement the proposed scheme.
- Where works are required for safety reasons.
- Where work is required to improve tree form, or improve the appearance of overgrown areas of the site.

Where any tree work is needed, this work will be in accordance with British Standard 3998 – 2010 (Tree Work - Recommendations).

8.2 TREE PROTECTION BARRIERS

It is essential for the future health of the trees to be retained on site, that all development activity is undertaken outside the root protection zone of these trees, whenever this is practical. The position of the proposed protective fencing for the site is shown on the plan 'Appendix A' by a pink line. The position of the fence is to be marked out with biodegradable marker paint on site and agreed with appropriate representatives from the LPA and contractor. The fencing will be erected **prior** to any works in the vicinity of the trees and removed only when all development activity is complete. The protective fencing will be as that shown in BS 5837 (see Appendix C). The herras panels must be joined together using a minimum of two anti-tamper couplers which must be installed so they can only be removed from the inside of the fence. The panels should be supported by stabilizer struts, which must be installed on the inside and secured to the ground using pins or appropriate weights.

The Fence must be marked with a clear sign reading:

“Construction Exclusion Zone – No Access”

8.3 DELIVERY AND STORAGE OF BUILDING MATERIALS

Due to the limited on-site storage space, it may be necessary for bulk deliveries to be split into smaller deliveries. The use of a "just in time" delivery method can also be adopted to reduce the time materials are stored on site before use.

8.4 SITE HUTS, WELFARE FACILITIES AND STORAGE OF EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND CHEMICALS

All site huts will be positioned outside of the retained trees RPA's.

8.5 MIXING OF CONCRETE

All mixing of cement / concrete must be undertaken outside of the RPA of all of the retained trees.

8.6 USE CRANES, RIGS AND BOOMS

Precautionary measures must be observed to avoid contact of any retained trees when manoeuvring cranes rigs or booms into position.

8.7 INCOMING SERVICES AND SOAKAWAYS

Any new underground services near to trees will however need to be installed in accord with the guidance given in BS5837 together with the National Joint Utilities Group Booklet 4: 2007 Guidelines for the planning, installation and maintenance of utility services in proximity to trees (NJUG4). When within the RPA of any retained tree, any new service trenches should be excavated using an airspade to avoid any damage to roots. Care must then be taken to ensure the new services are installed so as to avoid any roots present.

8.8 ON SITE SUPERVISION

A detailed supervision programme will be devised by the developer and retained Arboriculturalist, ensuring that Arboricultural supervision is present at the appropriate periods during construction. It is therefore deemed necessary for the retained arboriculturalist to visit the site at the following critical points:

- Erection of protective fencing to ensure it is constructed to the correct specification at the required proximity to ensure the healthy retention of the trees. **Date and time yet to be agreed, however once confirmed, these dates will be sent to the Local Planning Authorities Arboricultural Officer.**
- In addition to the above, random inspections of the site may also be undertaken during construction to ensure the Arboricultural responsibilities are being fulfilled by the developer. A full, written assessment of each visit will be sent the Local Planning Authority and copied to the developer at the expense of the applicant. Any issues relating to tree protection will subsequently be addressed immediately.

8.9 OTHER TREE PROTECTION PRECAUTIONS

- No fires lit on site within 20 metres of any tree to be retained.
- No fuels, oils or substances which will be damaging to the tree shall be spilled or poured on site.
- No storage of any materials within the root protection zone.

8.10 HARD / SOFT LANDSCAPING NEAR RETAINED TREES

All new pathways and hard landscaping areas within the Root Protection Areas (RPA's) of the retained trees should be designed using no-dig, up and over construction techniques, and be specified in close co-ordination with the retained Arboriculturalist. Porous materials should also be used when surfacing near the trees. No machinery will be used for this work, which must all be done by hand.

8.11 DISMANTLING PROTECTIVE BARRIERS

Protective barriers must only be completely removed when all machinery, and equipment has left site. A minimum of seven days notice must be given to the local planning authority prior to dismantling works begin.

Conclusion

- 9.1 In conclusion, the principal arboricultural features within the site can be retained and adequately protected during development activities.
- 9.2 Subject to precautionary measures as detailed above, the proposal will not be injurious to trees to be retained.
- 9.3 There will be no appreciable post development pressure, and certainly none that would oblige the council to give consent to inappropriate tree works.
- 9.4 New trees and shrubs can be planted following approval from the Local Planning Authority to ensure a sustainable tree stock for the future.

Recommendations

- 10.1 The site works should progress as follows to ensure the healthy retention of the trees.
 - a. Tree works, in accordance with BS3998
 - b. Installation of all tree protection measures.
 - c. Construction.
 - d. Soft landscaping.
- 10.2 Site supervision – An individual e.g. the Site Agent, must be nominated to be responsible for all arboricultural matters on site. This person must:
 - a. Be present on the site the majority of the time.
 - b. Be aware of the arboricultural responsibilities.
 - c. Have the authority to stop any work that is, or has the potential to cause harm to any tree.
 - d. Be responsible for ensuring that all site personnel are aware of their responsibilities towards trees on site and the consequences of the failure to observe those responsibilities.

e. Make immediate contact with the local authority and / or retained arboriculturalist in the event of any related tree problems occurring whether actual or potential.

10.3 It is recommended, that to ensure a commitment from all parties to the healthy retention of the trees, that details are passed by the architect or agent to any contractors working on site, so that the practical aspects of the above precautions are included in their method statements, and financial provision made for these.

17th January 2019

Signed:

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Glen Harding', written in a cursive style.

Glen Harding MSc (Forestry), MArborA
For and on behalf of GHA Trees

Appendix A

Appendix B

Tree Number	Tree Name (species)	Ht (m)	Calculated Stem Diameter (mm)	Number of Stems	Root Protection Area (Radius, m)	N (m)	E (m)	S (m)	W (m)	Age Class	Clearance (m)	Estimated life expectancy	BS Category	Comments / Recommendations
T1	Lime	12	190	1	2.28	4	4	4	4	MA	3	20-40	B1	Local authority owned street tree.
T2	Lime	14	530	1	6.36	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	M	5	20-40	B1	Local authority owned street tree. Crown reduced in past.
T3	Silver birch	24	350	1	4.20	4.5	3.5	4.5	4.5	M	4	20-40	B1	Off site - full inspection not possible.
T4	Sycamore	16	330	1	3.96	5	5	5	5	MA	5	10-20	C1	Self set tree. Growing too close to house and wall; will become an issue for structures and occupants if retained. Recommend: to be removed.
T5	Elder	5	110	1	1.32	1	3	3	0	M	1 (east)	10-20	C1	Self set tree. Recommend: to be removed.
T6	Sycamore	9	156	2	1.87	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	MA	3	10-20	C1	Self set tree.
T7	Sycamore	12	200	1	2.40	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	MA	5 (east)	10-20	C1	Off site - full inspection not possible.
G8	Birch and olive	12	150	1	1.80	4	4	4	4	MA	4 (east)	10-20	C2	Off site - full inspection not possible.
G9	Sycamore	14	669	5	8.03	4	4	4	4	M	5	10-20	C2	Heavily topped in past.
T10	Unknow (mulberry)	10	300	1	3.60	4	4	4	4	M	3	10-20	C1	Off site - full inspection not possible.

KEY :

Tree No: (T= individual tree, G= group of trees, W= woodland)

Age class: Young (Y), Middle aged (MA), Mature (M), Over mature (OM),
Veteran (V)

Height (Ht): Measured in metres +/- 1m

Appendix C

Figure 3 Examples of above-ground stabilizing systems



