GHA Trees 5 South Drive High Wycombe Bucks HP13 6JU



Glen Harding MSc (Forestry), MArborA t: 07884 056025 e: info@ghatrees.co.uk www.ghatrees.co.uk

# Arboricultural and Planning Integration Report: 178 Royal College Street, Camden, NW1 0SP

24<sup>th</sup> June 2019

Ref: GHA/DS/126760:19



## **CONTENTS**

Section	Subject	Page
	Instructions	3
	Executive Summary	3
	Documents Supplied	4
	Scope of Survey	4
	Survey Method	5
	The Site	6
	Subject Trees	6
	The Proposal	6
	Arboricultural Impact Assessment	6
	Post Development Pressure	7
	Tree Protection Measures and Preliminary Method Statement for Development Works	8
	Conclusion	9
	Recommendations	9
Appendix A	Site Plan	
Appendix B	Tree Table	

# **Arboricultural Report**

Location: 178 Royal College Street, Camden, NW1 OSP

Ref: GHA/DS/126760:19 Client: Delve Architects

Date: 24<sup>th</sup> June 2019

Report Prepared by: Glen Harding MSc (Forestry), MArborA

Date of Inspection: 20th June 2019

Please note that abbreviations introduced in (brackets) may be used throughout the report.

#### **Instructions**

### **Issued by - Delve Architects**

TERMS OF REFERENCE – GHA Trees were instructed to survey the subject trees within and adjacent to 178 Royal College Street, in order to assess their general condition and to provide a planning integration statement for the indicative proposed development that safeguards the long term well being of the retained trees in a sustainable manner.

The writer retains the copyright of this report and it content is for the sole use of the client(s) named above. Copying of this document may only be undertaken in connection with the above instruction. Reproduction of the whole, or any part of the document without written consent from GHA Trees is forbidden. Tree work contractors, for the purpose of tendering only, may reproduce the Schedule for tree works included in the appendices.

## **Executive Summary**

The proposal for the site is to construct a new rear extension which will include a roof terrace and steps to the rear courtyard. The retained trees require protection in accordance with industry best practice and BS 5837: 2012 – Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – recommendations, in order to ensure their longevity.

#### **Documents Supplied**

Delve Architects supplied the following documents:

- 1. Existing layout plans
- 2. Proposed layout plans
- 3. Existing elevation plans
- 4. Proposed elevation plans

#### **Scope of Survey**

- 1.1 The survey is concerned with the arboricultural aspects of the site only.
- 1.2 The planning status of the subject property was not investigated in detail.
- 1.3 A qualified Arboriculturist undertook the report and site visit and the contents of this report are based on this. Whilst reference may be made to built structure or soils, these are only opinions and confirmation should be obtained from a qualified expert as required.
- 1.4 No discussions took place between the surveyor and any other party.
- 1.5 The trees were inspected on the basis of the Visual Tree Assessment method expounded by Mattheck and Breleor (The body language of tree, DoE booklet Research for Amenity Trees No. 4, 1994)
- 1.6 The survey was undertaken in accord with British Standard 5837: 2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction recommendations.
- 1.7 Underground services near to trees will need to be installed in accord with the guidance given in BS5837 together with the National Joint Utilities Group Booklet 4: 2007 Guidelines for the planning, installation and maintenance of utility services in proximity to trees (NJUG4).
- 1.8 The client's attention is drawn to the responsibilities under the Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981).

#### **Survey Method**

- 2.1 The survey was conducted from ground level with the aid of binoculars if needed.
- 2.2 No tissue samples were taken nor was any internal investigation of the subject trees undertaken.
- 2.3 No soil samples were taken.

- 2.4 The height of each subject tree was estimated using a clinometer and recorded to the nearest half metre.
- 2.5 The stem diameter for each tree was measured in line with the requirements set out in BS 5837: 2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction recommendations.
- 2.6 The crown spreads were measured with an electronic distometer and recorded to the nearest half metre. Where the crown radius was notably different in any direction this has been noted on the Plan (appendix A) and within the tree table (Appendix B). The crowns of those trees that are proposed for removal, or trees where the crown spread is deemed insignificant in relation to the proposed development are not always shown on the appended plan; however their stem locations are marked for reference.
- 2.7 The Root Protection Area (RPA) for each tree is included in the tree table, both as an area, and as the radius of a circle.
- 2.8 The crown clearance was measured using a clinometer and recorded to the nearest half metre. Where it is significantly lower in one direction, this is noted within the tree table at appendix B.
- 2.9 All of the trees that were inspected during the site visit are detailed on the plan at Appendix A; this plan was produced in colour and **MUST** only be scanned or reproduced in colour. The trees on this plan are categorised and shown in the following format:

#### COLOUR CODING AND RATING OF TREES:

Category A – Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years. Colour = light green crown outline on plan.

Category B – Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years. Colour = mid blue crown outline on plan.

Category C – Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm. Colour = uncoloured crown outline on plan.

Category U – Those in such a condition that they cannot realisitically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years. Colour =  $\frac{1}{100}$  crown outline on plan.

All references to tree rating are made in accordance with BS 5837: 2012 – Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – recommendations', Table 1.

#### **The Site**

3.1 The site is located on Royal College Street, a residential through road located in the Camden area of North London.

#### **The Subject Trees**

4.1 The details of the subject trees are set out in the Schedule at Appendix B.

#### **The Proposal**

- 5.1 The proposal for the site is to construct a new rear extension which will include a roof terrace and steps to the rear courtyard.
- 5.2 The proposed location of the above structures can be seen on the appended plan.

#### **Arboricultural Impact Assessment**

#### PROPOSED TREE REMOVAL / RETENTION:

6.1 The proposed site layout and all of its associated structures allows for the healthy retention of T1 and T2, subject to the precautionary measures as laid out below.

#### TREE PRUNING TO ACCOMODATE THE PROPOSAL OR ACCESS TO THE SITE

6.2 The implementation of the proposal does not lead to the requirement to prune the retained trees.

#### ASSESSMENT OF RETAINED TREES ROOT PROTECTION AREAS

- 6.3 Section 4.6.3 of BS 5837: 2012 states that the Root Protection Area (RPA) of each tree should be assessed by an arboriculturalist considering the likely morphology and disposition of the roots, when known to be influenced by past or existing site conditions.
- 6.4 The root layout of T1 and T2 is difficult to assess given the presence of the structures within the rear garden. It is however likely that the wall and level differentials to the north will have restricted root growth in this direction.

6.5 The proposed new structure would be situated within / near to the Root Protection Areas of T1 and T2 as can be seen on the appended plan. The construction design process has shown consideration of this issue (of working within the RPA) by specifying the use of specialised / hand excavated foundations which will be installed to avoid any major (over 25mm) roots that may be present. The new structure will sit behind the low level retaining wall to the west of the trees, which is also likely to act as some form of root barrier, making the presence of roots unlikely. The new steps will also be installed carefully to avoid any major tree roots and the superstructure will avoid the tree stems. The site access is such that all work will be done by hand, using hand tools only and under close supervision.

#### **INSTALLATION OF SERVICES**

- The installation of underground apparatus and drainage systems with the use of mechanical excavators will undoubtedly sever any roots that may be present and can change the hydrology and structure of the nearby soil in a way that will adversely affect the health of any nearby trees. Particular care should therefore be taken when assessing the layout of new services and consideration **MUST** be given to the methods of installation of **ALL** underground apparatus.
- 6.7 From an assessment of the subject site, undertaken in conjunction with the project architect, the existing drainage system has been assessed as suitable for re-use and it is assumed that the electric and gas cabling is also satisfactory. Therefore, there is no reason to assume that any new service installations will be required within the RPAs of any trees.

#### **GENERAL**

6.8 The protective measures as detailed in section 8 will ensure that no significant root severance or soil compaction / erosion occurs near the retained trees.

#### **Post Development Pressure**

#### FUTURE TREE AND STRUCTURE RELATIONSHIPS

- 7.1 The retained trees are at a satisfactory distance from the proposed new building, and highly unlikely to give rise to any inconvenience.
- 7.2 Regular inspections of the retained trees by a suitably qualified Arboriculturalist and subsequent remedial works will ensure that the trees are maintained in a suitable manner, to exist in harmony with the new structures and its occupants for many years to come.

# <u>Tree Protection Measures and Preliminary Method Statement for Development</u> Works

#### 8.1 TREE PROTECTION BARRIERS

The stem of each tree will be protected with wooden hoarding to height of 2.4m.

The Fence must be marked with a clear sign reading:

#### "Construction Exclusion Zone - No Access"

8.2 GROUND PROTECTION – LIGHTWEIGHT ACCESS ONLY The existing hard surfacing will act as ground protection.

#### 8.3 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE NEW BUILDING AND STEPS

- The locations of the supporting piles / pads for the steps is easily changeable, and the exact locations for them will be confirmed following hand excavated, trial digs of the top 1000mm of each potential hole (this is where the majority of roots exist).
- Hand tool excavations will only be undertaken by fully briefed site personnel. This operation will be done slowly and carefully to ensure the retention and protection of any roots that are discovered that are in excess of 25mm. These roots MUST then be covered and protected using damp hessian whilst further excavation commences; hessian must be left in situ until backfilling commences and re-wetted if needed to avoid root desiccation. NOTE: OPERATIVES MUST CHECK FOR THE PRESENCE OF ANY EXISTING UNDERGROUND SERVICES PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF SUCH WORK.
- Any roots discovered in these trial pits in excess of 25mm diameter will immediately signal the requirement for a change of pit location.
- Once the trial holes are excavated to the correct depth, care must then be taken to ensure the new piles / pads are installed so as to avoid any roots present. Any roots that require pruning (those less than 25mm diameter) should be cut using sharp tools to leave a 'clean' cut, in order to minimise the risk of infection by decay pathogens.

#### 8.4 ROOT PRUNING

Where any root pruning is required, this work will be in accordance with British Standard 3998: 2010 – Recommendations for tree work. Any such root pruning should be undertaken by the retained arboriculturalist.

# 8.5 DELIVERY AND STORAGE OF BUILDING MATERIALS

Due to the limited on-site storage space, it may be necessary for bulk deliveries to be split into smaller deliveries. The use of a "just in time" delivery method can also be adopted to reduce the time materials are stored on site before use.

8.6 SITE HUTS, WELFARE FACILITIES AND STORAGE OF EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS AND CHEMICALS

All site huts will be positioned outside of the retained trees RPA's.

#### 8.7 MIXING OF CONCRETE

All mixing of cement / concrete <u>must</u> be undertaken outside of the RPA of all of the retained trees.

#### 8.8 INCOMING SERVICES, DRAINAGE AND SOAKAWAYS

From an assessment of the subject site, undertaken in conjunction with the project architect, the existing drainage system has been assessed as suitable for re-use, and it is assumed that the electric and gas cabling is also satisfactory.

#### 8.9 ON SITE SUPERVISION

Regular site supervision is essential to ensure all potentially damaging activities near to trees are correctly supervised. A pre start meeting will occur to ensure all parties are aware of their responsibilities relating to tree protection on site; this will include a site induction for key personnel.

#### 8.10 OTHER TREE PROTECTION PRECAUTIONS

- No fires lit on site within 20 metres of any tree to be retained.
- No fuels, oils or substances with will be damaging to the tree shall be spilled or poured on site.
- No storage of any materials within the root protections zone.

#### 8.11 DISMANTLING PROTECTIVE BARRIERS

Protective barriers must only be completely removed when all machinery, and equipment has left site.

#### **Conclusion**

- 9.1 In conclusion, the principal arboricultural features within the site can be retained and adequately protected during development activities.
- 9.2 Subject to precautionary measures as detailed above, the proposal will not be injurious to trees to be retained.

#### **Recommendations**

- 10.1 Site supervision An individual e.g. the Site Agent, must be nominated to be responsible for all arboricultural matters on site. This person must:
  - a. Be present on the site the majority of the time.
  - b. Be aware of the arboricultural responsibilities.
  - c. Have the authority to stop any work that is, or has the potential to cause harm to any tree.

- d. Be responsible for ensuring that <u>all</u> site personnel are aware of their responsibilities towards trees on site and the consequences of the failure to observe those responsibilities.
- e. Make immediate contact with the local authority and / or retained arboriculturalist in the event of any related tree problems occurring whether actual or potential.
- 10.2 It is recommended, that to ensure a commitment from all parties to the healthy retention of the trees, that details are passed by the architect or agent to any contractors working on site, so that the practical aspects of the above precautions are included in their method statements, and financial provision made for these.

24<sup>th</sup> June 2019 Signed:

Glen Harding MSc (Forestry), MArborA For and on behalf of GHA Trees

# **Appendix A**

# **Appendix B**

Tree Number	Tree Name (species)	Ht (m)	Calculated Stem Diameter (mm)	Number of Stems	Root Protection Area (Radius, m)	N (m)	E (m)	S (m)	W (m)	Age Class	Clearance (m)	Estimated life expectancy	BS Category	Comments / Recommendations
T1	Palm	7	180	1	2.16	2.5	1.5	1.5	1	М	3.5	10-20	C1	No notable defects recorded during inspection.
T2	Palm	7	200	1	2.40	1	2	3	1	M	3.5	10-20	C1	No notable defects recorded during inspection.

### KEY:

Tree No: (T= individual tree, G= group of trees, W= woodland)

Age class: Young (Y), Middle aged (MA), Mature (M), Over mature (OM),

Veteran (V)

Height (Ht): Measured in metres +/- 1m