
From: Fowler, David
Sent: 24 June 2019 09:18
To: Planning
Subject: FW: Planning Application No. - 2019/2475/P - objection

Please upload objection.

Thanks,

David

David Fowler
Principal Planner

Telephone: 0207 974 2123



From: Tomlinson, Paul (Councillor) [REDACTED]
Sent: 23 June 2019 08:07
To: Fowler, David <David.Fowler@camden.gov.uk>
Cc: Robinson, Roger (Councillor) [REDACTED] Khatoon, Samata (Councillor)
Subject: Planning Application No. - 2019/2475/P - objection

Dear Mr Fowler

I am writing to object to the above planning amendment. As you know, the Brill Place Tower will have a huge influence on the ward I represent, St Pancras & Somers Town. Any changes made to the plans for the tower are likely to have a similar large effect.

The problem with amendment 2019/2475/P is that details agreed on the original application are going to be significantly changed. There will be an increased footprint which will increase the footprint of the tower. This will increase the dominance of the tower and lead to a feeling that the tower is a closed space – the opposite that the original application was supposed to ‘create a sense of transparency at grade [ground] level’. Therefore, it is likely that the original aim of activating the façade and promoting passive surveillance across the park will be lost. I am most concerned about the loss of open space that No. 2019/2475/P will create. The ‘minimal footprint’ that the original application stated will be increased. Most significantly, the change would add to the very large loss of private open space.

It is bad enough that the refuse pick-up area is on the public open space. Where will the service entrance now be located? There is a danger that moving the service entrance will conflict with the various park users such as children and cyclists during the periods of service.

There is a risk of noise from the proposed electrical substation. An increase of noise in an already noisy environment is unwarranted.

At the time of the original application, it was known that an electrical substation in the tower would be required. Instead of including details at the time of the application, it was decided to promote qualities of active frontage, transparency and a general lightness of the design of the building.

I therefore object to the current application which will modify and potentially negate the very qualities that made a difference to an otherwise unhappy addition to our Somers Town buildings.

Kind regards
Paul Tomlinson