

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/2475/P	Herman Tribelnig	23/06/2019 12:04:44	COMMNT	<p>1 This submission is billed as a submission of minor amendment to the whole scheme, but, by way of its affect and effect to pedestrians and general street-level appearance it is a MAJOR amendment to the approved scheme.</p> <p>There are three elements which make it 'MAJOR':</p> <p>The increased noise creation to nearby residents(usually in early mornings) with windows facing the tower by the proposed lengthened route for the bin haulage between the bin exit door from the block and the bin lorry on the carriage way of Brill Place. The route should be as short as possible not longer.</p> <p>This can be achieved by locating the bin lift to the front of the tower. There is sufficient space between the rear edge of the pavement and the frontage of the block. Think of the barrel drop at Pubs. The lift will need to be a simple platform lift without a housing under trap doors.</p> <p>A perfectly feasible solution to the bin operators.</p> <p>This relocation will allow the cafe area be extended to the front of the block, or better still, the glazing foot print narrowed, replicating the original scheme's ground floor.</p> <p>2 Shielding the transformer emission from electro-mechanical and magnetic-field from exposure to the general public and occupants and users of the ground floor and first floor. There is no information submitted on these two controversial medical scientific subjects.</p> <p>3 Like the above, but noise shielding is required from the din of the transformer spools.</p> <p>The enclosure frontage submitted is conventional open metal louvres. It must be doubled up with low density sound absorbing lead sheet overlapping the louvres by more than the cross section of the louvres.</p> <p>Why is there no information submitted alongside the assessment provided for the accommodation immediately above?</p> <p>-----</p> <p>The submission must be rejected on grounds of insufficient information provided and lack of justification stated for the amendments.</p>
2019/2475/P	Lanazera Ahamad	23/06/2019 23:33:46	COMMNT	<p>It's quite funny how they have just realised that they need electrical power stations after the application was approved. I am now wondering what other crucial things they have missed out. I always had questions on the clarity of the level of pollution around the area. Here we go, another energy centre just across Phoenix court so basically more pollution, noise and loss of space as well as a significant impact on our health and well being. This also means many more trees will unfortunately be cut down and these trees act as the barrier between the polluted area between the station and the taxi rank and residential area. These 40 year old trees can't be replaced with some greenery; they won't give anywhere near the same effect whatsoever. The area, already being filled with children with breathing problems, will further affect them and others significantly with the increased pollution seeping into theirs and other residents' lungs. The affect of these sort of constructions will reduce the quality of living conditions and more impact on services and facilities in the area as well as reducing the transparency. Overall, I don't doubt that Camden council can plan a better solution than selling off a public green space to build on.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/2475/P	Murrough Landon	23/06/2019 17:59:54	INT	<p>This only increases the destruction of the park which is entirely against the supposed council policy of improving the environment for residents.</p> <p>Research shows access to parks improves public health. Conversely destroying green space reduces the health and life expectancy of local residents.</p> <p>This whole planning application should be rejected. Tower blocks in the park should not be allowed. Adding tiny strips of green space on the adjoining road is just pathetic fiddling on the margins of an outrageous proposal. This is environmental vandalism.</p>
2019/2475/P	Herman Tribelnig	23/06/2019 12:04:31	COMMNT	<p>1 This submission is billed as a submission of minor amendment to the whole scheme, but, by way of its affect and effect to pedestrians and general street-level appearance it is a MAJOR amendment to the approved scheme.</p> <p>There are three elements which make it 'MAJOR':</p> <p>The increased noise creation to nearby residents(usually in early mornings) with windows facing the tower by the proposed lengthened route for the bin haulage between the bin exit door from the block and the bin lorry on the carriage way of Brill Place. The route should be as short as possible not longer.</p> <p>This can be achieved by locating the bin lift to the front of the tower. There is sufficient space between the rear edge of the pavement and the frontage of the block. Think of the barrel drop at Pubs. The lift will need to be a simple platform lift without a housing under trap doors.</p> <p>A perfectly feasible solution to the bin operators.</p> <p>This relocation will allow the cafe area be extended to the front of the block, or better still, the glazing foot print narrowed, replicating the original scheme's ground floor.</p> <p>2 Shielding the transformer emission from electro-mechanical and magnetic-field from exposure to the general public and occupants and users of the ground floor and first floor. There is no information submitted on these two controversial medical scientific subjects.</p> <p>3 Like the above, but noise shielding is required from the din of the transformer spools.</p> <p>The enclosure frontage submitted is conventional open metal louvres. It must be doubled up with low density sound absorbing lead sheet overlapping the louvres by more than the cross section of the louvres.</p> <p>Why is there no information submitted alongside the assessment provided for the accommodation immediately above?</p> <p>-----</p> <p>The submission must be rejected on grounds of insufficient information provided and lack of justification stated for the amendments.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/2475/P	Lanazera Ahamad	23/06/2019 23:34:25	COMMNT	<p>It's quite funny how they have just realised that they need electrical power stations after the application was approved. I am now wondering what other crucial things they have missed out. I always had questions on the clarity of the level of pollution around the area. Here we go, another energy centre just across Phoenix court so basically more pollution, noise and loss of space as well as a significant impact on our health and well being. This also means many more trees will unfortunately be cut down and these trees act as the barrier between the polluted area between the station and the taxi rank and residential area. These 40 year old trees can't be replaced with some greenery; they won't give anywhere near the same effect whatsoever. The area, already being filled with children with breathing problems, will further affect them and others significantly with the increased pollution seeping into theirs and other residents' lungs. The affect of these sort of constructions will reduce the quality of living conditions and more impact on services and facilities in the area as well as reducing the transparency. Overall, I don't doubt that Camden council can plan a better solution than selling off a public green space to build on.</p>
2019/2475/P	Herman Tribelnig	23/06/2019 12:04:39	COMMNT	<p>1 This submission is billed as a submission of minor amendment to the whole scheme, but, by way of its affect and effect to pedestrians and general street-level appearance it is a MAJOR amendment to the approved scheme.</p> <p>There are three elements which make it 'MAJOR':</p> <p>The increased noise creation to nearby residents(usually in early mornings) with windows facing the tower by the proposed lengthened route for the bin haulage between the bin exit door from the block and the bin lorry on the carriage way of Brill Place. The route should be as short as possible not longer.</p> <p>This can be achieved by locating the bin lift to the front of the tower. There is sufficient space between the rear edge of the pavement and the frontage of the block. Think of the barrel drop at Pubs. The lift will need to be a simple platform lift without a housing under trap doors.</p> <p>A perfectly feasible solution to the bin operators.</p> <p>This relocation will allow the cafe area be extended to the front of the block, or better still, the glazing foot print narrowed, replicating the original scheme's ground floor.</p> <p>2 Shielding the transformer emission from electro-mechanical and magnetc-field from exposure to the general public and occupants and users of the ground floor and first floor. There is no information submitted on these two controversial medical scientific subjects.</p> <p>3 Like the above, but noise shielding is required from the din of the transformer spoils.</p> <p>The enclosure frontage submitted is conventional open metal louvres. It must be doubled up with low density sound absorbing lead sheet overlapping the louvres by more than the cross section of the louvres.</p> <p>Why is there no information submitted alongside the assessment provided for the accommodation immediately above?</p> <p>-----</p> <p>The submission must be rejected on grounds of insufficient information provided and lack of justification stated for the amendments.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/2475/P	Herman Tribelnig	23/06/2019 12:04:41	COMMNT	<p>1 This submission is billed as a submission of minor amendment to the whole scheme, but, by way of its affect and effect to pedestrians and general street-level appearance it is a MAJOR amendment to the approved scheme.</p> <p>There are three elements which make it 'MAJOR':</p> <p>The increased noise creation to nearby residents(usually in early mornings) with windows facing the tower by the proposed lengthened route for the bin haulage between the bin exit door from the block and the bin lorry on the carriage way of Brill Place. The route should be as short as possible not longer.</p> <p>This can be achieved by locating the bin lift to the front of the tower. There is sufficient space between the rear edge of the pavement and the frontage of the block. Think of the barrel drop at Pubs. The lift will need to be a simple platform lift without a housing under trap doors.</p> <p>A perfectly feasible solution to the bin operators.</p> <p>This relocation will allow the cafe area be extended to the front of the block, or better still, the glazing foot print narrowed, replicating the original scheme's ground floor.</p> <p>2 Shielding the transformer emission from electro-mechanical and magnetc-field from exposure to the general public and occupants and users of the ground floor and first floor. There is no information submitted on these two controversial medical scientific subjects.</p> <p>3 Like the above, but noise shielding is required from the din of the transformer spools.</p> <p>The enclosure frontage submitted is conventional open metal louvres. It must be doubled up with low density sound absorbing lead sheet overlapping the louvres by more than the cross section of the louvres.</p> <p>Why is there no information submitted alongside the assessment provided for the accommodation immediately above?</p> <p>-----</p> <p>The submission must be rejected on grounds of insufficient information provided and lack of justification stated for the amendments.</p>
2019/2475/P	T Orekan	23/06/2019 23:43:17	COMMNT	<p>This comment is to highlight concern with the application to increase the space planned for a proposed tower and to consider revoking. Those of us who live in Phoenix Court have already lost considerable sunlight due to the Francis Crick building. If additional space were to be taken, this would mean that the proposed open space would increase air pollution from the construction of buildings and lose trees. This is detrimental to the environment and general health of local residents (notably young children, the disabled and elderly) as studies have shown how trees can help combat against climate change by means up removing CO2 from the air and releasing O2 back into the atmosphere which can only be seen as a benefit.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/2475/P	Ara	24/06/2019 00:08:42	COMMNT	<p>The consequence of this new plan is the loss of even more trees and greenery, as well as a larger circumference of the building to adjust to the power station being installed. This will not just only cause noise disturbances and a greater shadow over our community due to the cramped environment but also negative effects caused to our health due to the power station. On top of that there will be increase in footprint, loss of transparency, major inadequate noise assessment, and the list goes on....</p> <p>Our community is very populated with children. Having schools and nursery's about we only want the safest environment for them, especially around green spaces where they'll be playing and enjoying themselves. Having a power station, no matter how safe you may claim it to be, will make parents extremely uneasy. Business is business at the end of the day but it should not be at the expense of our safety and comfort.</p>
