

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/2966/P	Camden Town Conservation Area Advisory Committee	18/06/2019 22:11:48	OBJ	<p>Whilst the modest rear extension appears well designed, and changes to internal plans are considered acceptable, the proposal to replace the front facade ground floor 6-over-6 sash window (which has historic glass and is original), and the four 6-over-6 sash windows on the rear facade (also original) is not supported. The proposed replacement 4-over-4s are not the correct pattern and it is a shame to lose original joinery. Minimal sightline secondary glazing can be utilised to improve thermal/acoustic performance with refurbishment and draughtstripping of the original windows undertaken in situ. Indeed we note that this property will qualify for free Noise Insulation and mechanical ventilation provided by HS2 and the agent/owners should be informed of this.</p> <p>We also note that the proposed 'protruding' windows on the rear facade will be difficult to detail successfully (and may cause differential staining of the brickwork above through splashback over time, in addition to the flashings required being potentially unsightly). Detailed drawings for these elements should be conditioned to ensure that they are well designed.</p> <p>Finally the proposed chequered black and white tiling is not an appropriate treatment for the front steps. York Stone would be more in keeping.</p>
