From:
 John Malet-Bates

 Sent:
 13 June 2019 11:57

 To:
 Diver, John

Subject: FW: {External} 29-33 Arkwright Road

Mis-addressed first time.

John Malet-Bates Dip Arch RIBA



Arcturus Corporation Limited is a limited liability company registered in England and Wales: No. 05503540

Registered office: Pembroke House, Torquay Road, Paignton, Devon TQ3 2EZ. The information in this e-mail (which includes any files transmitted with it) is confidential and may also be legally privileged. It is intended for the addressee only. Access to this e-mail by anyone else is unauthorised. It is not to be relied upon by any person other than the addressee except with our prior written approval. If no such approval is given, we will not accept any liability (in negligence or otherwise) arising from any third party acting, or refraining from acting, on such information. Unauthorised recipients are required to maintain confidentiality. If you have received this e-mail in error please notify us immediately, destroy any copies and delete it from your computer system. Any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying of this e-mail is prohibited. Copyright in this e-mail and any document created by us will be and remain vested in us and will not be transferred to you. We assert the right to be identified as the author of and to object to any misuses of the contents of this e-mail or such documents.

From: John Malet-Bates Sent: 13 June 2019 11:54

To: 'john.diver@can Cc: 'Mojgan Green'

Subject: FW: {External} 29-33 Arkwright Road

Dear Mr.Diver.

With apologies forthis late consideration and submission -

Hampstead CAAC Objects to aspects of the proposal.

We consider consent for the scheme as drawn would set a bad precedent, harming the CA.

Although off the main street of Frognal, it will be seen from there and around.

- 1. Too much site take-up. The reduction of trees and greenery compared with the remaining Arkwright Road gardens is too great.
- 2. Trees loss should be made good, not to rely on the remaining gardens' greenery.
- 3. The buildings' proposed extent is too great an incentive for garden portion sales which should be limited.
- 4. We also query the proiposed basement definition by the Applicant as in the DAS; the ground slopes at that point not being such (not as steep as that part of Frognal) to agree a half-in-half-out definition.
- 5. We query whether 1st floor projections over the lane should be permitted.

- 6. The proposed timber louvre claddings will need good maintenance if they are not to degrade in appearance over time.
- 7. The existing boundary wall to the lane will have too be rebuilt for support of the 1st floor(s) and for access opening.
- 8. The resulting 'conurbation' of garden building nneeds to be controlled and strictly defined, so that other garden outbuildings do not get the cue for enlargement over policy allowances.

Best regards,

John

John Malet-Bates For Hampstead CAAC c/o Flat 6,