

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2019/0406/P		02/06/2019 22:43:57	OBJ	The proposal remains to be objectionable due to its size and the extent of the associated reduction of the green space. If the were to have granted a Permission granted, it would create a damaging precedent in the area.
2019/0406/P		02/06/2019 22:43:59	OBJ	The proposal remains to be objectionable due to its size and the extent of the associated reduction of the green space. If the were to have granted a Permission granted, it would create a damaging precedent in the area.
2019/0406/P		03/06/2019 15:04:40	OBJEMAIL	The supporting statement from the applicants fails to point out that the proposed building Does NOT sit below the rear fence line and the proposed building will therefore be visible from Tudor Close.
2019/0406/P		03/06/2019 10:17:53	COMMNT	<p>The proposal is much too close to the rear boundary fence with Tudor Close.</p> <p>The design is too high ie higher than the rear fence and therefore obtrusive to neighbours and this fact is not mentioned in the applicant's Design and Access Statement.</p> <p>Materials and the design, in general, are too sombre/heavy and overly large and do not enhance the Conservation Area at all.</p> <p>The proposal utilises an overly large proportion of the rear garden and reduces amenity space.</p> <p>Has the conservation area officer considered this proposal?</p> <p>The proposal is self-evidently NOT a Minor Alteration despite being referred to as one by the applicant.</p>