Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 30/05/2019 09:10:05 Response:
2019/1515/P		29/05/2019 23:28:58	OBJ	I strongly object to the proposed development. The existing building is in the Fitzjohns/Netherhall conservation area. The Fitzjohns/Netherhall Conservation Area Statement identifies the existing building as making a positive contribution to the character and appearance of the conservation area. Our cherished conservation area is characterized by large detached and semi-detached houses and a great variety of architectural idioms. This diversity of architecture including differences in spacing/gaps between buildings is integral to the charm and unique characteristics of the conservation area. Anything that harms this, by reducing the diversity should be prevented. In the planning statement it is claimed the closure of the uncharacteristic gap between nos. 26 and 24A is considered to be a benefit. I strongly disagree with this assertion. On the contrary this "uncharacteristic gap" enhances the character of the area, it is part a diverse streetscape that characterizes our conservation area. To lose this "uncharacteristic gap" will do serious harm to the conservation area. The proposed development involves the removal of T6 (lime), T7 (holly), T8 (lime), T9 (yew), T10 (holly) from the rear garden of the property. These beautiful mature trees are between 3m and 5m in height but unbelievably the developers do not consider them to be noteworthy examples of their species or to significantly contribute to the character of the conservation area. The Fitzjohns/Netherhall Conservation Area Statement states trees are an inherent and characteristic part of the Conservation Area. Large mature trees have a presence in nearly every view. As well as appearing as formal street planting they appear in front gardens, in gaps between properties and in rear gardens. The removal of these trees would be in contravention of the Fitzjohns/Netherhall Conservation area and the env